

MINUTES, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' MEETING

SEPTEMBER 11, 2012

The Pima County Board of Supervisors met in regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, September 11, 2012. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Ramón Valadez, Chairman
 Sharon Bronson, Vice Chair
 Ray Carroll, Member
 Ann Day, Member
 Richard Elías, Member
 Robin Brigode, Clerk

1. **INVOCATION**

The invocation was given by Pastor Philip Kruis, Rincon Mountain Presbyterian Church.

2. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

All present joined in the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. **PERSONAL POINT OF PRIVILEGE**

Supervisor Elías acknowledged the 11th anniversary of September 11, 2001. The Board observed a moment of silence in the memory of the lives that were lost and for their families.

4. **PAUSE 4 PAWS**

The Pima County Animal Care Center showcased an animal available for adoption.

5. **PRESENTATION/PROCLAMATION**

Presentation of a proclamation to Pastor Larry Mungia, proclaiming September 8, 2012, to be:

‘DOMINICK CRUZ DAY FOR YOUTH’

Supervisor Elías presented the proclamation to Suzette Howe, Dominick Cruz’s mother. She thanked the Board for this honor and explained how Mr. Cruz was giving back to the community.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the proclamation.

6. **PRESENTATION/PROCLAMATION**

Presentation of a proclamation to Caren Prather, Volunteer Coordinator for the Pima County Office of Emergency Management and Stephen Schuldenfrei, Chairman, Tucson Citizen Corps Council, proclaiming the month of September, 2012, to be:

“PREPAREDNESS MONTH”

Supervisor Carroll presented the proclamation to Caren Prather and Stephen Schuldenfrei. They thanked the Board for their continued support and invited the public to attend one of the numerous emergency community response training classes throughout the community and reminded everyone to be prepared in case of an emergency.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Carroll, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the proclamation.

... **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, that the Board convene to Executive Session at 9:21 a.m.

7. **RECONVENE**

The meeting reconvened at 10:20 a.m. All members were present.

8. **CALL TO THE PUBLIC (for Executive Session items only)**

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard on any items listed under Executive Session. No one appeared.

9. **LITIGATION**

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding settlement of the following cases in the Arizona Tax Court:

- A. 3932-3936 Sepulveda Blvd., L.L.C., v. Pima County, Arizona Tax Court Case No. TX2011-000799, Tax Parcel No. 140-18-001C for Tax Years 2011 and 2012.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated this case involved a 144-unit, two-story apartment complex located on East Irvington Road. He explained that the proposed settlement would result in estimated tax decreases of \$24,240.55 for Tax Year 2011, based on 2011 tax rates, and

\$6,146.08 for Tax Year 2012, based on 2012 tax rates, for a total estimated tax decrease of \$30,386.63. The County Attorney's Office and Assessor recommended the settlement.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the recommendation.

- B. GA Dove Mountain Marana, L.L.C., v. Pima County, Arizona Tax Court Case No. TX2011-000503, Tax Parcel No. 218-55-5740 for Tax Year 2012.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, reported this litigation involved the Dove Mountain Shopping Center located at the northeast corner of Tangerine Road and North Dove Mountain Boulevard. He stated the proposed settlement would result in an estimated tax decrease of \$38,490.93 for Tax Year 2012, based on 2012 tax rates. The County Attorney's Office and Assessor recommended the settlement.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the recommendation.

- C. Guest Ranch Resort II, L.L.C., v. Pima County, Arizona Tax Court Case No. TX2011-000864, Tax Parcel No. 108-13-3050 for Tax Years 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated this case pertained to a Notice of Claim that involved the Hacienda Del Sol Guest Ranch Resort located on North Hacienda Del Sol Road. He reported that there were no recommended changes in value for Tax Years 2008 and 2009; however, the proposed settlement would result in an estimated tax decrease of \$38,289.20 for Tax Year 2010, based on 2010 tax rates, and \$37,620.19 for Tax Year 2011, based on 2011 tax rates, for a total estimated decrease of \$75,909.39. The County Attorney's Office and Assessor recommended the settlement.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the recommendation.

- D. Marketview Properties II L.L.C., v. Pima County, Arizona Tax Court Case No. TX2011-000429, Tax Parcel No. 133-48-128F for Tax Year 2012.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, explained this case involved the Montesa Plaza Shopping Center located at the southwest corner of Broadway Boulevard and Houghton Road. He stated the proposed settlement would result in an estimated tax decrease of \$32,410.35 for Tax Year 2012, based on 2012 tax rates. The County Attorney's Office and Assessor recommended the settlement.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the recommendation.

10. LITIGATION

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding settlement of the following cases in the Arizona Tax Court and Pima County Superior Court:

- A. Desert Springs Equestrian Center, L.L.C., v. Pima County, Arizona Tax Court Case No. TX2011-000435, Tax Parcel No. 208-29-022D for Tax Year 2012.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, reported this case involved equestrian property located south of Sandario Road and east of West Avra Valley Road. He stated the proposed settlement would result in an estimated tax decrease of \$20,865.64 for Tax Year 2012, based on 2012 tax rates. The County Attorney's Office and Assessor recommended the settlement.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the recommendation.

- B. IMH Special Asset NT 140, L.L.C., v. Pima County, Arizona Tax Court Case No. TX2011-000928, Tax Parcel Nos. 210-40-022A and 210-40-023E for Tax Years 2011 and 2012.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated this litigation involved two adjoining vacant parcels located on the south side of Valencia Road approximately one half mile east of the intersection of Valencia Road with Ajo Way. He explained the proposed settlement would result in an estimated tax decrease for the two parcels of \$54,543.52 for Tax Year 2011, based on 2011 tax rates, and \$16,441.61 for Tax Year 2012, based on 2012 tax rates, for a total estimated decrease of \$70,985.13. The County Attorney's Office and Assessor recommended the settlement.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the recommendation.

- C. Jeito Foundation v. Pima County, Arizona Tax Court Case No. TX2011-000907, Tax Parcel Nos. 217-17-003E and 217-17-001E for Tax Year 2012.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, explained this case involved 205.23 acres of land in northwest Pima County on the west side of Sanders Road and the north and south sides of Sagebrush Road. He stated the proposed settlement would result in an estimated total tax decrease for

the two parcels of \$39,392.63 for Tax Year 2012, based on 2012 tax rates. The County Attorney's Office and Assessor recommended the settlement.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the recommendation.

- D. Levine Investments, L.P., v. Pima County, Arizona Tax Court Case No. TX2009-000702, Tax Parcel No. 217-17-003E for Tax Year 2010.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated this case involved 163.12 acres of vacant land located on the west side of Sanders Road and the north side of Sagebrush Road in northwest Pima County. He reported the proposed settlement would result in an estimated tax decrease of \$46,864.02 for Tax Year 2010, based on 2010 tax rates. The County Attorney's Office and Assessor recommended the settlement.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the recommendation.

- E. PM Enclave at Foothills Tucson, L.L.C., v. Pima County, Arizona Tax Court Case No. TX2011-000214, Tax Parcel No. 225-43-0210 for Tax Year 2012.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, reported this litigation involved a 300-unit apartment complex located on North Mona Lisa Road. He stated the proposed settlement would result in an estimated tax decrease of \$6,455.35 for Tax Year 2012, based on 2012 tax rates. The County Attorney's Office and Assessor recommended the settlement.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the recommendation.

- F. U.S. Reif Tucson Commerce Center AZ, L.L.C., v. Pima County, Arizona Tax Court Case No. TX2011-000882, Tax Parcel Nos. 140-42-023C, 140-42-023D, 140-42-0940 and 140-42-0950 for Tax Year 2012.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated this case involved four industrial type buildings that were on four adjoining parcels located on South Country Club Road between East Elvira Road and East Corona Road, near the Tucson International Airport. He explained the proposed settlement would result in estimated total tax decreases for three of the four parcels of \$22,851.72 for Tax Year 2012, based on 2012 tax rates. The County Attorney's Office and Assessor recommended the settlement.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the recommendation.

- G. Willow Bridge, L.L.C., v. Pima County, Arizona Tax Court Case No. TX2011-000977, Tax Parcel Nos. 217-27-004B, 217-27-004C, 217-27-0150, 217-27-4960 through 217-27-5590 and 217-27-5630 through 217-27-6520 for Tax Year 2012.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated this litigation involved three vacant, non-subdivided parcels located on the east side of Interstate 10, south of Sandario Road in Marana and 154 vacant, subdivided single-family residential lots located to the east of the three parcels. He reported the proposed settlement would result in an estimated total tax decrease for all of the parcels of \$16,568.45 for Tax Year 2012, based on 2012 tax rates. The County Attorney's Office and Assessor recommended the settlement.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the recommendation.

- H. New Century, Inc., v. The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., et al. and Frederick R. Deilein v. BNY Western Trust Co., et al., Pima County Superior Court Case Nos. C20103621 and C20109196 (consolidated), Tax Parcel No. 116-16-2170 and 13 additional parcels.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated this item was informational only and that the County Attorney's Office would bring this matter back at a later date. The Board took no action on this item.

11. **CONSENT CALENDAR**

A. **CALL TO THE PUBLIC**

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard on any item listed for action on the Consent Calendar. No one appeared.

B. **APPROVAL OF CONSENT CALENDAR**

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the Consent Calendar in its entirety.

CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1. CONTRACTS AND AWARDS

A. Elections Department

1. City of Tucson, to provide election services, contract amount \$161,000.00 revenue (CTN-EL-13000066)

B. Health Department

2. Arizona Department of Health Services, Amendment No. 4, to provide for the Emergency Preparedness Program and amend contractual language, Federal Fund, contract amount \$785,106.00 revenue (CTN-HD-12000062)
3. Golder Ranch Fire District, Amendment No. 2, to provide childhood immunization services and extend contract term to 8/1/13, no cost (CTN-HD-12000085)

C. Procurement

Awards

4. Award of Job Order Master Agreement MA-PO-13000093, to Centerline Mechanical, L.L.C. (Headquarters: Phoenix, AZ); Oracle Control Systems, Inc. (Headquarters: Tucson, AZ); and Pueblo Mechanical and Controls, Inc. (Headquarters: Tucson, AZ) for as-needed heating, ventilating, and air-conditioning contracting services and to replace their individual contracts numbered 26-13-C-143289-0910, 26-13-O-143339-0910, 26-13-P-143338-0910/MA-PO-DNC0000014, for the same services. The total value of the master agreement is \$750,000.00, to be shared by the three job order contractors. All federally funded jobs and jobs above \$35,000.00 are competed among the JOC contractors. No individual job will exceed \$150,000.00. The term of the master agreement is one year with no renewals. Funding Source: Various Funds. Administering Department: Facilities Management.
5. Low Bid: Award of Contract, CT-FM-13000232, in the amount of \$414,052.00 for the base bid plus allowances to the lowest responsive bidder, G&G Specialty Contractors, Inc. (Headquarters: Phoenix, AZ) for the adaptive reuse of the Historic Ajo Train Depot. The contract is for a twelve month period and may be extended for project completion. Funding Source: Federal Fund (94.3%) and 2004 Bond Fund (5.7%). Administering Department: Facilities Management.

6. Amendment of Award: MA-PO No. B507449-BC, Version 4, IBM Corporation, to provide computer equipment, peripherals and related services, and increase contract amount by \$1,442,872.48. Funding Source: General Fund. Administering Department: Information Technology.
7. Low Bid: Award of Contract, Requisition No. 58486, in the amount of \$2,572,886.00 to the lowest responsive bidder, Southern Arizona Paving & Construction Co. (Headquarters: Tucson, AZ) for the construction of the Countywide Chip Seal Project. The contract term is twelve months with the ability to extend the term for project completion. Construction is to be complete within 150 working days from Notice to Proceed. Funding Source: HURF Fund. Administering Department: Transportation.

D. Real Property

8. Tucson Mountain Ranch Homeowners Association, to provide a License for bufferyard encroachment in right-of-way, contract amount \$6,250.00 revenue/25 year term (CTN-PW-13000042)

2. BOARD, COMMISSION AND/OR COMMITTEE

Bond Advisory Committee

- A. Reappointment of Gary Davidson. Term expiration: 12/31/18. (District 1)
- B. Reappointment of Greg Wexler. Term expiration: 12/31/18; and Terri Hutts. Term Expiration: 12/12/18. (District 3)
- C. Reappointment of Ted Prezelski. Term expiration: 1/31/18. (District 5)
- D. Reappointment of Harry George. Term expiration: 2/28/18. (County Administrator)

3. SPECIAL EVENT LIQUOR LICENSES APPROVED PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. 2002-273

- A. Colleen Bagnall, Child and Family Resources, Inc., Windmill Inn and Suites, 4250 N. Campbell Avenue, Tucson, November 3, 2012.
- B. Clara Dupnik, Our Lady of Fatima Catholic Church, 1950 W. Irvington Place, Tucson, October 27 and 28, 2012.

REGULAR AGENDA/ADDENDUM ITEMS

12. ASSESSOR: REQUEST FOR REDEMPTION OF WAIVER OF EXEMPTION

Pursuant to A.R.S. §42-11153(B), the Pima County Assessor has determined that the applications for Redemption of the Waivers of Tax Exemptions for the year 2012 qualify for exemption under the applicable statutes and requests the Board of Supervisors redeem the waivers.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the Redemption of the Waivers of Tax Exemptions for 2012.

13. COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

The Board of Supervisors on August 7, 2012, continued the following:

Discussion/direction regarding Tucson Water service/policies in unincorporated Pima County.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, stated he recommended that the Board ask the City of Tucson to modify its present water service policy to provide Tucson Water service to properties that have relied on Tucson Water service representations; have spent funds to design a water distribution system for Tucson Water; have spent funds paying for wastewater services; and are located in designated growth areas of the unincorporated area.

Supervisor Bronson was concerned that properties in the Southwest area that were located in a growth area should be treated and serviced by Tucson Water. She expressed concern that money had already been invested because the property owner had relied on assurances by Tucson Water.

The following speakers addressed the Board:

- Charles Fatum
- Ivy Schwartz, President of the Tucson Mountain Association
- Amber Smith, Metropolitan Pima Alliance (MPA)
- Jason Wong
- Tony Marris

They provided the following comments:

- Changes had to be made to the ordinance that related to single family houses being able to install a sub meter on their property.
- There was concern that Board action regarding this issue would not be specific to the Marris property and would undermine the entire City's water policy.

- The Tucson Mountain Association asked the Board to refrain from doing anything that could potentially counter the City's water policy and that the City's current water policy be retained for Painted Hills.
- MPA expressed support for a resolution of the situation and believed the City's current water policy was a hindrance to economic development.
- Tucson Water had agreed to provide water to Mr. Wong's property prior to the implementation of their new policy and under the new policy, this property was classified as being in a non-expansion area.
- The Metropolitan Domestic Water Improvement District (Metro Water) does not have a facility in the area and property owners were uncomfortable choosing Metro Water as their provider not knowing the associated costs.
- There needed to be a rational water policy for the area.
- The Marris property which was located on Camino Verde had been designated in the 1960's as a growth area and had been purchased in the 1970's for development.
- Due to the pressure of growth in the Southwest area, property owners in the area paid for the extension of the Wastewater Treatment Facility at Ryan Field.
- Concern was expressed regarding a wheeling agreement and additional burdens to the properties surrounded by existing Tucson Water infrastructure.

Supervisor Bronson asked if new water lines would have to be installed for Metro Water to service the Marris property.

Mr. Huckelberry explained there had been discussion regarding a wheeling agreement and fee. He explained a wheeling agreement meant one utility provider would provide water through the infrastructure of another and that the issue was the fee and how the fee would be determined. He clarified that his recommendation showed a very distinct separation between the Painted Hills matter and the Marris property.

Alan Forrest, Director of Tucson Water, addressed the Board and stated that as he had just been named Director, he was not in a position to comment on this matter until after the matter had been reviewed.

Mark Stratton, General Manager of Metro Water, explained that the process for accessing water with a wheeling agreement was relatively short. He stated there was an issue regarding infrastructure and that the details of providing a wheeling agreement to the Marris property had not been discussed with the property owner. He felt there could be a resolution between all parties involved in that area.

Supervisor Bronson stated the issue could be resolved through an administrative change since the property owner or his engineer had a Letter of Assurance during this process and neither had been officially notified regarding the new policy.

Supervisor Elías commented that the Board did not have access to the same legal

information as the City Council. He believed the situation would be resolved in a timely manner by the City and that the Board should resist involvement. He also expressed concern regarding any actions or changes and the effect to the Painted Hills matter.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and carried by a 4-1 vote, Supervisor Elías voting “Nay,” to approve the County Administrator’s recommendations.

14. COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR: CLASSIFICATION AND COMPENSATION

The Office of the Medical Examiner requests the creation of the following new classification. No cost.

<u>Class Code</u>	<u>Class Title</u>	<u>Grade/Range</u>	<u>EEO Code</u>	<u>FLSA Code</u>
7422	Forensic Anthropology Postdoctoral Fellowship – Unclassified	U3 (\$52,523 - \$112,695)	2*	E**

*Professional

**Exempt (Not Paid Overtime)

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the new classification.

15. FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

RESOLUTION NO. 2012 - 84, of the Pima County Board of Supervisors, relating to lease-purchase financing and refinancing for capital projects for the County; authorizing the execution and delivery of Supplemental or Restated Lease-Purchase Agreements and Trust Agreements or Amendments or Supplements thereto and other necessary agreements, instruments and documents in connection with such financing and refinancing; approving the execution and delivery of Certificates of Participation; and authorizing other actions and matters in connection therewith.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and carried by a 4-1 vote, Supervisor Carroll voting “Nay,” to adopt Resolution No. 2012 - 84.

16. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Donation of a surplus 2000 Dodge Stratus to F.A.M.I.L.Y., Inc., a 501(c)3 non-profit that provides advice and assistance to low-income people. (Identified as: VIN No. 1B3J46C8YN234527, PN No. 104128) (District 5)

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the donation.

17. **COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR**

A. **Pima County Sports and Tourism Authority Funding for Fiscal Year 2012/13**

Staff requests the appropriation of \$50,000.00 from the Board Contingency Fund for the Pima County Pima Sports and Tourism Authority for Fiscal Year 2012/13.

B. **Funding of Pima County Sports Facilities Assessment 2012**

Staff requests the appropriation of \$50,000.00 from the Board Contingency Fund and request each jurisdiction and the institutions in Pima County, including The University of Arizona and Pima Community College, to contribute \$10,000.00 each to this effort. Jurisdictional funding is not a matching requirement; it is a suggested equity contribution from these jurisdictions. The study will proceed based on the County's contribution alone.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, recommended that the Board approve the allocations as requested. He stated that the allocation for the Sports and Tourism Authority would help with administrative operations for the next fiscal year and the request for the Sports Facilities Assessment would fund a study that would deal with any gaps the County and the community had regarding professional and amateur sports facilities throughout the County.

Chairman Valadez inquired about the details of how the money would be spent.

Mr. Huckelberry explained that the Sports and Tourism Authority would be able to use the allocation for any unanticipated expenses during the calendar year that may arise regarding amateur and professional sports. He stated there was a detailed scope of work for the Sports Facilities Assessment.

Supervisor Carroll asked that the Green Valley Coordinating Council and Baja Sports representatives be included in the Stakeholders Group for the Sports Facilities Assessment.

Supervisor Day questioned how the assessment tied into the Bond Project List.

Mr. Huckelberry stated that if the Bond Committee were to consider regional sports facilities then to an extent it might help them be eligible for possible funding through this program, but he stressed that the importance of the assessment had to be for regional facilities and that the purpose was to attract people from other communities to come and participate in sporting events which would bring revenue to our region.

Supervisor Elías stated he supported the assessment because professional and amateur sports brought a large amount of revenue into the community.

Supervisor Day asked if any other jurisdictions had come forward to help with funding the Sports Facilities Assessment.

Mr. Huckelberry stated that no one had but if other jurisdictions did step forward their money would be used to enhance the study.

The following individual addressed the Board:

Sheldon Gutman

His comments were:

- He asked the Board to consider postponing the Bond Committee meeting scheduled in September until after the election.
- He hoped that the taxpayers' money would be efficiently used since there was a huge amount of money involved in pro-sports.
- He hoped that Spring Training Baseball would be brought back into the community.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías and seconded by Supervisor Carroll to approve the allocation requests. No vote was taken at this time.

Chairman Valadez offered a friendly amendment to include the caveat that any expenditure greater than \$5,000.00 by the Sports and Tourism Authority would require the approval of the County Administrator. The amendment to the motion was accepted by Supervisors Elías and Carroll. Upon the vote being taken, the motion carried unanimously by a 5-0 vote.

18. **FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT**

RESOLUTION NO. 2012 - 85, of the Pima County Board of Supervisors, authorizing the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, the County Administrator and the Finance Director to cause the sale and execution and delivery pursuant to a Series 2012A Obligation Indenture of Sewer System Revenue Obligations, Series 2012A, in an aggregate principal amount not in excess of \$180,000,000.00, evidencing proportionate interests of the holders thereof in installment payments of the purchase price to be paid by Pima County, Arizona, pursuant to a Series 2012A Purchase Agreement; authorizing the completion, execution and delivery with respect thereto of all agreements necessary or appropriate as part of purchasing property to be part of the Sewer System of the County and payment of related financing costs including the delegation of the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, the County Administrator and the Finance Director of certain authority with respect thereto; authorizing the preparation and delivery of an official statement with respect to such Series 2012A Obligations and ordering the sale of such Series 2012A Obligations; authorizing the execution and delivery of a continuing disclosure undertaking with respect to such Series 2012A Obligations; and authorizing the Finance Director to expend all necessary funds therefor.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and carried by a 4-1 vote, Supervisor Carroll voting “Nay,” to adopt Resolution No. 2012 - 85.

19. **INSTITUTIONAL HEALTH: GRANT APPLICATION TO THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION FOR THE KINO CAMPUS**

RESOLUTION NO. 2012 - 86, of the Pima County Board of Supervisors, authorizing the application for federal public works and economic adjustment assistance funds through the U.S. Department of Commerce Economic Development Administration to develop a pedestrian corridor and enhanced accessibility and presentation on the Kino Campus.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt Resolution No. 2012 - 86.

20. **CONTRACTS**

A. **Community Development and Neighborhood Conservation**

1. Primavera Foundation, Inc., Amendment No. 2, to provide for the NSP2 Consortium Agreement and amend contractual language, Federal HUD Fund, contract amount \$300,000.00 (CT-CD-12000344)
2. Habitat for Humanity, Amendment No. 2, to provide for the NSP2 Redevelopment Project and amend contractual language, Federal HUD Fund, contract amount \$490,000.00 (CT-CD-12000342)

B. **Community Services, Employment and Training**

3. Arizona Department of Economic Security, Amendment No. 8, to provide employment and training services and amend contractual language, ADES-WIA Fund, contract amount \$36,326.00 revenue (CTN-CS-CMS143255)
4. Portable Practical Education Preparation, Inc., Amendment No. 6, to provide workforce development services, extend contract term to 7/31/12 and amend contractual language, U.S. D.O.L., AZ D.E.S. and other Workforce Funds, contract amount \$4,800.00 (MA-CS-12000118)

C. **Public Works Administration**

5. Sahuarita Unified School District No. 30, Amendment No. 1, to provide for the operation and maintenance of Sahuarita Park and amend contractual language, no cost (CT-PR-CMS142100)

6. Sahuarita Unified School District No. 30, to provide for the construction of two baseball fields at Canoa Preserve Park, contract amount \$160,000.00 revenue (CT-PW-13000235)

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the contracts.

21. **CALL TO THE PUBLIC**

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard.

Bill Beard addressed the Board regarding concerns with the Elections Department and disenfranchised voters.

Nancy Freeman spoke regarding the new Rosemont Mine Plan and how it would affect the Santa Rita Mountains.

22. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 12:00 p.m.



CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:



CLERK