

MINUTES, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' MEETING

MARCH 20, 2012

The Pima County Board of Supervisors met in regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, March 20, 2012. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Ramón Valadez, Chairman
 Sharon Bronson, Vice Chair
 Ray Carroll, Member
 Ann Day, Member
 Richard Elías, Member
 Robin Brigode, Clerk

1. **INVOCATION**

A moment of silence was observed.

2. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

All present joined in the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. **PAUSE 4 PAWS**

The Pima County Animal Care Center showcased an animal available for adoption.

4. **PRESENTATION/PROCLAMATION**

Presentation of a proclamation to Alison Hughes, Chair of the Pima County/Tucson Women's Commission, proclaiming March 23, 2012, to be:

“WOMEN IN GOVERNMENT DAY”

Supervisor Day presented the proclamation to Alison Hughes and Cecilia Vindiola, Women's Commission Members. They thanked the Board for their support and invited them to attend their awards ceremony that would honor women for their work in government.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the proclamation.

5. **PRESENTATION/PROCLAMATION**

Presentation of a proclamation to Jay Young, Research Supervisor for Southwest Fair Housing Council, proclaiming the month of April 2012 to be:

“FAIR HOUSING MONTH IN PIMA COUNTY”

Supervisor Elías presented the proclamation to Jay Young, who accepted on behalf of the Southwest Fair Housing Council and thanked the Board for their continued support. He provided the organization’s contact information regarding housing discrimination, enforcement or education and outreach.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the proclamation.

6. **PRESENTATION/PROCLAMATION**

Presentation of a proclamation to Steve Louie, National Alliance of Mental Illness (NAMI) of Southern Arizona Entertainment and Talent Coordinator, proclaiming March 31, 2012, to be:

“NAMI WALKS MENTAL HEALTH DAY IN SOUTHERN ARIZONA”

Supervisor Bronson presented the proclamation to Steve Louie and Ethan Orr, Executive Director of Linkages. They expressed their gratitude and invited everyone to participate in the upcoming walk and celebration at the Kino Sports Complex.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the proclamation.

... **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Day and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, that the Board convene to Executive Session at 9:27 a.m.

7. **RECONVENE**

The meeting reconvened at 10:15 a.m. All members were present.

8. **CALL TO THE PUBLIC (for Executive Session items only)**

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard on any items listed under Executive Session. No one appeared.

9. **LITIGATION**

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding Carrie A. Light v. Pima County, et al., Maricopa County Superior Court Case No. CV2011-096519.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, explained this case involved a personal injury that stemmed from a dog bite that occurred at the Pima County Animal Care Center in July 2010. The County Attorney's Office sought authorization from the Board to proceed with settlement negotiations on the terms as discussed in Executive Session.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the County Attorney's recommendation and proceed as discussed in Executive Session.

10. **LITIGATION**

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3), for legal advice regarding Co8-11-06, Renewable Energy Incentive District (REID) Ordinance, and Co8-11-05, Renewable Energy Systems Ordinance.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated this item was informational only. No Board action was required.

11. **LITIGATION**

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding Pima County v. Gruber, Pima County Superior Court Case No. C20112225.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated this case involved Pima County condemning right-of-way as part of the La Canada Drive: River Road to Ina Road Project. He explained that under the terms of the proposed settlement Pima County would pay \$16,000.00 as compensation for the property interests being acquired. The County Administrator and County Attorney's Office recommended approval of the proposed settlement.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the County Attorney and Administrator's recommendation.

12. **LITIGATION**

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding State of Arizona, et al., v. Ashton Company, Inc., et al., District Court Case No. CIV 10-634-TUC-CKJ.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, explained that in this case the State of Arizona alleged that the County and other entities were potentially responsible for the clean-up costs at the Broadway North and South Landfills. He disclosed that the State had reached settlements with other parties. The County and other non-settling parties intervened and sought a court order against the State for disclosure of the basis of those settlements; however the Court declined to order the disclosure. The County Attorney's Office sought authorization from the Board to appeal to the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals and to proceed as discussed in Executive Session.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the County Attorney's recommendation.

13. **LITIGATION**

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding a proposed settlement in Sandruel K. White v. Pima County Risk Management c/o Tristar Risk Management, Case No. 20110-310228, Carrier No. WCPWC20106684110.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated this was a worker's compensation case that involved a certified nursing assistant who was employed at Posada del Sol. The applicant alleged that during the course of her employment she was exposed to acinetobacter and as a result of that exposure suffered debilitating injuries. The proposed settlement would keep the claim denied, and would buy out any and all medical conditions associated with the exposure and/or hospitalization to treat it, as well as all known and alleged secondary complications. He stated that if the Board and the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services approved the settlement, the applicant would be paid \$300,000.00. Pamela Treadwell Rubin, Outside Counsel, recommended approval of the proposed settlement.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the recommendation for settlement.

14. **CONSENT CALENDAR**

A. **CALL TO THE PUBLIC**

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard on any item listed for action on the Consent Calendar. No one appeared.

B. APPROVAL OF CONSENT CALENDAR

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the Consent Calendar as presented.

CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1. CONTRACTS AND AWARDS

A. Community Services, Employment and Training

1. Chris Nybakken, Director, d.b.a. The Nybakken Group, L.L.C., Amendment No. 4, to provide development of services for special needs participants, extend contract term to 3/31/13 and amend contractual language, no cost (CT-CS-12001237)

B. County Attorney

2. DeConcini, McDonald, Yetwin and Lacy, P.C., Amendment No. 6, to provide legal representation regarding obligations of the Treasurer with respect to retention or destruction of ballots from the May 16, 2006 Special Election and amend contractual language, General Fund, contract amount \$40,000.00 (CT-TO-12001474)

C. Procurement

3. Jacobs Field Services of North America, Inc., Amendment No. 3, to provide project management and construction inspection services for the Ina Road WRF Capacity and Effluent Quality Upgrade Project and amend contractual language, no cost (CT-WW-09023444-P)

Awards

4. Award of Contract, Requisition No. 12000215, MA-PO-12000491, to the highest ranked/most qualified respondent, Russ Bassett Corporation (Headquarters: Whittier, CA) for Pima County Wireless Integrated Network dispatch console furniture in the amount of \$1,312,900.00. Contract is for a two year term with three one year renewal periods. Funding Source: 2004 Bond Fund. Administering Department: Facilities Management.

5. Amendment of Award, Amendment No. 2, to provide fuel and extend contract term to 6/30/12. No additional funding is required for extended term. Funding Source: Internal Service Fund. Administering Department Name: Fleet Services:

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Contract No.</u>
Western Refining Wholesale (formerly Phoenix Fuel Company)	B503392
Union Distributing Company of Tucson	B503388
Pro Petroleum Incorporated	B503387

6. Award of Job Order Master Agreement to Southern Arizona Paving and Construction Company (Headquarters: Tucson, AZ) and Granite Construction Company (Headquarters: Watsonville, CA) for paving services at various locations throughout Pima County to replace Contract No. 26-05-S-141855-0309 and Contract No. 26-05-G-141870-0309 respectively. Consolidation into a single master agreement simplifies administering the agreement and competing for services. The total value of the job order master agreement is \$1,500,000.00 to be shared by the two job order contractors. The job order limit shall be increased to \$750,000.00 per job order. The master agreement will have a one year term with the option to extend for an additional twelve month period. Funding Source: 70% Bond and 30% General Funds. Administering Department: Natural Resources, Parks & Recreation.
7. Low Bid: Award of Contract, Requisition No. 33373, in the amount of \$1,743,578.67 to the lowest responsive bidder, Southern Arizona Paving and Construction Co., (Headquarters: Tucson, AZ) for the construction of the Camino de Oeste: Los Reales Road to Valencia Road Project. The contract term is eighteen months with the ability to extend for contract completion. Funding Source: Urban HURF (12.6%) Fund. Administering Department: Transportation.
8. Amendment of Award, Amendment No. 1, MA-PO-12000226, in the amount of \$10,000,000.00, to The Ashton Company, Inc. (Headquarters: Tucson, AZ), Borderland Construction Company, Inc. (Headquarters: Tucson, AZ), Granite Construction Company (Headquarters: Watsonville, CA), KE&G Construction, Inc. (Headquarters: Sierra Vista, AZ) and Southern Arizona Paving and Construction Company (Headquarters: Tucson, AZ) to provide a job order master agreement for traffic signal, road intersection, paving and drainage improvements. Funding Source: County HURF Fund. Administering Department: Transportation.

2. **ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT**

Pursuant to A.R.S. §16-821B, approval of Precinct Committeemen resignations and appointments:

<u>RESIGNATIONS</u>	<u>PRECINCT</u>	<u>PARTY</u>
Nora Evans-Reitz	078	DEM
Brandon C. Patrick	090	DEM
Dustin C. Cox	165	DEM
Eileen T. Evans	413	REP

<u>APPOINTMENTS</u>	<u>PRECINCT</u>	<u>PARTY</u>
Brandon C. Patrick	063	DEM
Herschella Horton	273	DEM
Dustin C. Cox	315	DEM
Carlton K. Martin	392	DEM
Jeffrey Lanuez	189	REP
Barbara P. Wolfe	289	REP

3. **BOARDS, COMMISSIONS AND/OR COMMITTEES**

A. **Board of Adjustment, District 4**

Reappointment of Richard A. Schaeffer and Robert Fee. Term expirations: 3/31/16. (District 4)

B. **Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee**

Reappointment of Margaret Watson, Tucson Kennel Club; and Sophia Kaluzniacki, Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals. Term expirations: 6/30/16. (Organizational recommendations)

4. **SPECIAL EVENT LIQUOR LICENSES APPROVED PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. 2002-273**

- A. Vivian L. Fellom, UMC Foundation, Westin La Paloma Country Club, 3660 E. Sunrise Drive, Tucson, April 28, 2012.
- B. Michael W. Bradford, Cars 4 Vets, Inc., 5120 S. Julian Street, Tucson, March 16, 2012.
- C. Pedro Pacheco, Knights of Columbus Council No. 8077, 8650 N. Shannon, Tucson, March 17, 2012.
- D. John S. Brell, Santa Catalina Catholic Church, 14380 N. Oracle Road, Tucson, March 17, 2012.

5. **FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT**

Duplicate Warrants – For Ratification

James Orr	\$ 78.28
MWI Veterinary Supply Co.	\$ 4,835.22
Springleaf Financial	\$ 1,023.85
US Food Service Inc.	\$24,439.69
Alere North America Inc.	\$ 4,717.80
Kathy Robbins	\$ 43.00

6. **RATIFY AND/OR APPROVE**

Minutes: February 21, 2012

REGULAR AGENDA/ADDENDUM ITEMS

15. **COMMUNITY SERVICES, EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING**

RESOLUTION NO. 2012 - 17, of the Pima County Board of Supervisors, to accept a federal grant in the amount of \$8,604.14 with a local contribution of \$956.00, for telephone, computer and internet services at Pima Vocational High School.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt Resolution No. 2012 - 17.

16. **FRANCHISE/LICENSE/PERMIT: LIQUOR LICENSE**

12-02-9099, Lauren Kay Merrett, Westward Look, a Wyndham Grand Resort and Spa, 245 E. Ina Road, Tucson, Series 11, Hotel/Motel, New License.

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard. No one appeared. It was thereupon moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, approve the license and forward the recommendation to the Arizona State Liquor Licenses and Control.

17. **FRANCHISE/LICENSE/PERMIT: LIQUOR LICENSE**

12-03-9100, Ricky Lynn Riddle, Bear Track Winery, 4743 E. Sunrise Drive, Tucson, Series 7, Beer and Wine Bar, Person Transfer and Location Transfer.

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard. No one appeared. It was thereupon moved by Supervisor Day, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, deny the license subject to the Zoning Report which stated that pursuant to Pima County Zoning Code, Section 18.43-030, a bar was not an allowable use in CB-1, and forward the recommendation to the Arizona State Liquor Licenses and Control.

18. **FRANCHISE/LICENSE/PERMIT: LIQUOR LICENSE**

12-04-9101, Ricky Lynn Riddle, Bear Track Winery, 4743 E. Sunrise Drive, Tucson, Series 13, Domestic Farm Winery, New License.

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard. No one appeared. It was thereupon moved by Supervisor Day, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, deny the license subject to the Zoning Report which stated that pursuant to Pima County Zoning Code, Section 18.43-030, production of wine was not a permitted use in CB-1 zone, needed a CB-2 zone or could produce as incidental use to a restaurant, and forward the recommendation to the Arizona State Liquor Licenses and Control.

19. **FRANCHISE/LICENSE/PERMIT: FIREWORKS PERMIT**

Lonnie Lister, Skyline Country Club, 5200 E. St. Andrew Drive, Tucson, March 31, 2012, at approximately 9:00 p.m.

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard. No one appeared. It was thereupon moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and approve the fireworks permit.

20. **DEVELOPMENT SERVICES: PIMA COUNTY ZONING CODE TEXT AMENDMENTS**

A. Co8-11-05, ANCILLARY AND UTILITY-SCALE RENEWABLE ENERGY SYSTEMS

An ordinance of the Pima County Board of Supervisors relating to zoning; amending the Pima County Zoning Code Title 18, Chapter 18.03 (General Definitions), to add definitions for Ancillary Scale Renewable Energy System and for Utility Scale Renewable Energy System; and amending Chapter 18.07 (General Regulations and Exceptions) to provide a purpose statement, development standards and general regulations for an Ancillary Scale Renewable Energy System and for a Utility Scale Renewable Energy System and to allow modification of setback requirements requests for Ancillary and Utility Scale Renewable Energy Systems. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 6-0 (Commissioners Poulos, Matter, Holdridge and Steinbrenner were absent) to recommend APPROVAL AS AMENDED. Staff recommends APPROVAL AS AMENDED. (All Districts)

If approved, pass and adopt:

ORDINANCE NO. 2012 - 15

B. Co8-11-06, RENEWABLE ENERGY INCENTIVE DISTRICT (REID)

An ordinance of the Pima County Board of Supervisors establishing Title 14 of the Pima County Code, entitled Renewable Energy Incentive District (REID); designating REID sites where utility-scale solar facilities may be developed more expeditiously; specifying REID site criteria; establishing performance standards and an incentive plan for the facility development; and providing a process for amending REID site criteria and designating new REID sites. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 6-0 (Commissioners Poulos, Matter, Holdridge and Steinbrenner were absent) to recommend APPROVAL AS AMENDED. Staff recommends APPROVAL AS AMENDED. (All Districts)

If approved, pass and adopt:

ORDINANCE NO. 2012 – 16

Arlan Colton, Planning Director, provided a presentation to the Board. He stated the intention was to create standards and clarify existing standards to address neighborhood concerns. He confirmed that staff supported the Planning and Zoning Commission recommendation and mentioned that most of the changes that had been made were a result of the direction from the Commission. He explained that several years ago legislation had passed that allowed cities and counties to create a Renewable Energy Incentive District (REID). Co8-11-06 was created as a pilot and would be the first Renewable Energy Incentive District in the State of Arizona. He acknowledged the three tier types for REID sites and explained the criteria for each. He asked that the following three technical amendments be included:

1. Delete the following wording in Section 14.01.030(A)(5) (Definitions): “...Accessory uses may include buildings associated with electrical operational infrastructure such as inverters and transformers, ~~in addition to, transmission, substation, and/or distribution installations.~~” (This was deleted in the utility-scale ordinance because regulations already exist for transmission lines and substations so will default to those.)
2. Correct reference in Section 14.03.020(A)(9) (Incentive Plan) from “18.61.060(B)(1)” to “18.~~8~~1.060(B)(1).” (Typographical error)
3. Correct reference in Section 14.03.020(B)(1) (Incentive Plan) from “18.03.020(A)(9)” to “14.03.020(A)(9).” (Typographical error)

The following speakers addressed the Board:

- Albert Moussa
- Marigold Love, representative of Avis Acres Preservation Coalition

They provided the following comments:

- Support was given for the Ordinances.

- The Board was asked to encourage roof top solar installation and to prohibit solar systems that were 10 feet or higher in high density areas.
- The Board was asked to exclude Parcel Nos. 305-22-1080, 305-22-1210, 305-22-1200 and 305-22-2010 from the REID.
- There were areas of concern regarding the waiver of a conditional use permit that included no height regulations, no restrictions on the kind of barbed wire that could be put on the top of walls, the setback requirements from the residences, the grading permit and issues with flooding.
- Some unusual and unique saguaros in the neighborhood could not be mitigated.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Day, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, approve Co8-11-05 as presented by staff and adopt Ordinance No. 2012 - 15 with the following changes:

1. Ground mounted solar energy systems greater than 6 feet in height shall have a minimum side yard setback requirement for a main structure or building of the underlying zone plus 2 feet.
2. Ground mounted solar energy systems 10 feet or greater will be restricted to rural residential zones (SR, SR-2, GR-1, RH) subject to a 50 foot minimum setback.

Mr. Colton stated that the motion referenced a 50 foot minimum setback that was a huge setback with significant impact.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to reconsider the motion on Ordinance No. 2012 - 15, for clarification.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Day, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, approve Co8-11-05 as presented by staff and adopt Ordinance No. 2012 - 15 with the following changes:

1. Ground mounted solar energy systems greater than 6 feet in height shall have a minimum side yard setback requirement for a main structure or building of the underlying zone plus 2 feet.
2. Ground mounted solar energy systems 10 feet or greater will be restricted to the following zones: SR, SR-2, GR-1, RH, IR and all commercial and industrial zones.

* * *

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Day, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, adopt Ordinance No. 2012 - 16 as recommended by staff, remove Parcel Nos. 305-22-1080, 305-22-1210, 305-22-1200 and 305-22-1070 from the Renewable Energy Incentive District and include the following three amendments:

1. Delete the following wording in Section 14.01.030(A)(5) (Definitions): "...Accessory uses may include buildings associated with electrical operational infrastructure such as inverters and transformers, in addition to, transmission, substation, and/or distribution installations." (This was deleted in the utility-scale ordinance because regulations already exist for transmission lines and substations so will default to those.)
2. Correct reference in Section 14.03.020(A)(9) (Incentive Plan) from "18.61.060(B)(1)" to "18.81.060(B)(1)." (Typographical error)
3. Correct reference in Section 14.03.020(B)(1) (Incentive Plan) from "18.03.020(A)(9)" to "14.03.020(A)(9)." (Typographical error)

21. **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

Pima County Department of Transportation pavement preservation and maintenance priorities and funding. Discussion/Action. (District 1)

Supervisor Day stated that due to the continued deterioration of County roads and to offset the reductions in HURF Funds that the County had experienced from the Legislature, the Board needed to identify possible funding sources within their current and upcoming budgets for road maintenance and pavement preservation. She explained this issue has been raised during last year's budget session and stressed that all options needed to be considered for possible funding sources.

The following speakers addressed the Board:

- Nancy Young Wright
- David Safier
- Mike Hellon
- Jan DeAngeli
- Steve Hildebrand
- Joe Dinich

They provided the following comments:

- Potholes are a major problem in Pima County.
- Roads that are 30 to 40 years old have not been properly maintained over the years which has led to deterioration that was not repairable.
- Pima County cannot continue to ignore street maintenance as it needs to be a priority for safety and liability issues. The longer road maintenance is delayed, the more it will cost the County to repair.
- The County has reduced spending from \$3.4 million in road maintenance to about \$800,000.00 since the Legislature swept the HURF Funds.
- Concern was expressed about jeopardizing the County's bond ratings.
- The County acquired Regional Transportation Authority money for new roads but it did not address the problem of older roads.
- If the Legislature continued to sweep the County's HURF Funds, then funding should come from the operating budget for road maintenance.
- Petitions were signed by over 300 residents of the County asking the Board to give maintenance and repair to neighborhood streets a higher priority.

- The Board was asked to make it a priority to fix the most deteriorated and worst roads in the County and not just those in the most influential neighborhoods.
- The Board was asked to come up with a plan that would not affect tax rates or bond ratings.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, stated that staff was working on a comprehensive report regarding this matter. The report would describe the reasons for decreased revenues and options for the Board to consider. He agreed that the County needed to address this issue. He stated that the report would be circulated to each Supervisor to review impacts to their districts and to the County as a whole, and the item would be placed on an agenda for review and direction from the Board regarding funding for road maintenance.

Supervisor Bronson stated that it had been difficult to address this issue for the last budget adoption in April 2011, as the Board did not know what cutbacks or reductions the State Legislature would make that would affect County funds.

Supervisor Elías stated that the first priority in addressing this problem should be safety and then the roads in all districts that were in the worst condition.

Supervisor Carroll commented that there were many neighborhoods that had decided to undertake road repairs on their own because they were concerned with the overall conditions of their neighborhoods, the values of their properties and the safety for their vehicles, pedestrians and bicyclists.

Chairman Valadez expressed the frustration of the Board because of their inability to utilize HURF Funds in the manner they were intended because of the irresponsibility of the Arizona Legislature. He stressed that the Board would do what they could but they also needed the citizens to ask questions of their delegation that had not represented this community well in the Legislature.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Day, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to direct the County Administrator to bring a report back to the Board in the next two weeks with an analysis on possible funding sources within the current budget and next year's budget for additional road maintenance and pavement preservation and to place this item on the Board of Supervisors' agenda of April 10, 2012.

22. **COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR: TUCSON CHALLENGER LITTLE LEAGUE REPAIR OF FIELD LIGHTS AT SILVERLAKE PARK**

Staff recommends approval of an allocation from the Board Contingency Fund in the amount of \$5,000.00 to the Tucson Challenger Little League to repair the vandalism to the youth fields at Silverlake Park.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the allocation.

23. **COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR: ALLOCATION TO PIMA COUNCIL ON AGING**

Staff recommends approval of a \$150,000.00 one-time allocation to Pima Council on Aging for the Community Services System. This allocation will be funded by proceeds from the sale of Posada del Sol Healthcare Center.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the allocation.

24. **COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR: TUCSON 42ND ANNUAL JUNETEENTH FESTIVAL**

Staff recommends approval of an allocation from the Board Contingency Fund in the amount of \$3,000.00 for the Tucson 42nd Annual Juneteenth Festival – Honoring Our Past and Enlightening Our Future.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the allocation.

25. **COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR: THE YOUTH CORPS OF AMERICA, INC., MARTIAL ARTS RESILIENCY SKILLS PROGRAM**

Staff recommends approval of an allocation from the Board Contingency Fund in the amount of \$6,000.00 to The Youth Corps of America, Inc., to support educational programs for youth from the 29th Street Coalition and the Swan and Golf Links, First and Fort Lowell, and Grant and Silverbell Neighborhoods.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the allocation.

26. **PROCUREMENT**

A. **Contract**

D. L. Withers Construction, L.C., Amendment No. 6, to provide construction services for the ROMP Central Laboratory Complex, extend contract term to 5/29/12 and amend contractual language, RWRD Fund, contract amount \$259,189.00 (CT-FM-11020777-P) Facilities Management

B. Award

Amendment of Award: Graybar Electric Company, Inc., MA-PO-B508696-BC, Amendment No. 3, to provide communication equipment and supplies for the PWIN Project and increase contract amount by \$330,000.00. Funding Source: 2004 Bond Fund. Administering Department: Sheriff and Information Technology.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the contract and award.

27. FRANCHISE/LICENSE/PERMIT: EXTENSION OF PREMISES/PATIO PERMIT

Mark Steven Russell, Oregano's Pizza Bistro, 100 W. Orange Grove Road, Tucson, Permanent Extension of Premises.

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard. No one appeared. It was thereupon moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, approve the permit and forward the recommendation to the Arizona State Liquor Licenses and Control.

28. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard.

Steve Hildebrand addressed the Board concerning pedestrian safety in crosswalks.

29. ADJOURNMENT

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 11:55 a.m.



CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:



CLERK