NOTICE
PUBLIC MEETING OF THE
PIMA COUNTY ANIMAL CARE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

June 18, 2015 – 5:30 p.m.

Herbert K. Abrams Public Health Center
3950 S. Country Club Road
Tucson, Arizona
(520) 724-7729

Functions of the Committee

1. Serve in an advisory capacity to the Board, and to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center; and
2. Review and evaluate the operations of the Center to make recommendations in writing to the Board for the formulation of guidelines to assure that:
   A. The Center's operations are conducted in the best interest of the public health and safety; and
   B. The Center keeps pace with the most modern practices and procedures of animal care and welfare; and
3. Review complaints from the public concerning policies of the Center and make recommendations for resolution to the proper authority.

AGENDA				
1.	Call to Order • Roll Call • Establishment of Quorum and Pledge of Allegiance			
2.	Review and Adoption of Minutes: • Adoption of May 21, 2015 meeting minutes			
3.	Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of May and Recent Holds Snapshot			
	Welfare		Dangerous Dogs	
	A15-172564	A15-172110	A15-168374	A15-170969
	A15-172577	A15-170618	A15-168408	A15-171174
	A15-172621	A14-172005	A15-171137	A15-170488
	A15-172472	A15-171562		A15-171723
	A15-172302	A15-170754		
4.	Call to the Audience			
5.	Management Report			
6.	Old Business • City of Tucson Animal Care Funding / Jurisdiction IGA Discussion (Neuman/Janes) • Volunteer Policy and Partnership Agreement (PACC Management Team) • Pima Animal Care Overhead Charges (Neuman/Janes) • Animal Care Staffing (Neuman/PACC Management Team) • Licensing Awareness (Emptage)			
7.	New Business • The Case of Gorda the Dog (Neuman) • Donations to PACC being applied to amount jurisdictions owe (Neuman/Janes) • Process used by PACC to track every animals' care every day that does not include volunteers (Neuman) • Save rates for dogs and cats separately (Neuman) • 24PetWatch Microchip Service (Neuman) • Licensing and fees for seniors and the indigent. (Neuman) • Letter from State Department of Agriculture responding to County Administrator Huckleberry's request to review alleged horse abuse (Neuman/Janes) • Committee By-Laws (Emptage) • July Committee Elections (Neuman/Janes)			
8.	Donations: A total of 1,878 individuals gave $60,077.87 in donations during the month of May.			
9.	Complaints and Commendations: There were four complaints and no commendations received by staff during May.			
10.	Call to the Audience			
11.	Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items			
12.	Next Meeting – July 16, 2015			
13.	Adjournment			

Copies of this agenda are available upon request at the Pima County Health Department, 3950 S. Country Club Road, by calling 243-7729 or at www.pima.gov/animalcare. The Committee may discuss and take action on any item on the agenda. At the conclusion of an open call to the public Committee members may only respond to criticism made; ask staff to review the matter raised; or ask to include the matter on a future agenda.

Should you require ADA accommodations, please contact the Pima County Health Department at 724-7729 five (5) days prior to the meeting.

Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee
Minutes
May 21, 2015
3950 S. Country Club Road
Tucson, Arizona 85714

Draft

1. Call to Order

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:35 pm

- Attendance

Present:
Nancy Emptage, Vice-Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Yvette Hurley, City of Tucson
Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC), Ex-Offico

Absent:
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, SPCA of AZ, Inc
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes

- Adoption of the April 16, 2015 Meeting Minutes

One typo was identified. The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Emptage) that the April 16, 2015 meeting minutes be adopted as written, with the correction of the typo. The motion carried (8-0).

3. Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of April and Recent Holds Snapshot

Mr. Neuman prefaced this discussion by reading the functions of the Committee from the agenda.

Ms. Emptage referred to case 2 wherein the officer involved noted multiple complaints in the report, and Ms. Emptage requested the court not allow the owner to have pets. Mr. Neuman asked how such requests are handled and Mr. Janes said he passes the request on to enforcement and enforcement passes them on to the prosecutor. Field Supervisor Neil Konst pointed out that multiple complaints don’t necessarily mean that they were all neglect complaints. He continued by saying frequent neglect complaints would result in the dog being bonded and could result in PACC expressing to the prosecutor a recommendation to disallow animal ownership. Mr. Janes said the request would be passed on to the prosecutor and reported back to the Committee.

Mr. Neuman brought up case 5, which involved two deceased puppies, and asked why the owner was not put on the do not adopt list. Ms. Mendelsohn stated that the owner in case 5 shouldn't be allowed to own pets either. Ms. Hubbard questioned at what point does case 5 become a crime? Mr. Janes said based on review by staff a referral to law-enforcement could still happen. Ms. Emptage made a point of requesting someone relay her appreciation to Officer Klein for standing her ground on this case, and Mr. Neuman concurred.

Ms. Emptage referred to case 9 regarding humane euthanasia and pointed out that Valley Animal Hospital, through funding from People for Animals, will provide after-hours humane euthanasia and fees can be waived. Ms. Emptage also pointed out that a number of the welfare case animals were adopted from PACC.

4. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers at this call to the audience.

5. Management Report

Mr. Janes reported that at last Tuesday's Board of Supervisors meeting the Board passed the ordinance for the one dollar per year license increase and the elimination of the discounted low-income, unaltered license, but delayed decision on the drop-off fee until the July 7 meeting. Chief of Operations Kristin Barney reported that the abused dog, Sunny, found not far from the Abrams building, is doing well. During her recovery Sunny went home with Dr. Wilcox in the evenings and has been recently fostered out. Ms. Barney said there is, she believes, $6,500 in reward for information leading to capturing Sunny's abuser(s). Mr. Janes added that May's donations are up to $42 thousand so far, largely in response to Sunny's situation and the awareness this case has generated.

6. Old Business

- County Administration response to the committee's request to add additional field officers and shelter staff; and Jurisdiction IGA Discussion and County Obligation for Animal Care Services

Mr. Janes said an Animal Care Services IGA between the City of Tucson and the County has been drafted and submitted for the Board of Supervisors' June 2nd meeting, and on-going discussions indicate both parties intend to finalize an IGA.

Mr. Neuman requested the field officers request be deleted from Old Business going forward.

- Volunteer Policy and Partnership Agreement

Mr. Neuman referred to the first paragraph in the Social Media Policy where it reads,

> Failure to follow this policy will result in disciplinary action, up to and including, dismissal from employment or the volunteer corps.

He asked if this refers to corrective action. Ms. Barney said the process is addressed more in the Volunteer Agreement, which indicates a three level process which includes verbal counselling,

documented counselling and dismissal. Mr. Neuman questioned whether the Center has the right to, as indicated in the Volunteer Agreement, require volunteers to:

> Hold harmless Pima Animal Care Center, its agents, employees, directors and insurance carriers from any and all claims, damages and judgments which I may have now or in the future against the Pima Animal Care Center in all matters pertaining to my services as an agency volunteer, including, but not limited to, personal injury.

Ms. Barney said the wording was passed through the County Communications Office and the County Attorney's Office. Mr. Neuman asserted that no one can take away someone's right to sue and that requiring agreement to the aforementioned statement is of little value in his opinion. Later Ms. Mendelsohn asked if an inmate assaulted a volunteer, can the "hold harmless" provision truly stand. Mr. Janes discussed that the intent of the language is not to take away the ability to sue, but to get people to be careful.

Mr. Neuman referred to the Communication Policy wherein it discusses PACC may not be able to integrate all suggestions. He suggested that sometimes PACC just doesn't want to, to which Ms. Barney replied that problems and/or best practices can dictate what can be done, and that thoughtful consideration is always applied; it is very a case of just not wanting to do something without a good reason.

Ms. Emptage pointed out the volunteers have a representative on the Advisory Committee (Mr. Neuman) and suggested his contact info be given out to volunteers versus having them contact Ms. Barney (after no reply for three business days). Ms. Hubbard felt volunteers should take issues to PACC's Volunteer Coordinator. Ms. Hubbard and Mr. Neuman briefly discussed different viewpoints on the role of the volunteer representative on the Committee as it relates to County Code, the intentions of the Board of Supervisors and the functional dynamics of PACC management. Ms. Hurley said as a volunteer she appreciates being able to take issues to Mr. Neuman as the volunteer representative on the Committee.

Ms. Hurley questioned the Social Media Policy's direction to refrain from using terms like "death row" or "pound" or "kill." She also asked if volunteers can use the term "no-kill." Ms. Barney pointed out the language in question is under the "fundraising" header and in fundraising it is very important to use consistent language. She continued that PACC is striving to be "no-kill," but isn't there yet and the term carries with it expectations PACC cannot meet. Later discussion brought out that "put down" is the preferred terminology for what is often called euthanized.

Mr. Neuman asked if it would be allowable for the volunteers, separate from PACC, to set up a special fund for an animal in need. Ms. Barney acknowledged that such efforts have been successful in the past and as long as the communications are not in the name of PACC, then management does not want to restrict such efforts.

Discussion brought out that Ms. Barney wants the expectations in the documents presented to apply to staff as well as volunteers. She shared an example of someone posting a picture of the abused dog, Sunny, which could have compromised the criminal investigation. The unauthorized posting is an example of something PACC doesn't want anyone doing regardless of whether they are staff or volunteer.

DRAFT

- Housing Animals at the Ajo Animal Care Facility

Mr. Neuman said a year ago he brought up this issue because animals were just staying at Ajo. Then a few months ago animals were taken to Ajo from Tucson. He said had asked to be part of the decision making team related to animals at Ajo and asked what is happening regarding policies related to Ajo Animal Care animals. Live Release Manager Justin Gallick said he and Ms. Barney went to Ajo, met with the Ajo staff and developed some plans. First, spay/neuter funding will be made available for Ajo. Secondly, there will be kiosks for Ajo residents to be able to view all pets in the Tucson Center. Currently there is a monthly trip to Tucson, during which some Ajo pets are transported to the Tucson Center. The trips will increase to every two weeks and any Ajo animals will be moved to Tucson. Also PACC will be doing quarterly adoption events in Ajo.

Mr. Neuman asked about space at the Ajo Center and Mr. Gallick said there were eight dog kennels and, he believes, 12 cat kennels. Discussion brought out that the Ajo dog Michael was adopted at Ajo and a Chihuahua, which spent a long time at Ajo, was adopted only two days after it was transferred to Tucson. Mr. Neuman described the Ajo facility as almost solitary confinement for the animals. Mr. Neuman asked about Tucson animals being recently transferred to Ajo and Mr. Gallick said none were transferred. Mr. Neuman said *Pet Harbor* indicated a number of new pets at the Ajo facility; and Mr. Gallick said Ajo might have taken in animals from the Ajo area, but no animals were sent to Ajo from the Tucson facility.

Ms. Emptage and Mr. Gallick discussed Ajo residents expressing interest in Tucson animals. Pre-select refers to animals in the mandatory hold time, expressing interest prior to the animal being available for adoption. PACC is hesitant to hold an animal for an interested Ajo party when it could potentially be adopted in Tucson and doesn't want to transfer animals to the Ajo center. However, Ajo adoption events will be catered to expressed interests to better serve the Ajo community.

There was some discussion on PACC staff providing a report(s) to the Board on the Ajo animal care dynamics versus the Committee having to research and ask questions. Mr. Janes indicated information is provided as it develops.

Ms. Schwerin asked if Ajo has free adoptions to which Mr. Gallick replied that Ajo as part of Pima Animal Care has the same fees and promotions as the Center in Tucson. In response to a question about veterinary care at Ajo, Mr. Gallick said Dr. Wilcox visits the Ajo facility and any animal requiring extra care is transferred to Tucson to receive care. As far as emergency care goes, Mr. Gallick said a veterinarian is called, but doesn't know any details on where the veterinarian is from or any other details. Mr. Neuman requested emergency care for Ajo animals be looked into.

- Ways to Shorten Duration of Hold Time for Confiscation Cases

Ms. Barney said the Center is still trying to get a new veterinarian on board before a veterinarian has time to meet with the County Attorney's Office.

- Tie-out Outreach Campaign

Mr. Marshall said they have approximately $800 worth of anonymously donated incentives for this campaign. The Committee briefly discussed possible language to clearly identify what constitutes a

DRAFT

tie-out; language included chained or tethered to a fixed object and unattended. Mr. Marshall said he hopes to open the campaign for submissions by the end of summer.

- General criteria required for PACC to respond and investigate a service/welfare issue wherein an animal is in distress.

Ms. Emptage spoke about an incident in which a pit bull jumped a fence and attacked a Chihuahua. She said PACC was short staffed and took the Chihuahua, but initially did not try to locate the pit bull and when staff eventually went to find the pit bull it was not present. Mr. Janes indicated that staff took care of the animal in distress as the more pressing issue, adding that animals are regularly picked up for lack of confinement. Supervisor Konst discussed balancing the needs of the injured dog against the need to address the dog at large. He discussed there are a number of factors to consider. Concerning lack of confinement he discussed there are things to consider, such as locating the owner and how readily the lack of confinement can be corrected.

7. New Business

- City of Tucson Animal Care Funding

Ms. Hurley, who is the newly appointed City of Tucson representative on the Committee, provided and read from the attached handout. She briefly noted her commitment to animals as a PACC volunteer, a foster and the owner of five dogs. She said there has been misinformation concerning the City of Tucson's funding for PACC and she wanted to present the City's perspective. Ms. Hurley said she spoke with a number of City officials, including the budget manager and Councilman Kozachik regarding the City's PACC funding. She referred to the IGA between the City and County, which runs through June 30, 2015. Her handout includes a list of payments by the City under the current IGA. The bills are monthly. The animal care IGAs run for two years. The City is out of cycle with the other municipalities and wants to renew the current agreement for one year to synchronize with the other municipalities. The City has disallowed some charges added by the County including administrative overhead and tent expansion capital costs. Additional spay/neuter funding of $247,000 was initially disallowed; however, was later paid. The City has a budget to balance and is fiscally challenged to pay extra charges after the budget is set. Ms. Hurley was confidant a new IGA will be agreed upon.

Regarding the spay/neuter costs, Mr. Janes said at the beginning of Fiscal Year 14/15 the County increased this funding, for owned animals, from $220,000 to $600,000. Previously the cities and towns did not pay for these spay/neuter services, just the County and through donations. He added that the trap-neuter-release program is part of the increase. Ms. Hurley indicated the City supports spay/neuter and also would like to increase licensing percentages.

Regarding the tent, Mr. Neuman stressed that prior to the tent, five to ten dogs were being housed in a kennel and they were hurting each other. PACC was faced with the decision essentially to build or kill. Regarding overhead, he stated that no facility is an island and that finance, facilities management, human resources and other administrative costs are real costs operations incur. Ms. Hurley countered that the one reason an IGA is negotiated and agreed upon is so that costs can be anticipated and budgeted. Going forward costs can be negotiated.

DRAFT

Regarding licensing compliance, Ms. Emptage recommended going to the old rabies vaccination certificate (paper) because it reminds owners to license their dog(s). Mr. Janes indicated the white copy of the certificate is just information; it didn't initiate the licensing process, but it did connect an animal to an owner. Mr. Janes said at one time it was used as a trigger to send notices as time permitted and it wasn't very effective.

- Pima Animal Care Overhead Charges

This was touched on under the previous bullet. Mr. Janes also passed one a one-page document (included in record) showing the itemized Animal Care overhead charges.

- Pima Animal Care Center Fees

Ms. Emptage related that she encountered someone with a shepherd which had impregnated another dog. When she spoke to the dog's owner the owner said the license for her unaltered dog is cheap, only $17, because she qualifies for a senior discounted fee. Ms. Emptage suggested that all unaltered licensing discounts be eliminated and referred to the current availability of low cost spay/neuter as leaving no excuse for unaltered animals. She continued that too many service animals are intact; recommended they be altered to prevent distractions and attacks; and recommended the no-fee service animal license only apply to altered service animals. She also recommended medical fees be removed from the code, because the services are not offered to the public. Mr. Neuman requested Ms. Emptage's proposed code amendment be presented in written form so the Committee can see and fully understand it. Mr. Janes pointed out the proposed ordinances need to be posted and specifically expressed on the agenda. Ms. Emptage wants her proposed code changes on the next meeting agenda. Ms. Schwerin agreed the code needs considerable revision and pointed out that if unaltered service dogs have a fee there needs to be a decision on what the fee will be.

- Animal Care Staffing

Mr. Neuman requested an organizational chart showing PACC's staffing.

- Free Adoptions

Ms. Schwerin said she is opposed to free adoptions because she believes the pets are going to poor homes. Mr. Neuman concurred. Mr. Neuman said Ms. Barney sent him information on studies done on free adoptions, but they were regarding cats, and he would like to see a study on free adoptions of dogs. He continued that PACC Adoption Councilors have told him that the prospective owners seeking free adoptions are not of the same caliber as when the dogs aren't free. Ms. Hubbard said she has done research and there is no empirical data on the subject. Ms. Emptage reported speaking with individuals and asking them why they have an animal they cannot afford, and they reply, "It was free." When asked about veterinary expenses they reply, "The first vet visit is free." It was pointed out that the screening process is very important and that PACC can and does turn people down for pet adoption.

DRAFT

- Horses

Ms. Schwerin circulated a May 2nd photo of a Castaway Treasures horse with a very large ulcer/growth on its chest. She referred to a motion from the March meeting to write and send a letter and video to the State Department of Agriculture and the Governor, and pointed out the video was not sent and asked why not. Mr. Neuman replied that he was not given a copy to send. Mr. Janes will try to see if he can find a copy. Mr. Neuman said he would send the video in an e-mail and mail. Ms. Schwerin asked about another March motion to ask if the Sheriff's Department would accompany PACC staff and a neutral volunteer veterinarian to see a specific horse. Mr. Janes said the request was made to the Sheriff's Department, but there was no reply. When asked by Ms. Schwerin to make the request again, Mr. Janes said the Sheriff's Department has concluded its investigation and would not entertain such a request.

8. Donations: A total of 1,163 individuals gave $26,142.94 in donations during the month of April.

There was no discussion on this item.

9. Complaints and Commendations: There were two complaints and no commendations received by staff during April.

There was no discussion on this item.

10. Call to the Audience

There were three speakers at this call to the audience; John Sweeney, Marcie Velen and Cathy Neuman.

Mr. Sweeney referred to the Board of Supervisors' pending July decision on the animal drop-off fee, which was delayed to allow for input from animal advocacy groups, and referred to the dispute between the City (Tucson) and County on billing items. He said capital, versus operational, versus overhead, versus the tent will probably be lost on animal advocates. The City has the largest share, so it makes sense for them to have the largest bill. Even if the amounts in dispute do not impact the operational funding of PACC under the existing IGA, they will trigger the drop-off fee and animals will suffer. The County says other municipalities are paying the whole bill. Although the City and County do not see eye to eye, they should not fight this battle over the welfare of animals. He said the smartest course of action is to pay the bill and get back at the County another way.

Ms. Velen from No Kill Pima County said they were part of the discussion on terminology usage when speaking with the public and that euthanasia is a legitimate term. She said she didn't understand the value of extending the current City / County IGA to get into cycle with the other municipalities. She is in favor of not extending the current IGA and with moving forward with meeting the needs. Regarding free adoptions, she said she supports screening; however, the word free has a stigma and often equates to worthless, so she suggested a low fee such as five dollars of 30 dollars.

Ms. Neuman thanked Ms. Hurley for her presentation. She referred to Ms. Hurley's indication that the City (Tucson) pays the most, and wanted to make sure it is known the City pays the most because the City is the source of most of the animals and service calls. As an Adoption Counsellor Ms.

DRAFT

Neuman does not support free adoptions, but understands the crowding issue and using free adoptions to increase public interest, but asked for wording other than "free adoptions." She stressed that the screening process is very important and called for more training and mentoring for Adoption Counselors.

11. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items

Mr. Neuman said there originally was an agenda item regarding a dog named Gorda; however, the item was removed from the agenda. Information regarding Gorda was provided in the May meeting packet and the item will be placed on next month's agenda.

In light of there being a number of new Committee members, Ms. Emptage suggested members do a ride-along with a Field Officer to see what really goes on in the field.

12. Next Meeting – June 18, 2015

The next meeting will be at PACC.

13. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 8:18 pm

DRAFT

PIMA COUNTY
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD • TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.govanimal/care

MEMORANDUM

TO: **Kim Janes, Chief of External Operations**

FROM: **Jose Chavez, Enforcement Operations Manager**

DATE: **6-3-15**

SUBJECT: **Welfare report for May 2015**

---

1. A15-172564 One animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at PACC. The animal was redeemed by the owner. This complaint is closed.

2. A15-172577 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

3. A15-172621 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed the animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

4. A15-172472 Two animals were impounded. Staff reviewed welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited the owner at PACC. The animals were redeemed by the owner. This complaint is closed.

5. A15-172302 One animal was impounded and bonded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. The owner later relinquished the animal to PACC. The welfare recheck was found in compliance.

6. A15-172110 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

7. A15-170618 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

8. A15-172005 No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

9. A15-171562 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

10. A15-170754 Three animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and owner and cited at PACC. Owner did not redeem the animals two were euthanized due to their aggression one remains at PACC pending an outcome. This complaint is closed.

NC 1

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 724-5900
Fax: (520) 724-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT		ACO NAME / BADGE # Hinte #2068	COMPLAINT NUMBER A15-172564
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS Vereda Azul		BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP 857 / CITY Tucson / STATE AZ / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER 520-		CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS Sun Tran		CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT 180 / HEIGHT 5'5" / EYES Haz / HAIR COLOR Br / ORIGIN		DOB (	SSN --------

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒	LOCATION OF INCIDENT ... Vereda Azul	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 5/29/15 / 0935	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 5/29/15 / 1250
	FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐		
☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME D. Hinte	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO. / BUSINESS PHONE NO. 724-5900
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP / CITY / STATE	
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 North Silverbell Road	ZIP 85745 / CITY Tucson / STATE AZ	
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒ / RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒ / DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION / ☐ NON-VIOLATION / BITE SEVERITY: / PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY / PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE: / PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM / PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC	PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐ / FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐ / CITING ACO Windauer #1984	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒ / PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.110(B)(2)		REVIEWED BY 2002 KONST 5/30
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73497 A		BOND YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
ottweiler mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	King	blk/bn	M	7m				N	A521688
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

WC 1

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-172564

ACO Name & Badge: Windauer #1984

On May 29, 2015 at approximately 1136 hours Officer Hinte #2068 arrived at West Vereda Azul reference a welfare complaint indicating no water, no shelter and possible abandonment for several days.

The Officer got no response to their knocking at the front door, so they walked around to the west side of the house and observed 2 make-shift walls of stacked bricks approximately 3 feet high. It was also noted that there was a gap in both "walls" at opposite ends. Officer Hinte from that point could clearly see a large accumulation of animal waste. The Officer then whistled to locate the dog and a large male Rottweiler mix approached. While standing normally, the dog's nose rested at the top of the stack of bricks. The dog was friendly and let the Officer pet it.

Officer Hinte then walked around to the east side of the property where a metal gate had been observed. The Officer entered the yard to verify if the dog had water. The entire yard was walked by the Officer but no water source was found for the dog. The Officer found 2 bowls that were turned over in the dirt and dry. There was a shed filled with personal items that possibly had the door open for shelter.

Officer Hinte then endeavored to contact the dog's owner thru an old record but the phone number listed did not receive incoming calls.

Officer Hinte then contacted Supervisor Konst #2002 and was advised that the dog needed to be impounded for lack of water and an unsecure confinement. The Officer returned to the yard, took more photographs and impounded the dog. A doorknocker was posted on the front door.

On May 30, 2015 at approximately 1400 hours I, Officer Windauer #1984 met with dog owner, at the Pima Animal Care Center. Ms. had come in to redeem her dog. I was told she had recently started a new job and had been working long hours. I advised of the complaint and what the Officer had found. Ms. realized she had been neglectful. She accepted the citation for Neglect-No Water and a recheck for the animal waste to be cleaned and removed.

Officer's Signature: #1984 **Date:** 5/30/15

WC 2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 724-5900
Fax: (520) 724-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT							ACO NAME / BADGE # Windauer #1984	COMPLAINT NUMBER A15-172577
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS 28th Street							BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP 857'	CITY Tucson	STATE	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER 520-				CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS							CI ☐ CO ☐ OTHER ☒ So Tuc	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER				DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX	WEIGHT 220	HEIGHT 6'0"	EYES Bro	HAIR COLOR Br	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN --------	

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒	LOCATION OF INCIDENT 28th Street	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 5/29/15 / 1222	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 5/29/15 / 1555

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME D. Windauer	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 724-5900
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 North Silverbell Road	ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC ☐
	☐ NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
PHONE NUMBER						
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	CITING ACO Windauer #1984	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 3-81, 3-3(2)(D)(2)				REVIEWED BY 2002 Kmm 5/30	
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73495 A-B				BOND YES ☐ NO ☒	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Shihtzu mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Sophie	tan	F	7m				N	A521746
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

WC 2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-172577

ACO Name & Badge: Windauer #1984

On May 29, 2015 at approximately 1553 hours I, Officer Windauer #1984 responded to the address of 28th Street in reference to a small dog on a tie out in the front yard.

As I walked up to the front walk way I was greeted by a friendly tan shih-tzu mix on a cable tie out. I saw the cable was approximately 10 feet long and a corkscrew post had been used to hold the cable at almost a midpoint in the partially fenced front yard. I saw the dog could access the shelter of the front porch where it could get on an old couch. I also saw bushes and trees that provided shade. I saw a food and water bowl within reach and placed in the shade.

I rang the doorbell but did not hear that it worked so I also knocked. Initially I heard a young voice inside but then it was quiet. I waited a short time and then tried bell and knocked again. I still got no response. While waiting, I took pictures of the area. I then leashed the dog and removed it from the cable, also removing the cable and the post.

While walking towards my truck, a vehicle pulled up and a man walked towards the same house. I asked if he lived there and I was told yes. I then asked if he was the owner of the little dog, "yes". The man told me he had been right up the street and his 12 year old daughter had been inside the house and had called him. The daughter had been instructed not to come outside.

I explained to the dog owner that tie outs were illegal. I was told the little dog is very energetic and can escape the yard. Mr. said he will fix the backyard fence and keep the dog there. He accepted citations for Neglect-Tie Out and No License and the dog-Sophie was returned. Besides his copy of the citation, I provided Mr. with brochures about laws and low cost spay/neuter.

Officer's Signature: #1984 **Date:** 5/30/2015

WC 3

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT: [redacted]			ACO NAME / BADGE #: D. Hinte 2068	COMPLAINT NUMBER: A15-172621
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS: ' Virginia St			BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP: 857	CITY: Tucson	STATE: AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER: 520-	CODE IF OTHER :
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER	DRIVERS LICENSE: [redacted]

SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN
:	200	5'4"	BRO	BR			refused

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

LOCATION OF INCIDENT: Virginia St
DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 05/30/15 / 0915
DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 05/30/15 / 0929

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
Officer D. Hinte 2068			520-724-5900

VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE
Pima Animal Care Center			
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE
4000 N Silverbell Rd.	85745	Tucson	AZ

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED	RESTITUTION REQUESTED	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE #	FOLLOW UP REQUEST
YES ☐ NO ☒	YES ☐ NO ☒		☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC ☐
☐ NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	VET ☐ HOME ☐

VET CLINIC	PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐	FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS	CITING ACO	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
YES ☐ NO ☒	D. Hinte 2068	YES ☐ NO ☒		

CODE/ORD VIOLATED	REVIEWED BY
4-3(2)(E)(2)	2012 Kost 5/30
CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 74197 A	BOND YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE		LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Chow mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Chacho	Red	M	4mo				OK	A521808
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

NC 3

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-172621

ACO name & Badge: D. Hinte 2068

On May 30, 2015 at 9:15 AM, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch received a complaint of a dog on a tangled tie-out at W Virginia St.

On May 30, 2015 at 9:29 AM, I, Officer Hinte 2068, arrived at W Virginia St. I could see most of the yard from the east fence line along Liberty Ave. I did not initially see a dog in the yard. I whistled and observed a red chow mix puppy behind some personal items near the southeast corner of the yard. Both gates to enter the yard were padlocked. I knocked on the front gate and hollered "animal control." I did not receive a response. I walked back to the east fence line and again knocked on the side gate and yelled. I heard a male respond from inside. Shortly after, a female who identified herself as came outside and met with me. I informed her that tie-outs are illegal and asked her to remove the dog immediately. She complied and released the dog. She showed me the large bucket of water that was 3/4 full and within reach of the dog, even when tangled. She stated that the dog has dug out under the gate before which is why he was on a tie out. She also stated that she keeps him inside a majority of the time. I advised her of several alternatives such as indoor crate training and outdoor covered kennels. She informed me that she does not know how old the dog is but she has had him for approximately one month. She approximated his age at around 4-5 months. She informed me that the dog's name is Chacho. I informed her of licensing requirements and that she is just at the 30 day mark and needed to take care of it immediately. She stated that she understood.

I issued a citation to Ms. in the City for 1x neglect- tie out. I explained her court date, time, and location. She stated that she understood, signed, and received her copy.

I provided her a law brochure and explained some of the basics to her. I advised her to review the brochure and contact the number provided if she has any further questions regarding animal ownership.

Officer's Signature: **Date:** 5/30/15

WC 4

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT			ACO NAME / BADGE # K. Baugus 1918	COMPLAINT NUMBER 15-172472
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS Sunrise Dr (fathers home).			BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP 857	CITY Tucson	STATE Az	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER	CODE IF OTHER :
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS None				CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER	DRIVERS LICENSE

SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN
	160	5'3"	GRY	BRN			-------

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☐	LOCATION OF INCIDENT Saint Mary's Rd	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 08.27015 / 1805	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 08.27.15 / 1813

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME Officer Hendrickson #2066	DOB	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 520.724.5900	
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell Rd.		ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE Az

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☒ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER: Clark #47226	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐	CITING ACO	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER 15-171844		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3(2)(E)(2)					REVIEWED BY 2002 Hanst 5/28
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 70381					BOND YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
errier Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Tiffany	Cream	F	10m	15-250330	S407984	ok	429689
hihuahua Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Rockette	Tan/Wht	F	10m	15-250329	S407984	ok	429688
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								

WITNESS 1 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

WC 4

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: 15-172472

ACO name & Badge: K. Baugus 1918

May 27th 2015 at 1801 hrs Pima County Animal Care Center received a call from the Tucson Police Department regarding two dogs tied to a camper in the parking lot at St. Mary's Hospital.

Officer Hendrickson #2066 arrived at Saint Mary's Rd at 1813 hrs, met with Tucson Police Officer Clark #47226 who showed her a black truck with Wyoming plates. The truck is towing a camper which had two dogs tied out to the camper. The dogs, described as Chihuahua Mixes were scanned and both dogs were micro chipped. The registered owner is who was just issued citation the week prior on May 17th 2015 (A15-171844)

Officer Hendrickson photographed the dogs on the tie out, impounded both dogs and posted a notice of the impound on the truck windshield.

Officer Hendrickson is requesting citations be issued to the dog owner for two counts of Neglect-Tie Out 4-3(2)(E)(2).

May 28th 2015 at approximately 1630 hrs. I, Officer Baugus met with the dog owner at Pima Animal Care Center when she came into redeem her dogs.

Per , she had been admitted into the hospital and has no knowledge of the dogs being tied up. She assumes her husband tied the dogs when he went in to visit with her.

I obtained Mrs. Az. Driver's License and issued the two citations requested by Officer Hendrickson for Neglect-tie out.

Mrs. signed the citations and received her copy. I advised her of the date, time and location of her court appearance.

Officer's Signature: Holly Baugus 1918 **Date:** 05.29.15

WC 5

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT					ACO NAME / BADGE #	ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS: 17th Ave					T. Foster #2042	A15-172302
CITY: Tucson	STATE: AZ	ZIP: 857	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER: 520-		BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS: UNK					CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
CITY: N/A	STATE: N/A	ZIP: N/A	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER: N/A		DRIVERS LICENSE	

SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	ORIGIN	DOB	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER
	135	5'2"	BRO	BRO			Refused

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

LOCATION OF INCIDENT: 17th Ave, Tucson Az, 857 — DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT: 05/26/15 08:50 — DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 05/25/15 15:49

FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ INJ / ILL ☒ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	DATE OF BIRTH	RESIDENCE PHONE	BUSINESS PHONE
T. Foster #2042	N/A	N/A	520-724-5900

VICTIM'S ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP
N/A			
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS: 4000 N Silverbell Rd	CITY: Tucson	STATE: AZ	ZIP: 85714

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐ — RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐ — DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER — OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SHERIFF DEPT ☐ TUCSON POLICE ☐ FIRE ☐ OTHER: — FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION — BITE SEVERITY: N/A — PART OF BODY BITTEN: N/A — TREATED BY: N/A — PHONE NUMBER: N/A — DATE QUARANTINED: N/A — RELEASE DATE: N/A — PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

VET CLINIC: N/A — PHONE NUMBER: N/A — OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐ — FTU ☐ UTU ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS: N/A — QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐ — ☐ FRA HEAD# N/A

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒ — CITING ACO: N/A — PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐ — PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER: See Attached — OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS: See Attached

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 4-81; 4-97; 4-76; 4-3(2)(B); 4-3(2)(B);11-1008(E)ARS; 4-28(1)civil — REVIEWED BY 2002 Konst 5/26

CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 74225(A-E); 74376(A-E); 74377(A-E); 74378(A-E); — BOND YES ☒ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
Lab mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Luke	Black	M	11yrs	none	Normal	A013447/A132374
Chihuahua mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Clyde	Tan	M	Adult	none	Normal	A387327
Chihuahua mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Sunshine	Black/Tan	F	Adult	none	Normal	A521372
Pit Bull Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Lucius	Blue/White	M	6Months	none	Injured	A521290
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							

WITNESS		DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 1: Escobar #35646	M ☒ F ☐	N/A	270 S Stone Ave	N/A	520-791-4444
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐				
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐				
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐				

W/C 5

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-172302

ACO Name & Badge: T. Foster #2042

05/26/15 08:50 I, Officer Foster #2042 arrived at 17th Ave in reference to a complaint of an injured puppy being neglected. Per the complainant the puppy was taken to a local vet and was diagnosed with a broken leg and that the owner refused to treat. As I exited the truck I observed a tan Chihuahua mix running at large in the street in front of the home. I also observed a black and tan Chihuahua type mix, an elderly black Lab type mix, and a blue and white Pit Bull mixed puppy who was limping on a front leg in the front yard. I examined the photos taken by the first Officer to respond to this call and discovered that the tan dog resides at 4516 S 17th Ave. I entered the front yard and called to the tan dog and he initially ignored me. I then approached the front of the house and knocked on the front door but did not receive an answer. I believed that I could hear the sounds of someone or something inside the residence moving around. I also believed I heard the sounds of human voices coming from inside the house. I knocked several more times and hollered "Animal Care" in an effort to attract the attention of any people inside the home. I did not receive a response from any human occupants. As I stood by the front door I could not help but observe a large enameled, stock pot style container containing a small amount of water. The water inside the pot was contaminated with a large amount of a green colored algae-like substance. I also observed that there were insects or their larvae inside the available water. The creatures I observed swimming around appeared to be mosquito larvae in various stages of development. While standing at the front door waiting for a response I also noted what appeared to be large amounts of animal waste present along the south side fence line. At 08:56 hours I placed a call to Supervisor D. Tenkate #1911 and advised her of the situation. We spoke on the phone and after explaining that I observed that the blue and white Pit Bull mix puppy was barely bearing weight on his injured front leg, the conditions of the water, and the dog running at large, she instructed me to impound all the dogs and post a notice of impound. She also requested that I examine the back yard if it was accessible. I walked around the south side of the home into the back yard where I observed a tremendous amount of animal waste throughout the back yard. I did observe that there was a wooden structure in the backyard that may have been a child's playhouse. The structure provided suitable shade and shelter for the dogs. I then concluded the phone call with Supervisor Tenkate.

By then I was at the location for approximately 15 minutes. I then exited the front yard and returned to the truck to grab a leash. When I returned I called to the tan dog known as Clyde and he then followed me back into the yard and I closed the gate behind me. I observed that there were numerous areas of the fencing where it appeared that someone has attempted to prevent the dogs from escaping and photographed the areas. Once back in the yard I placed a leash on the injured Pit Bull mixed puppy and removed him from the yard and placed him in a kennel on the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) truck. I returned to the yard and placed a leash on the black Lab type mix and was walking him out of the yard to place him on the truck when a woman, who appeared heavily pregnant, exited the house and began to scream "what the F&^k are you doing with my dogs?" I responded that I was with Pima Animal Care Center and that I was impounding the dogs due to neglect. She responded by screaming that her dogs were well cared for and not neglected. I then asked to see a copy of her state issued Id and she screamed at me "I'm not giving you S#!t you F%$king B*&^h!" I then requested that she calm down and she again began to scream and curse. I tried to explain that PACC received a complaint about the dogs and that I was there to investigate. I went on to say that I had observed several violations. She continued to refer to me a "Fu$%ing Bi%^h" and the more I tried to speak to her the more agitated she became. At one point she was screaming hard enough to discolor her face and her arms were flailing around. At that point I advised her that if she did not calm down that I would have to call Tucson Police Department to keep the peace. She continued to try to argue with me and call me foul names so I contacted PACC dispatch and requested that they call TPD and request a unit for failure to cooperate and to keep the peace. During the woman's tirade I placed a leash on the tan Chihuahua and placed him in a kennel on the (PACC) truck.

Officer's Signature: Continued **Date:**

WC 5

INVESTIGATION REPORT CONTINUATION

Activity Number: A15-172302

ACO Name & Badge: T. Foster #2042

The woman then grabbed the black and tan female Chihuahua and put her inside the house and closed the door. I then grabbed an opaque plastic jug from the equipment compartment of the PACC vehicle and returned to the front yard where I poured out the contents of the stock pot into the jug to keep as evidence for the attending Vet to examine. As I filled the container much of the water and the insects or insect larvae were poured out onto the ground and photographed the ones that did not make it into the jug. The owner continued to scream and berate me for harassing her. She kept stating that she was a pregnant single mother with two disabled children and asking why I was doing this to her. I ignored the screaming as I collected the water and returned the jug to the PACC truck and locked it securely into the equipment bay. After I stowed the contents of the water bowl I returned to the yard and the angry woman finally handed me her Id card and as I took it from her Tucson Police Officer Escobar #35646 arrived on scene. I explained the situation to him and then returned to the PACC truck where I again called Supervisor Tenkate and updated her on the appearance of the dog owner. She then instructed me to issue citations for all observed violations as well as failure to obtain a license on all four dogs. Supervisor Tenkate specifically stated that I should also issue a civil waste violation to Ms.] without the notice of violation. She also instructed me to ask for proof of treatment for the alleged broken leg of the puppy and if there was insufficient proof of vet care to impound the puppy and issue a Bond on him. I asked the woman I now knew to be \ for the paperwork that she received when she took the Pit Bull puppy to the vet. Ms. stated that she did not know where it was and stated that she had some pills for the puppy. I asked to see the pills and she returned to the house and came back out with an envelope containing Clavamox, an antibiotic, and a pill vial that contained Rimadyl, a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) for pain relief. Ms. also stated that she has been wrapping the puppy's leg to help support the break. I told her that unless she was directed to wrap the leg by the attending vet that she was not qualified to do so. I asked her how the puppy broke it's leg and she stated that he hurt it fence fighting with a neighbor's dog.

I then issued citations for 4 counts of No License; 4 counts of No Rabies Vaccination; 4 counts of Failure to Obtain a License; 1 Leash Law violation; 1 count of Neglect of Vet Care; and a Waste Violation (civil). I also completed a premise inspection sheet for the yard and a bond form for the injured Pit Bull type puppy. I returned to the sidewalk in front of the yard and presented the dog owner with the bond form and began to explain the process to her. She was very argumentative and I had to ask her several times to let me finish my sentences. I tried to impress upon her the importance of the information I was trying to share but she continued to demand to know why I was "doing this to her" she kept telling me that she is a pregnant single mother with two disabled children. I finally got her to sign the bond form and provided her with a copy and stressed that she MUST bring the money for the bond to PACC within 10 days. I then presented her with her citations. Ms. acknowledged, signed and accepted her copies of the citations. I then presented her with the premise inspection form and she signed and accepted the copy and stated that she would cooperate with the re-inspection process. I then told her that my supervisor gave me permission to return the Chihuahua known as Clyde and the Lab mix known as Luke to her. I then removed the two dogs from the kennels on the PACC truck and returned them to Ms. yard. Prior to leaving I thanked Ms. for her time and thanked Officer Escobar for his assistance and left the area. I was called back to the house moments later when the Ms. realized that I accidentally failed to return her license to her. I returned the license to Officer Escobar and returned to Pima Animal Care Center.

On arrival at Pima Animal Care Center I took the puppy I know knew to be called Lucius to Dr. J Wilcox, a vet for Pima County, along with the plastic jug containing the insects/insect larvae. Dr. Wilcox advised me that if the larvae test positive for the West Nile Virus that the water could be considered biohazard.

Officer's Signature: T. Foster #2042 **Date:** 5/26/15

W/C 6

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS	Partridge St
ZIP	857
CITY	Tucson
STATE	AZ
RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER	520
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER	
SEX	...
WEIGHT	200
HEIGHT	6'0"
EYES	BRO
HAIR COLOR	BR
ORIGIN	
ACO NAME / BADGE #	D. Hinte 2068
COMPLAINT NUMBER	A15-172110
BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
CODE IF OTHER :	
CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
DRIVERS LICENSE	
DOB	
SSN	

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

LOCATION OF INCIDENT	Stone Ave
DATE AND TIME REPORTED	5/21/15 / 1242
DATE AND TIME OCCURRED	5/21/15 / 1313
FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☒ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐	
VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	Officer D. Hinte 2068
D.O.B	
RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	
BUSINESS PHONE NO.	520-724-5900
VICTIM'S ADDRESS	Pima Animal Care Center
ZIP / CITY / STATE	
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	4000 N Silverbell Rd
ZIP	85745
CITY	Tucson
STATE	AZ
DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED	YES ☐ NO ☒
RESTITUTION REQUESTED	YES ☐ NO ☒
DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	
OTHER AGENCY CASE #	☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:
FOLLOW UP REQUEST	☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:
☐ VIOLATION / ☐ NON-VIOLATION	
BITE SEVERITY:	
PART OF BODY BITTEN:	
TREATED BY	
PHONE NUMBER	
DATE QUARANTINED	
RELEASE DATE:	
PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐	
VET CLINIC	
PHONE NUMBER	
OWNER KNOWS OF BITE	YES ☐ NO ☐
FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐	
CLINIC'S ADDRESS	
QUARANTINE	10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐
☐ FRA HEAD#	
3RD PARTY CITATIONS	YES ☐ NO ☒
CITING ACO	D. Hinte 2068
PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	YES ☐ NO ☒
PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	
OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
CODE/ORD VIOLATED	4-81; 4-3(2)(B)
REVIEWED BY	2002 KONST 5-23
CITATIONS/NUMBERS	74280 A-D
BOND	YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE		LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Sharpei mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Pudo	Brown Brindle	M	6yr		CITED	CURRENT	OK	A261616
Jack Russel mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Jean Claude	Black/white	M	6yr		CITED	CURRENT	OK	A339134
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

W/C 6

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-172110

ACO name & Badge: D. Hinte 2068

On May 21, 2015 at 12:42 PM, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch received a call from Jay, an employee of Pima County Justice Court, stating there were two dogs in a silver Toyota Tacoma parked in front of the building with the windows rolled up. The current temperature was recorded at 84.2 degrees Fahrenheit.

On May 21, 2015 at 1:13 PM, I Officer Hinte 2068, arrived at N Stone Ave. I observed a silver Tacoma pickup truck parked in front of the building at a meter. I observed all four windows rolled down approximately 3 inches. I observed two dogs, one medium brown brindle and one small black and white. I did not observe any water from my vantage point. When I returned to my truck to discuss the situation with a supervisor, I observed the owner approach the car. I met with and . I asked how long they were in the court building and Mr. replied 30-40 minutes. I asked if the dogs had water available that I could see and Mr. informed me that they did not. He stated that he thought he would only be in the building for 5 minutes, but it took longer. He stated that this is why he came out and rolled down the windows. I informed him that dogs must have water available at all times. I inquired about licenses for both dogs. He informed me that the small black and white dog named Jean Claude was licensed under Ms. and the medium brown dog named Pudo is licensed under his own name. I found Pudo and Jean Claude expired in our system. Mr. informed me that both dogs are current on rabies vaccinations. I issued citations to Mr. in the City for 2x no license and 2x neglect- no water. I explained his court date, time, and location. He stated that he understood, signed, and received his copy.

Officer's Signature: **Date:** 5/22/15

W/C 7

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT					ACO NAME / BADGE # 1942 Eckelbarger	COMPLAINT NUMBER A15-170618	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS Wood Bridge Ct					BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP 857	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS					CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT 315	HEIGHT 6-4	EYES Bro	HAIR COLOR Blk	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

LOCATION OF INCIDENT: Wood Bridge Ct
DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 4-27-15 / 1221
DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 5-20-15 / 1120

FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☒ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME 1942 Eckelbarger	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 724-5992		
VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE	
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd		ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	CITING ACO 1942 Eckelbarger	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 4-3 (Z)(B), 4-3 (Z)(C), 4-81

REVIEWED BY 5-21-15 DTK 1911

CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 74603 (A-C)

BOND YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit-bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Ronda	Gray/White	F	1yr		Cited		Ok	A520702
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

W/C 7

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-170618

ACO name & Badge:1942 Eckelbarger

On 5-20-15 at 1111 hours, I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) responded to W. Wood Bridge Ct where I received no answer at the door. I then walked around to the back of the building/complex and observed a female gray and white pit-bull in a cage in the back patio area of the property. The dog was panting and had no shade, shelter, or water. The temperature was approximately 82 degrees Fahrenheit. The dog was in direct sunlight when I responded. There was a water and food bowl outside the cage but both were empty and the dog did not have access to them. I took photographs of the yard and the dog. As I began impounding the dog, the owner (DOB 10-31-89), came outside in the backyard.

He stated that he put Ronda in the cage because she tore up a weight bench he had on the patio previously. He stated that she also is starting to get big enough to where she may jump the wall. Mr. stated that he has had the dog for about 1 year and she is 1 year and 3 months old. I then advised him that the dog must have access to shade, shelter, and water at all times. I had him take Ronda out of the cage and provide her with water. He moved the kennel/cage under the patio. The dog now has shade and shelter while having access to whole yard/patio area. I then checked for a license on Ronda in the computer and found none. I cited Mr. for neglect-no water, neglect- no shelter, and no license on Ronda under City jurisdiction. Mr. signed and received his copies of the citations.

Officer's Signature: 1942.

Date: 5-21-15

W/C 8

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT						ACO NAME / BADGE # C. Meek 2015	COMPLAINT NUMBER A15-172005
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS N. Forgeus Ave						BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP 857	CITY TUC	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER			CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS						CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER			DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX	WEIGHT 165	HEIGHT 5'11	EYES BRO	HAIR COLOR BK	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

LOCATION OF INCIDENT Forgeus	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 05/20/15 / 1210	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 05/20/15 / 1225	
FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐			

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-3405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME Officer C. Meek #2015	DOB	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 520-724-5900		
VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE	
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd		ZIP 85745	CITY TUC	STATE AZ	
DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:	

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	CITING ACO C. Meek #2015	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3(I), 4-3(2)(B), 4-3(2)(E)(2),4-8I, 4-7G				REVIEWED BY	
CITATIONS/NUMBERS 74344				BOND YES ☐ NO ☐	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit Bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Coco	Tan	F	2Y	cited	cited	ok	A520738
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								

WITNESS 1 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

W/C 8

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-172005

ACO name & Badge: C. Meek 2015

On 05/20/15 at 1210 hours a Pima Animal Care Center Supervisor reported to the dispatch department that she was made aware of a dog being confined in a crate with a muzzle on with no access to water. The dispatch department was advised that volunteers did attempt to make contact with the dog owner but were unsuccesful. It was also report that the dog at some point was removed from the crate and was now tied out and appeared to be having problems breathing.

On 05/20/15 at 1225 hours I Officer Meek #2015 responded to N. Forgeus Avenue in reference to a neglect tie out complaint. I arrived at the address and was able to locate the apartment described in the complaint. I was able to stand on a block retaining wall and look over the fence.

Inside the yard I observed a medium sized tan colored Pit Bull. I observed the dog to be on a tie out with no access to water and in additon to the dog being tied with no access to water it was also wearing a black nylon muzzle. I was able to photograph the dog on the tie out. I looked over the yard from my vantage point and I did not observe any wet spots in the yard where it would lead me to believe the dog recently knocked over water.

I then made my way to the front door and knocked, I was able to meet with a Mr. and a female house guest who identified himself as the dog and the dog's name as Coco. I advised Mr. of the complaint and that I photographed Coco tied out with no water and asked that she be removed from the tie out. Mr. complied and brought Coco into the residence. I asked Mr. why Coco was tied. Mr. advised me that when Coco is left alone in the yard she becomes destructive and has recently become fixated on a gate. Mr. advised me that Coco has chewed the gate causing some damage to it and he was concerned that if she chewed the gate further that she would be able to escape the yard and run loose.

I advised Mr. that it was his responsibility to ensure Coco was confined to his property and not allowed to run loose and additionally it was his responsibility to ensure that Coco's basic welfare needs were met by having acccess to water, shelter, and not

W/C 8

being tied out. Mr. , and his house guest advised me that Coco was only tied out for a short time and that she does spend the majority of her time indoors and that she was given water before my arrival but the water was taken away as she likes to destroy the water bowls. I advised Mr. , that I understood their stance but I again advised them that it was their responsibility to ensure that Coco had access to clean water at all times and take the necessary precautions to ensure that she did not run out of water.

I then Advised Mr. that I would be issuing him citations based on my findings. Mr. stated he understood and provided me with a Washington driver's license. I issued Mr. Beniako the appropiate citations and I advised Mr. that with the citations he would need to appear in court and I provided him with the date. Mr. , stated he understood his need to appear and signed his copy of the citations. Mr. stated he understood his need to appear and signed his copy of the citations. Mr. , his house guest, and I then had another discussion regarding Coco's welfare requirements where I again reitterated that they must ensure that Coco had access to water and was never tied out. I advised them that if another complaint was made and Coco was found insimiliar conditions another set of citations would be issued and the possiblity of Coco being removed from the property was real. Mr. stated he understood, it should also be noted that during my meeting with Mr. Coco was inside the residence where all of her welfare requirements were met.

Officer's Signature: Date: 05/21/15

W/C 9

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS	Ajo Way #228
ZIP	857
CITY	Tucson
STATE	AZ
RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER	none
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER	
SEX	
WEIGHT	195
HEIGHT	5'2"
EYES	Bro
HAIR COLOR	Bro
ORIGIN	
ACO NAME / BADGE #	X. Delgadillo #2047
COMPLAINT NUMBER	A15-171562
BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
CODE IF OTHER :	
CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
DRIVERS LICENSE	
DOB	
SSN	

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

LOCATION OF INCIDENT: /. Ajo Way #228
DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 05/12/2015 / 15:03
DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 05/12/2015 / 15:07

FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	X. Delgadillo #2047
D.O.B	
RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	
BUSINESS PHONE NO.	520-724-5900*3
VICTIM'S ADDRESS	
ZIP / CITY / STATE	
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	4000 N. Silverbell Rd
ZIP	85745
CITY	Tucson
STATE	AZ

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒
RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐
DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER
OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:
FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

☐ VIOLATION
☐ NON-VIOLATION
BITE SEVERITY:
PART OF BODY BITTEN:
TREATED BY
PHONE NUMBER
DATE QUARANTINED
RELEASE DATE:
PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

VET CLINIC
PHONE NUMBER
OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐
FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

CLINIC'S ADDRESS
QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐
☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒
CITING ACO
PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒
PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER
OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 4-3-(2)(B), 4-3-(2) (E) (2), 4-81, 4-76
REVIEWED BY: 2002 Konst 5/15
CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 74085, 74086
BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Chihuahua	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Bebe	Brown	M	A		Cited	Cited		519711
Pit-bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Unknown	White/Black	M	A		Cited	Cited		519712
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☒ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

W/C 9

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-171562

ACO name & Badge:X. Delgadillo #2047

On May 12, 2015 I, Officer Delgadillo #2047, arrived at · W. Ajo Way sp#228 in reference to neglect, dog on a tie out.

I went to the front of the residence and observed a brown Chihuahua tied to a small children's bicycle. I called out and received no answer. I then went to the rear of the home and observed a white with some black male pit bull on a tie-out. I heard voices inside the residence so I knocked on the back door.

I met with and asked her if she resided there. She stated yes and I asked her to come outside. I advised her that the dogs on tie-outs are illegal and she stated that the dogs were not hers. I asked her how old she was and stated 21. She continued to explain the dog owner would be home later today. I explained the laws and advised her that the dogs cannot be tie-outs and she stated that she would remove the Chihuahua but could not handle the pit bull. She stated that the owner would be home in about 1/2 hour and she was just watching the children. I advised her that she would need to provide the dog with water and remain outside with the dog until arrived to insure the health and welfare of the dog. Ms. stated that she would.

Ms. was cited into Tucson City Court for the following violations for the White Pit-Bull and Brown Chihuahua

Neglect - tie-out
Neglect- No Water
No License
No Rabies Vaccination.

Ms. signed her citations; received a copy and was provided her court date and time.

Officer's Signature: Date: 5/14/15

W/C 10

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT				ACO NAME / BADGE # X. Delgadillo #2047	COMPLAINT NUMBER A15-170754
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS Via De Alfonsina				BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP 85	CITY Sahuarita	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER 520-	CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER	DRIVERS LICENSE D06957356	

SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN
	190	5'7"	Bro	Bro			

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒	LOCATION OF INCIDENT Pine River Pl	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 04/29/2015 / 12:55	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 04/29/2015 / 15:31

FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME X. Delgadillo #2047	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 520-724-5900*3	
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-805 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd		ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM						
PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐	CITING ACO	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3-(2)(B)				REVIEWED BY 2002 Konst 5/7	
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73818				BOND YES ☐ NO ☐	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
ow	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Demon	Red	M	A					518318
t-bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Cyras	White	M	A					518321
t-bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Cerebus	Blue	M	A					518323
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

TNESS 1 M ☒ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
TNESS 2 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

W/C 10

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-170754

ACO name & Badge:X. Delgadillo #2047

On April 29, 2015 at approximately 15:31 I, Officer Delgadillo #2047, arrived at . Pine River Pl in reference to possible abandonment of three dogs.

I observed three dogs in the back yard. One blue and white male pit bull, white and brown male pit bull and a red chow, male. I could not see food or water so I entered the yard to conduct an inspection.

Several bowls were in the yard but no food or water was present. I impounded the dogs due to lack of water and no shelter. While posting a notice I heard a bird inside the residence. I went to the next door neighbor and he stated that they had a parrot. I called Supervisor Tenkate and was advised to post notice and if no response we would need to enter the premises.

On Mav 1. 2015 at approximately 1650 Supervisor Tenkate met with Via De Alfonsina, when she came to redeem her grandmothers' dogs at the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC). Ms. stated that the three dogs that were impounded were not abandoned at Pine River Pl. She explained that her grandmother passed away and that she and her grandfather, , had been caring for the dogs. I then explained that the dogs were impounded because they did not have any water available. She was also advised that Officer Delgadillo stated the home had no water or electricity; a bird was heard from inside the home. Ms. knew the water and electric were disconnected and said the neighbor was asked to provide the dogs with water and food that she had provided.

Ms. was cited in Tucson City Court by Supervisor Tenkate for neglect no water on the Chow A518318 Demon; Pit Bull A518321 Cerberous; and Pit Bull A518323Ms. signed her citations and received a copy. Ms. was provided her court date and time.

Officer's Signature: Date: 5/7/15

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD • TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

MEMORANDUM

TO: Kim Janes, Chief of External Operations

FROM: Neil Konst, Animal Care Field Supervisor

DATE: 6/4/15

RE: Dangerous Dog Cases for May 2015

Pima:

1. A15-168374 Buckley; dog(s) named Bonnie and Freckles were both declared dangerous by Investigator Klein. It was reported by owner the dogs did not return home the day of the attack. The property and owner will be monitored for the dogs return.

2. A15168408 Garnett; a dog named Dixon was declared dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger. The dog and the owners whereabouts are unknown.

3. A15-171137 Peru; a dog named Foster was declared not dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger.

Oro Valley

4. A15-170969 Knauf; a dog named Piper was declared dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger who is monitoring compliance.

Tucson:

5. A15171174 Schlott; a dog named Bubba was declared dangerous by Investigator Klein, who is monitoring compliance.

6. A15-170488 Merino; dog named Coco was declared dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger who is monitoring compliance.

Marana:

7. A15-171723 Freel; a dog named Murphy was declared not dangerous by Investigator Klein.

PIMA COUNTY ANIMAL CARE CENTER
MONTHLY INVESTIGATIONS REPORT
DANGEROUS DOG CASES FOR

Pima

CASE NUMBER	OWNER'S LAST NAME	NUMBER OF ANIMALS ASSESSED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED DANGEROUS	NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED NOT DANGEROUS	NUMBER OF ANIMALS IMPOUNDED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS RELINQUISHED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS PTS	HEARING Y/N	UPHELD	NOT UPHELD
A15-168374	Buckley	2	2	0	0	0	0	N		
A15-168408	Garnett	1	1	0		0	0	N		
A15-171137	Peru	1	0	1	0	0	0	N		

Oro Valley

CASE NUMBER	OWNER'S LAST NAME	NUMBER OF ANIMALS ASSESSED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED DANGEROUS	NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED NOT DANGEROUS	NUMBER OF ANIMALS IMPOUNDED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS RELINQUISHED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS PTS	HEARING Y/N	UPHELD	NOT UPHELD
A15-170969	Knauf	1	1	0	0	0	0	N		

Tucson

CASE NUMBER	OWNER'S LAST NAME	NUMBER OF ANIMALS ASSESSED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED DANGEROUS	NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED NOT DANGEROUS	NUMBER OF ANIMALS IMPOUNDED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS RELINQUISHED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS PTS	HEARING Y/N	UPHELD	NOT UPHELD
A15-171174	Schlott	1	1	0	1	0	0	N		
A15-170488	Merino	1	1	0	0	0	0	N		

Marana

CASE NUMBER	OWNER'S LAST NAME	NUMBER OF ANIMALS ASSESSED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED DANGEROUS	NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED NOT DANGEROUS	NUMBER OF ANIMALS IMPOUNDED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS RELINQUISHED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS PTS	HEARING Y/N	UPHELD	NOT UPHELD
A15-171723	Freel	1	0	1	0	0	0	N		

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT: William Joseph Buckley			ACO NAME / BADGE #: C. Meek 2015	COMPLAINT NUMBER: A15-168374
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS			BITE ☐ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☒	
ZIP	CITY: TUC	STATE: AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER	CODE IF OTHER :
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS			CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER	DRIVERS LICENSE
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES / HAIR COLOR / ORIGIN	DOB / SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☒ NO ☐

LOCATION OF INCIDENT | DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 03/23/15 / 0759 | DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 03/23/15 / 0740

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☒ leash law

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME		RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.	
ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY: TUC	STATE: AZ
ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE
DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED: YES ☐ NO ☐	RESTITUTION REQUESTED: YES ☒ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # 150323046 ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER: PCSO	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:
☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: / PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE: / PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐	FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#	
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐	CITING ACO: C. Meek 2015	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 6.04.030,6.04.120(B)(2),11-1010(A)ARS,6.04.070				REVIEWED BY: 2002 KONST 3/24
CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 73425,73420,73424				BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
lastiff-X	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Bonnie	Brn	F	1Y		cited	cited	ok	A514338
lastiff-X	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Charlie	Wht	M	8M		cited	cited	ok	A514339
lastiff-X	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Spunky	wht	M	1Y		08227		ok	A510656
lastiff-X	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Freckles	Wht	F	2Y		082297		ok	A510657
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

TNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
TNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
TNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
TNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-168374

ACO name & Badge: C. Meek 2015

On 03/23/15 at 0755 hours Pima Animal Care Center received a complaint from a who stated she went outside at approximately 0741 hours and saw her neighbor's dogs attacking her cow. described the dogs as three white Pit Bull mixes and one Brown Pit Bull mix. advised the dispatcher the dogs were shot at and ran off but the cow is injured.

On 03/23/15 at approximately 0900 hours I Officer Meek badge number 2015 responded to in reference to a leash law animal attack complaint.

I arrived at the address and observed that Pima County Sherriff Office was also on scene. I met with Deputy Rovi badge number 1188 who also provided the case number of 150323046. Deputy Rovi advised me he met with the complainant and was able to locate the attacking dog owner's residence at I advised Deputy Rovi that I would meet with the complainant first and then meet with the attacking dog owner.

I made my way to the complainant's residence where I was able to meet with a advised me that his cow was attacked at approximately 0740 in the morning and was injured as a result. advised me that he had a video of the four dogs attacking his cow. showed me the video, which depicted a total of four dogs which all, appeared to be Pit Bull or Mastiff type dogs attacking his cow about the ears and face. I provided with my Pima Animal Care Center e-mail and asked that he forward the video to me. also advised me that he did go outside with his shotgun in an attempt to break the dogs off his cow but was unable to shoot the dogs as they were all on the cow and there was no shot available to take. did fire in the direction of the attacking dogs, which is shown in the video.

I was able to view the cow, which is approximately 14 months old. The cow had several deep lacerations and the cow's ears were ripped and actively bleeding. It appeared as though the cow was in pain as it shook its head regularly la advised me that he was calling a

vet to come out and check the cow over. I asked M [illegible] he would like citations issued to the dog's owner [illegible] advised me that he would like citations issued to the dog owner along with restitution for whatever vet care would be required as a result of the attack. I provided [illegible] with my name, badge, and complaint number and advised him I would be meeting the dog owner and issue the citations.

I then was able to meet with a Mr. William Buckley the attacking dog owner. When I met with Mr. Buckley all four dogs were confined to the residence. Mr. Buckley was aware of the complaint. I asked Mr. Buckley how the dogs were able to get out. Mr. Buckley advised me that he was unsure how the dogs were able to escape. Mr. Buckley advised me that his wife took his son to the bus stop earlier in the morning and when they arrived at the stop she had to run back home and found the dogs missing. Mr. Buckley advised me that he and his wife have been attempting to fix fencing but the dogs are able to find weak spots and get out. Mr. Buckley's fencing in the front of the property is a combination of field fencing and chain link.

I asked Mr. Buckley if the dogs had current rabies vaccinations as well as licenses. Mr. Buckley advised me that his wife a Ms. [illegible] did license and vaccinate the dogs. I then advised Mr. Buckley that the cow's owner requested that I issue him citations for the attack and asked to have his driver's license, which he provided me. I was then able to find that two of the four dogs involved in the attack were currently licensed and vaccinated but the others there were no records. I issued Mr. Buckley the citations requested by [illegible] along with no license and no rabies vaccination. I advised Mr. Buckley that with the citations he would need to appear in court and I provided him with the date. Mr. Buckley stated he understood his need to appear and signed his copy of the citations.

Officer's Signature: [illegible] Date: 03/24/5

PIM

1

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A15.170514 ADDRESS:
OWNER: WILLIAM BUCKLEY/MICHELLE MADLIN SEX: F BREED: PIT MIX
ANIMAL NAME: BONNIE COLOR: BROWN DATE: 5.6.15

EVALUATION CRITERIA A514338

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2	+2 +2 +2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2	
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3	+3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1	+2

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2	
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	+3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4	
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5	

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+ 5	+5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	-3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	-1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # ~~[illegible]~~	~~- 1~~	~~-1~~
NO CURRENT LICENSE (2)	+ 1	
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	+2
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	+2

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+ 2	
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	+1

Confinement / Fencing:

5-6 FOOT FENCING MADE OF CHAINLINK AND FIELD FENCE. THE ENTRY GATE HAS A CHAIN AND PADLOCK.

General Comments:

BONNIE WAS ONE OF FOUR DOGS WITNESSED AT LARGE AND BEHAVING AGGRESSIVELY ON MULTIPLE OCCASIONS.

BONNIE IS DEEMED DANGEROUS

EV

OFFICER # 1926

TOTAL SCORE: +20

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

X DANGEROUS
____ NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threating manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC **IN PERSON**.

PACC-DD1

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A15-170514 ADDRESS:
OWNER: LOUIAN BUCKLEY/MICHELLE MADLIN SEX: F BREED: PIT MIX
ANIMAL NAME: FRECKLES A510657 COLOR: WHT/TAN DATE: 5-6-15

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2	+2 +2 +2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	+1 +1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2	
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3	+3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1	+2

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2	
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	+3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4	
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5	

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+ 5	+5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	-3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	-1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # ~~247509~~	~~+1~~	~~+1~~
NO CURRENT LICENSE (EXP)	+ 1	
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	+2
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	+2

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+ 2	
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	+1

Confinement / Fencing:

5-6 FOOT FENCING MADE UP OF CHAINLINK AND FIELD FENCE THE ENTRY GATE HAS A CHAIN AND PADLOCK

General Comments:

FRECKLES WAS ONE OF FOUR DOGS WITNESSED AT LARGE AND BEHAVING AGGRESSIVELY ON MULTIPLE OCCASIONS.

FRECKLES IS DEEMED DANGEROUS

EK OFFICER # 1926

TOTAL SCORE: +22

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

X DANGEROUS
____ NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threating manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC **IN PERSON**.

PACC-DD1

2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT: Leroy Earl Garnett Jr		ACO NAME / BADGE #: X. Delgadillo #2047	COMPLAINT NUMBER: A15-168408
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☒ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR COLOR / ORIGIN / DOB / SSN			

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☒ NO ☐

LOCATION OF INCIDENT | DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 03/23/15 / 11:37 | DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 03/23/15 / 10:14

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY / STATE
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY / STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED	RESTITUTION REQUESTED	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE #	FOLLOW UP REQUEST
YES ☒ NO ☐	YES ☒ NO ☐		☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

☒ VIOLATION / ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: 2 / PART OF BODY BITTEN: right pointer finger and left middle finger	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☒ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☒ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐	CITING ACO: X. Delgadillo #2047	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 6.04.30, 6.04.120(B) (2), 11-1010(ARS)				REVIEWED BY 4-1-15 OTK1911	
CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 74071, 74072, 74073				BOND YES ☐ NO ☐	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
ierman Shepherd lix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Dixon	Brown	M	A		Cited	Cited		514743
it Bull Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Duke	White/Black	M	A		Cited	Cited		514740
it Bull Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Puppy	White/Tan	F	A		Cited	Cited		514741
how Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Basia	Brown	M	A		Cited	Cited		514742
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

TNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
TNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-168408

ACO name & Badge:X. Delgadillo #2047

03/24/15 18:05 I, Officer Delgadillo #2047 Arrived a.
this is the dog owner's residence; I met with and his landlord.
stated that on March 23, 2015 at approximately 10:14 hrs, he was outside with his Chihuahua Buster on a leash and his Pit- Bull, when three dogs (black/white Pit-Bull, brown/white Pit-Bull and a brown dog) came onto his property. He stated that the brown dog attacked his Chihuahua and he was bitten on his right middle finger and left index finger while attempting to take his dog away from the attacking dog's mouth. He stated that the dogs belong to "Red" a said a friend of the dog owner came and took the dogs back. stated that he took his dog to Valley Animal of Sahuarita where the dog was euthanized due to its injuries. stated that his dogs back was broken and his lungs collapsed. was advised of third party citations and he is requesting the citations be issued for leash law and biting animal; also is requesting restitution for the vet costs of $272.50.

At approximately 18:29 I arrived at and met with the dog owner, Leroy Earl Garnett Jr. I advised Mr. Garnett of the purpose of my visit and he stated that he was aware of the incident with the neighbor. I asked Mr. Garnett where the attacking dog was and he stated that the dog has not been seen since yesterday after the incident, the dog ran way. I asked to see his other dogs and he led me to the back yard. I advised Mr. Garnett that the bite victim is requesting restitution for the vet cost and he stated that he would voluntarily pay the requested restitution.

I observed a white and black Pit-Bull, a white and tan Pit-Bull and a red Chow. I asked Mr. Garnett what type of dog the attacking dog was and he stated that Dixon was a German Shepherd Mix. I asked him if someone was holding the dog and he stated that the dog ran off and he cannot find it. I asked for license and rabies vaccination documentation for the three dogs on his property. He stated that he could not find the documents but the he obtained them through the Pima County Animal Shelter. I reviewed chameleon and could not locate any dogs licensed to Mr. Garnett.

Mr. Garnett was cited into Pima County Court for the following violations:

Leash law; biting animal on animal; biting animal human; no license and no rabies vaccination for Dixon a brown German Shepherd.

Leash Law; no license and no rabies vaccination for Duke, a white and black Pit-Bull.

Leash Law; no license and no rabies vaccination for Puppy, a white and tan Pit-Bull.

No license and no rabies vaccination for Basia, a red Chow mix.

Mr. Garnett signed his citations and received a copy. He was advised of his court date and time and the new court location.

Officer's Signature: 

Date: 4/1/15

PIM

2

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 243-5900, option 3 FAX (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

COMPLAINT # A15-169046
OFFICER # 1942 Eckellberger
DATE: 4-2-15

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

_____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

X An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

_____ An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

The dog "Dixon" is declared dangerous as a result of attacking another dog and biting the victim dog's owner while in violation of the leash law.
The victim dog's injuries were so severe the dog needed to be euthanized.

OWNER: Leroy Garnett Jr.
ADDRESS:
PHONE:

ANIMAL NAME: Dixon
ANIMAL ID#: A514743
SEX M COLOR: Blo BREED: G. Shep X

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE .

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.

PIM

3

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 743-7550 FAX (520) 743-9581
www.pimaanimalca... org

CASE NO: A15-171137

OWNER: Irma Perez

ANIMAL NAME: Foster

ADDRESS:

SEX: N BREED: Terrier mix

COLOR: white DATE: 5-10-15

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	+3
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	+3
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2	
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2	
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3	
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2	
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4	
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5	

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	-5
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+ 5	

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	-1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 14-238251	- 1	-1
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+ 1	
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	-3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	-3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+ 2	+2
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	

Confinement / Fencing:

Owner has a backyard Block wall appx 5.5 feet high with one gate.

General Comments:

The dog Foster scored a -5 and is therefore not declared dangerous at this time

OFFICER # 1942 Eckelberger

TOTAL SCORE: -5

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

_____ DANGEROUS

X NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threating manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC **IN PERSON**.

PACC-DD1

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-171137

ACO name & Badge:1942 Eckelbarger

On 11-19-15 and adult probation officer was inside the home of where she was visiting a subject. The small Terrier mix "Foster" then bit the probation officer on the knee causing a puncture. The dog owner, Irma Peru, was issued citations for no license and no rabies vaccination under County jurisdiction. As a result of the plea deal in county court, a dangerous dog evaluation was to be conducted on Foster.

On 5-10-15 at 1015 hours, I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) responded to to conduct a court ordered dangerous dog evaluation on a Terrier mix named "Foster". I received no answer at the door. I observed "Foster" in the backyard. The dog appeared aggressive and was growling and barking at me while at the gate. The backyard consisted of a block wall approximately 5.5 feet tall with one gate. The confinement appeared secure. I then conducted neighborhood interviews. , The majority opinion was that Foster has not been seen at large or aggressive. I found no previous history for the biting dog or the owner. Foster was now neutered and current on his license and rabies vaccination.

I then called dog owner, Irma Peru, stated they were not at home. I conducted the owner interview. I then finished the score sheet. Foster scored a -5 and is therefore not declared dangerous at this time.

Officer's Signature: 1942 Date: 6-2-15

4

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT: Elmer Leroy Knauf		ACO NAME / BADGE #: T. Foster #2042	ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER: A15-170969
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☒ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☐ CO ☐ OTHER ☒	
CITY: N/A	STATE: N/A / ZIP: N/A / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER: N/A	DRIVERS LICENSE	

SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	ORIGIN	DOB	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER
							Not Asked

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

LOCATION OF INCIDENT | DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT: 05/03/15 1745-1800 | DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 05/03/15 18:17

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ INJ / ILL ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/GUARDIAN/ATTANT NAME	DATE OF BIRTH	RESIDENCE PHONE	BUSINESS PHONE: 701-426-5835
ADDRESS			STATE / ZIP
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	CITY: N/A		STATE / ZIP

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED	RESTITUTION REQUESTED	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # V15-050125	FOLLOW UP REQUEST
YES ☒ NO ☐	YES ☒ NO ☐		☐ SHERIFF DEPT ☐ TUCSON POLICE ☐ FIRE ☒ OTHER: Oro Valley PD	☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

☒ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: N/A	TREATED BY: N/A	PHONE NUMBER: N/A	DATE QUARANTINED: 05/03/15	PACC ☐ VET ☒ HOME ☐
	PART OF BODY BITTEN: N/A			RELEASE DATE: 05/12/15	

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

OWNER'S ADDRESS	PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE: YES ☒ NO ☐	FTQ ☐ LTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

OWNER'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE (DAYS): 10 ☒ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD# N/A	
3RD PARTY CITATIONS: YES ☒ NO ☐	CITING ACO: Sup. D. Tenkate #1911	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS: YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER: N/A	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS: N/A

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 18-9-1(A); 18-3-1	REVIEWED BY: DTK 1911 5-11-15
CITATIONS/NUMBERS: #73819 (B,C)	BOND: YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
Heeler/Malinois	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Piper	Red	F	10yr	None	Normal	A118073
Poodle-Toy	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Max	Black	M	10yr	L15-214976	Injured	A465246
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							

WITNESS 1	M ☒ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☒		ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-170969

ACO Name & Badge: T. Foster #2042

05/03/15 18:17 Pima Animal Care Dispatch Operators received a call from Oro Valley Police Department regarding a human and animal attack that took place at It was stated that the attacking dog charged out of the given address and attacked a dog being walked. It was also reported that multiple people were bitten while attempting to break up the dog fight. Per the reporting agency the victim dog was grievously injured and that the owner of the attacking dog fled the scene with his dog.

05/03/15 18:21 I, Officer Foster #2042 arrived at nd was met in the street by Oro Valley Police Department Officer Knapp#V237 who was there in reference to a dog on dog attack that included two human bites. He advised me that between 17:45 and 18:00 hours Gretchen Poellot, David Hisey. Mr. Hisey's wife, and Margaret "Peggy" Baron were walking their dogs west bound in the r and as they past 1615 they observed that the garage door was opening and a dog known as Piper ran out of the open garage door and the dog's owner yelled to the people walking their dogs that they should pick up their dogs. Piper first attempted to attack the s dog Maggie but instead grabbed hold of black/gray poodle Max and attacked him. All parties then began to try to break up the dog fight. According to all the witnesses the dog known as Piper would not relent in her attack on Max until a bystander began to kick her but she actually only let got when he stood on her hips causing Piper to yelp and let go of Max. In the melee nd sustained two or three small punctures apiece. I y transported Max to Veterinary Specialty Center Of Tucson located at emergency treatment. It was initially reported that Max was so seriously injured that he may not survive the surgery to repair his injuries. The witnesses also stated that had seriously mauled fingers. I was able to speak with I while on scene and neither were decided as to whether or not they want to press charges. After I spoke with t I relocated to speak with the dog owner. I met with the dog owner Mr. Knauf and learned that Piper's License was expired but that she has a current rabies vaccination. Mr. Knauf has very low walls, 4', but much lower in areas where the soil has built up in the corners. Mr. Knauf stated that he is aware of Piper's aggression toward other dogs and that he walks her in large parking lots and empty fields where he will not encounter other dogs. Mr. Knauf also admitted that Piper once attacked a greyhound that belonged to a woman named He also told me that Piper has terminal bladder cancer, an abscess on her abdomen that is not healing, and diabetes. I photographed the vet papers and called Supervisor Tenkate. Per Supervisor Tenkate I was to impound the dog for the ten day quarantine and possibly for a Dangerous Dog evaluation. She also stated that if Max died that Piper would be declared dangerous automatically. I had the dog owner sign the quarantine at Pima Animal Care Center form and advised him that Supervisor Tenkate stated that in the morning of 05/04/15 Dr. Wilcox would speak with his vet and if Dr. Connally felt that Piper could not be properly maintained at Pima Animal Care Center then an Officer would transport her free of charge to his vet's clinic. I then attempted to walk Piper out of the house but she attempted to come up the leash and bite me several times. It is worth knowing that even though the owner was watching he never tried to stop her or correct her behavior and instead offered excuses. The dog owner came outside and helped to load Piper in the truck and we had no further issues. The dog owner provided me with four prescriptions, one of which was insulin which I transported on ice. Officer Knapp and I then left the dog owner's home and as we were preparing to go to Veterinary Specialty Center Of Tucson (VSCOT) we observe Hisey pulling I vehicle into her driveway. He advised us that the victim dog owner was still at VSCOT but that her r came to drive her home when they could leave. I took his statement (as previously described) and photographed his injuries. I then thanked him and relocated to VSCOT where I was not able to meet with ce she had left the facility a few minutes before my arrival. Instead I spoke with the attending vet and got permission to photograph Max' injuries.

Officer's Signature: continued **Date:**

4

INVESTIGATION REPORT CONTINUATION

Activity Number: A15-170969

ACO Name & Badge: T. Foster #2042

As we discussed his case the biter dog's name was mentioned and the vet stated that Piper had just left the facility and called out to then met with and she advised me that due to Piper's medical issues that she should NOT be quarantined at Pima Animal Care Center due to fears of additional infections and/or illnesses. I then called Supervisor Tenkate and received permission to drop Piper of at VSCOT for her ten day quarantine. I then provided the vet with all of Piper's medications and she helped to off-load Piper. It was also determined that while Max was badly injured, he is not facing death and his prognosis was good. His attending Vet stated that she would perform surgery to clip and clean the wounds and place Penrose drains where needed.

05/04/15 14:11 I arrived at . and knocked on the front door. I was met by the victim dog owner, who invited me inside. I stated the reason for my visit and asked her if Max had surgery yet. She stated that he had and that he was now at home. She allowed me to see him and photograph his injuries post-surgery. I asked if she is requesting citations and she stated that she is wishing to press charges. ated that she has already spent $2000.00 on Max's care and that there will be additional office visits for continued care that will certainly result in additional bills. I then explained the dangerous dog program and asked if she is requesting a Dangerous Dog Evaluation ated that she believes that the dog known as Piper is a dangerous dog and is requesting that Pima Animal Care Center conduct a Dangerous Dog Evaluation on her behalf. I obtained a copies of her vet bills to date and relocated to the dog owners residence.

15:00 I arrived at y to meet with the attacking dog owner and knocked on the front door but did not receive an answer. I attempted to call the number on record and received a busy signal. I posted a notice for him and left the area.

05/09/15 Supervisor N. Konst spoke with f by phone, he was wondering when he would be able to take the dog home after quarantine. Supervisor Konst explained that would have to be discussed in person. He agreed to come into Pima Animal Care Center on Sunday morning 5/10/15. Supervisor Konst advised him that there would be a supervisor available from 0900 to 1700 hrs to speak with him. Mr. Knauf stated his dog is 10 yrs old, and that his rented house has a fence approx. 3.5 feet to 4 feet high. Supervisor Konst explained that a dangerous dog assessment was requested along with citations. Mr. Knauf stated he has called his home owners insurance concerning the attack. Supervisor Konst then asked what happened on that day. Mr Knauf stated that he was putting Piper into the car and had just removed the leash when Piper heard three smaller dogs barking out in front of Mr. Knauf's address. Piper then ran out and grabbed one of the dogs. Mr. Knauf then made a reference to a previous dog on dog attack and he stated that a lady was walking her greyhound down the street, she claimed Piper jumped the 3.5 - 4 foot fence attacked her dog then jumped back over the fence. That was approximately 7 years ago.

On 5/10/15 1034 hours Supervisor Tenkate #1911 met with the dog owner Elmer Leroy Knauf at the Pima Animal Care Center, 4000 N Silverbell Rd, to inquire about the veterinary quarantine release for his Heeler/Shepherd mix A118073 named Piper. She explained to Mr Knauf that the owner of the dog that Piper attacked has requested citations, restitution and a dangerous dog evaluation.

Supervisor Tenkate explained the dangerous dog assessment procedure and the requirements to Mr. Knauf if Piper is declared dangerous. Mr. Knauf is going to pick up Piper from the veterinary clinic after quarantine on 5/12/15 and will keep her inside the home and will supervise her when she relieves herself in the yard area.

Mr. Knauf provided Supervisor Tenkate with his Arizona drivers license for identification. He then signed and received a copy of citation #73819 for Biting Animal and Leash Law and a notice of the pending dangerous dog evaluation. He is aware of his court date, time and location. 1911

Officer's Signature: T. Foster # 2042 **Date:** 5/10/15

ORO

4

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A15-171583
OWNER: Elmer Knauf
ANIMAL NAME: Piper

ADDRESS:
SEX: F BREED: Malinois mix
COLOR: Red DATE: 5-13-15

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	+6 +6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	+2 +2
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2	+2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2	
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3	+3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2	
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4	+4
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5	

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+ 5	+5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	-1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	+1
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 15-120831	- 1	-1
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+ 1	
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	+1
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	+2

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+ 2	+2
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	

Confinement / Fencing:

Has block wall appx 5 feet tall in backyard + 3 feet in the front yard.

General Comments:

The dog Piper scored a +34 and is therefore declared dangerous at this time.

OFFICER # 1942 Eckelberger

TOTAL SCORE: +34

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

2 DANGEROUS
____ NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threating manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC **IN PERSON**.

PACC-DD1

S

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT					ACO NAME / BADGE # **Klein 1926**	COMPLAINT NUMBER **A15-171174**	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS					BITE ☐ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☑ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY	STATE	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS					CI ☑ CO ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☐	LOCATION OF INCIDENT				DATE AND TIME REPORTED **4-20-15 / 1658**		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED **4-20-15 / 1400**		
	FOOD ☐	WATER ☐	SHELTER ☐	INJURED/ILL ☐	VENTILATION ☐	ABANDONED ☐	TIEOUT ☐	BEATEN ☐	WASTE ☐	OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☑

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.	
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM	☐ NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	VET ☐ HOME ☐
PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐	CITING ACO	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS **A15-170206**
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED				REVIEWED BY
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS				BOND YES ☐ NO ☑

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pitbull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☑	**Bubba**	**Tan/Wht**	**m**	**9Y**				**ok**	**A519311**
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-171174

ACO name & Badge: Klein 1926

On April 20, 2015 it was reported to the Pima County Animal Care Center (PACC) that a Pitbull named Bubba attacked another dog that was on a leash in a common area of an apartment complex. The leashed dog passed away due to injuries from the attack.

On April 21, 2015 PACC Officer Young met with the deceased dog's owner who stated they did not want any charges brought against the attacking dog's owner. They did request a dangerous dog assessment.

On May 6, 2015 I, Investigator Klein met with the deceased dog's owner and explained that I was conducting the dangerous dog assessment. I asked her to describe the incident. She stated she had both of her dogs on leashes as she was entering the private dog park in her apartment complex. There is a double gated entry leading into the dog park. She entered the first gate with her two dogs and observed a woman with a Pitbull inside of the dog park. She asked the other dog owner if her dog would be alright. The other dog owner said yes. The Pitbull the hit the second entry repeated. The gate opened and the Pitbull immediately grabbed one of the leashed dogs and began attacking it. The other leashed dog was picked up.

The owner of the Pitbull was unable to get her dog to stop. Other witnesses came to help. One of the witnesses drove the woman and her injured dog to an emergency veterinary clinic. The dog passed away before they arrived. The Pitbull and the other leashed dog that had been picked up were unharmed. I was provided with the medical records showing the dog passed away due to the injuries received in the attack.

I then met with the attacking dog owner and issued the dangerous dog declaration for the Pitbull known as Bubba. Bubba was impounded until adequate confinement could be provided. The owner stated they will be moving into a house and will be completing the order of compliance.

As of June 3, 2015 the owner of Bubba has moved and has completed the order of compliance. Ekl #1921

TUC

5

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) ~~243-5900,~~ option 3 FAX ~~(520) 243-5960~~
www.pimaanimalcare.org 724-5900

COMPLAINT # A15-171174
OFFICER # 1926 KLEIN
DATE: 5.8.15

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

_____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

__*__ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

_____ An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

ON APRIL 20, 2015 THE PITBULL NAMED BUBBA ATTACKED THE YORKSHIRE TERRIER NAMED PRADA. PRADA DIED DUE TO INJURIES RECEIVED.

BUBBA IS DEEMED DANGEROUS DUE TO THIS INCIDENT.

Ex[illegible] #1926

OWNER: KRISTINA SCHLOTT
ADDRESS:
PHONE

ANIMAL NAME: BUBBA
ANIMAL ID#: A519311
SEX: M COLOR: TAN/WH BREED: PIT BULL

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE .

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.

6

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 724-5900
Fax: (520) 724-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT: Thomas Enrique Lopez Merino		ACO NAME / BADGE #: Tenkate #1911	COMPLAINT NUMBER: A15-170488
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☒ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS: Lar		CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN
LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 4/24/15 / 1543		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 4/20/15 / 2130

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☒ NO ☐

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	DOB	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP / CITY	STATE
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		ZIP / CITY	STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED: YES ☒ NO ☐

RESTITUTION REQUESTED: YES ☐ NO ☒

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE #: ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:

FOLLOW UP REQUEST: ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

☒ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION

BITE SEVERITY: 2

PART OF BODY BITTEN: head

TREATED BY / PHONE NUMBER / DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE: 4-29

PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

VET CLINIC / PHONE NUMBER / OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐

FTQ ☐ UTQ ☒

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

QUARANTINE: 10 ☒ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐

☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS: YES ☒ NO ☐

CITING ACO: Windauer #1984

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS: YES ☐ NO ☐

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 4-97, 4-7(2)(B)

REVIEWED BY 4-30-15 DTK 1911

CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 73489 A-B

BOND: YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit bull mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Coco	Brindle/white	M	3y				N	A517593
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-170488

ACO Name & Badge: Windauer #1984

On April 24, 2015 at approximately 1600 hours, Field Enforcement Supervisor Tenkate #1911 met with the Pima Animal Care Center reporting a dog bite that had occurred to his person. He related that on 4/20/15 he had been at ecause the resident Thomas Lopez had beaten said there was an argument and Thomas Lopez had then gone into the fenced yard and brought the dog Coco back with him. He said the dog Coco had been told by the owner to attack him. said Mr. Lopez had yelled the dogs' name loudly and then had let Coco loose to attack. a had been able to grab the dog but got bit inside his mouth. requested citations for Biting Animal and Leash Law and a Dangerous Dog evaluation. He said that Thomas Lopez has trained his dog to attack. Tucson Police Department also responded to this address after the argument on #1504200584. This incident occurred in the unfenced area of at approximately 2130 hours of April 20, 2015.

On April 25, 2015 at 1945 hours I, Officer Windauer #1984 attempted contact with Mr. Lopez but got no response at the address. I returned again on April 29, 2015 at approximately 1558 hours and met with Thomas Lopez and his girl friend. I explained why I was there, to do a health check on the dog that had bitten because today was the last day of the quarantine period. I met with the dog-Coco and saw no symptoms of rabies. I was told the dogs were licensed in Santa Cruz County but that a relative had all the paperwork. I took the dog's picture. I then advised of the citations requested by the victim. Mr. Lopez maintained the dog had been on their property and that he had been attacked and the dog had gone to his aid. Mr. Lopez accepted the citations for the incident. He also advised me, he and his dogs were moving back to Rio Rico in probably one week. I also left a doorknocker with him for the pending Dangerous Dog evaluation.

Officer's Signature: #1984 **Date:** 4-30-15

TUC

6

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A15-170855
OWNER: Thomas Merino
ANIMAL NAME: Coco

ADDRESS:
SEX: M BREED: Pit x
COLOR: Brindle/white DATE: 5-12-15

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	+6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	+2
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2	+2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	+1+1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2	
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3	
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2	
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4	
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5	

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+ 5	+5.

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	-3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # _____	- 1	
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+ 1	
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	-3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	+2
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+ 2	+2.
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	

Confinement / Fencing:

The owner has a block wall aprx 5.5 feet tall in the backyard with a double gate leading to the alley and a single gate leading towards the front yard.

General Comments:

The dog Coco scored a +15 and is therefore declared dangerous at this time.

OFFICER # A42 Eckelbarger

TOTAL SCORE: +15

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

X DANGEROUS
____ NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threating manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC **IN PERSON**.

PACC-DD1

7

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT: Mirle Freel		ACO NAME / BADGE #: Klein 1926	COMPLAINT NUMBER: A15-171723
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☐ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP	PHONE NUMBER	CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☐ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER	DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES	HAIR COLOR / ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED / /	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED / /		
FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐				
VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.	
VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE	
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE	
DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:
☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: / PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
VET CLINIC	PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐	FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐	
CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#		
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐	CITING ACO	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
CODE/ORD VIOLATED		REVIEWED BY		
CITATIONS/NUMBERS		BOND YES ☐ NO ☐		

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Lab/Basset	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐	Murphy	Tan	m	10Y		070394		ok	A187360
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-171723

ACO name & Badge: Klein 1926

On May 15, 2015 I, Investigator Klein was assigned a dangerous dog assessment on a Labrador/Basset mix named Murphy. I learned that the Marana Municipal Court required the assessment after it was requested by a bite victim's father.

On May 15, 2015 I met with Mr. and Mrs. Freel who stated they have had Murphy for 8 years. Murphy is approximately 10 years old. They explained that on October 7, 2014 they had Murphy on a leash and harness lying down between their two chairs at a outside patio at a coffee shop. They were visiting with 8 of their friends who were all seated at the same table. Some other patrons and their young children arrived on the patio. The children were allowed to play in the patio area. They heard a child cry and then saw one of the small children on the ground under their table, between Mr. Freel's legs. They then observed scratch marks to the child's inner thigh. The Marana Police department arrived along with an ambulance. They provided the rabies vaccination paperwork to the police officers and were told that the child's wound was minor and would not require further attention.

Mr. and Mrs. Freel provided me with veterinarian records showing neuter, vaccinations and licensing as well as paperwork showing Murphy graduated a Good Samaritan Obedience program in 2008.

I was introduced to Murphy and found him to be friendly, healthy and well mannered. I inspected their property and found the back yard to be confined by a secure 6 foot tall wall with a locked gate.

On May 15, 2015 I received the records from the Marana Police Department and read that the responding officer interviewed the child's parents and Mr. and Mrs. Freel. He determined that the child was bitten and no criminal violations had occurred. He reported that he was contacted by the victim's father at a later date who requested a citation be issued to the dog owner for having a vicious dog. The officer then issued a biting animal citation to Mr. Freel for the October 7th incident.

On May 15, 2015 I met with I learned that w. ; not present at the time of the incident. He did request a citation be issued to the dog owners because he had not been contacted by the Pima County Animal Care Center and wanted to make sure the incident had been reported. He said he wanted the dog evaluated after it bit his son.

ıted she was in the outdoor patio area of the coffee shop at the time of the incident. She explained that she had been visiting with three of her friends. They had four children with them. Three of the children were walking around the enclosed patio area while they visited. She stated she was watching her son as he approached the other table that had approximately 8 people and three dogs. She said her son was approximately 3 feet away from the dog that was lying on the ground between two people who were sitting in chairs when the dog jumped out and grabbed her son, knocking him to the ground. ıiterated that they just wanted to make sure the incident was reported in case it happens to another child.

On May 16, 2015 I conducted neighbor interviews. I was told that Murphy's owners walk him on a leash daily. Murphy has never been seen in violation of the leash law and has never been observed behaving aggressively. Murphy has had interactions with other neighbor's dogs and their children and has not been a problem.

I conducting a history search in the Animal Care Center data base and found no reports or complaints involving Murphy, his owners or their address.

I completed the evaluation criteria score sheet and found that Murphy scored a total of -9 points. A score of +10 points or higher shall deem an animal dangerous. Murphy is not deemed dangerous at this time.

I contacted the Freel family and the ıamily and notified them of the results. I explained that a final decision will be made once the assessment has been reviewed by a supervisor.

Officer's Signature: EK Date: 5.16.15

E. Klein #1926

MARANA MUNICIPAL COURT
11555 W. Civic Center Drive, Bldg. C
Marana, AZ 85653, (520) 382-2700

MINUTE ENTRY / ORDER

STATE OF ARIZONA, Plaintiff

vs.

Mirle Fraal Jr.
Defendant

Docket Number(s)	Date(s)
CR20140878	

[ ] Arraignment
[ ] Pretrial
[ ] Hearing
[ ] COP
[ ] Trial
[ ] Sentencing
[ ] Amended

❑ Present ❑ Not present | Defense Atty.: | Prosecutor:

❑ **FINGERPRINTED** ❑ **JUVENILE** ❑ **IN CUSTODY**

A Continuance requested by
❑ Plaintiff ☒ Defendant
extraordinary circumstances existing.
Time is ☒ excluded ❑ included
❑ Pretrial ❑ Trial / Civil Hrg.
❑ Probation Violation ❑ Motion
❑ Continued to ❑ _____

4-8-15
date

at 1 30 ~~a.m.~~ / p.m.
❑ Transport
❑ Interpreter

❑ Driver's Licenses are suspended
❑ Pay fine balance of $ _____ by payment of $ _____ every _____ beginning _____
❑ _____ hours Community Service to be performed no later than _____
❑ Quash warrant
❑ Supervised / Unsupervised probation terminated / extended _____ months.

IT IS ORDERED THAT

_____
name
on _____ at _____ a.m. / p.m.
date
IS to appear and show cause why:
❑ Arrest warrant should not issue
❑ Default judgement should not enter
❑ Bond should not be forfeited
❑ He / she should not be held in Contempt of Court
❑ _____

FOR
❑ Failure to appear in court this date
❑ Failure to pay fine
❑ Third party custodian not obeying Orders of the Court
❑ Willful disobedience of Court Order, to wit:
_____

DISMISS: ❑ ❑ Diversion
A.R.S. § § _____
_____
Motion of: ❑ Defendant ❑ With prejudice
❑ State ❑ W/O prejudice
❑ MMP ❑ Court

DEFENDANT NOT APPEARING AT:
❑ Arraignment ❑ Pretrial
❑ Hearing / OSC ❑ Trial
❑ Sentencing ❑ _____
❑ **ORDER DEFAULT**
❑ **ISSUE WARRANT:** Arrest / Bench
❑ Set bond $ _____

BOND ORDERED
❑ Exonerated / Forfeited
❑ Set for Forfeiture Hearing
❑ Convert bond in the sum of $ _____
❑ $ _____ refunded to bailer.
❑ $ _____ applied to fine.
I _____ hereby
bailer
authorize the bond to be applied to fine.

❑ **Release** Defendant on Marana charges only.
❑ **Detain** in custody until full satisfaction.
❑ **Evidence** to be released - property unclaimed after 30 days will be destroyed.

filed MTC and Motion for Order to Evaluate Dog
Def to have dog evaluated to determine if dog is dangerous. Report due on above date

COMPUTER UPDATE

WARRANT Issue / Quash _____
DSO Entered ✓ Deleted ✓
EVENT Entered ✓ Scheduled ✓
CASE Disposed _____ Completed _____
Date 3/10/15 Initials ADC

Date _____ Defendant _____
Date _____ Attorney _____
Date 3/10/15 Judge CTP

❑ White - File ❑ Canary - Defendant ❑ Pink - Prosecutor ❑ Gold - Probation Other

MAR

7

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A15-171723
OWNER: MIKE FREEL
ANIMAL NAME: MURPHY A187360

ADDRESS:
SEX: M BREED: LAB/BASSET
COLOR: TAN DATE: 5-16-15

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	+3
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	+3
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2	
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2	
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3	
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2	
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4	
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5	

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	-5
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+ 5	

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	-1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 070394	- 1	-1
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+ 1	
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	-3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	-3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+ 2	
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	-2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	

Confinement / Fencing:

THE PROPERTY IS CONFINED BY A 6 FOOT TALL WALL WITH A SECURE/LOCKED GATE.

General Comments:

I FOUND NO HISTORY OF COMPLAINTS OR CONCERNS REGARDING MURPHY. THE NEIGHBORS STATED MURPHY HAS NEVER BEEN AGGRESSIVE AND IS ALWAYS LEASHED WHEN SEEN OUTSIDE OF THE CONFINED YARD.

E Kyle OFFICER # 1926

TOTAL SCORE: -9

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

____ DANGEROUS
X NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threating manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC **IN PERSON**.

PACC-DD1

Pima Animal Care Center
Animals on Hold Report

Animals listed are currently listed as being on hold without an outcome date. They are grouped by the type of hold

kennel_no

HOLD TYPE ENFORCEMEN Number on Hold **22**

A12-102940

K14-175847 A247678 DOG SATIVA ROTTWEILER/
11/6/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN AGGRESSIVE Activity:A12-102940 **D122**
R

Kennel Comment: chip 494D4C3F3D
DO NOT RELEASE!
Bond hold.1926 SAFE LOCK
KCS 4/13/15

02/17/2015 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 2/17/15 16:09
2-17-15

Per the county attorney:
We finally obtained a copy of the justice court order that although it was signed by the judge on January 12th, it wasn't scanned into the system until January 23rd and was never sent to Mr. Westfall. Because Mr. Westfall never received a copy of the order, there was no way for him to know about or calculate the appeal deadline, so in an abundance of caution, our office is mailing a copy of the scanned order to Mr. Westfall today and are calendaring an additional 14 days for him to appeal the order. So, please don't take any further action regarding Sativa until we get back to you.

1914

12/17/2014 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 12/17/14 17:42
12-16-14 OSC hearing scheduled for 1-2-15. 1914

11/17/2014 DTENKATE 11/17/14 13:35
11/16/14 The dog owner signed and received a copy of the Bond form and has until 11/26/14 7pm to post the bond amount of $675.00. (for an Order to Show Cause Hearing)

If the bond amount is not paid by 7pm on 11/26/14 the Rottweiler A247678 named Sativa will be forfeited to PACC. 1911

11/06/2014 ENFORCEM EKLEIN 11/6/14 20:29
11-6-14, Do not release Sativa. Owner must meet with enforcement.1926

11/10/2014 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 11/10/14 10:14
If Mr Westfall comes to redeem Sativa
(1)serve the premise inspection ordering a wellness exam be done on Patches by a licensed veterinarian to ensure she was not injured on November 3rd,2014. PACC will not be taking possession of her unless it is ordered by a judge because pacc has not received reports of patches displaying any aggression.
(2)Serve the Bond on Sativa.And explain to Mr Westfall that he MUST post all of the bond amount to PACC within 10 days. Not 10 business days but 10 straight days as pacc is open 7 days a week.
(3) issue the following citations regarding Sativa:70757.A,B,C,D,E DD at large,Preventing inspection of a DD,Failure To comply ,No Insurance ,No license and 70758 A,B,C no rabies vaccination,DD attack (attempt on the animals) ,DD attack (Attempt on a human)
(4) issue the following citations regarding Patches : 70759 A,B,C Leash Law, no License and No Rabies vaccination.

All of the documents are in a folder in my investigator box.
Once Mr Westfall has been served and the citations have been issued a copy of everything needs to be sent to Paula Perrera and Barbara Burstein. They are aware that Sativa is currently at PACC. 1926

11-10-14 The dog owner Mr. Westfall called the center to inquire about his dog being released. I advised him of the above pending actions and advised him he needed to come into PACC and meet with an investigator or supervisor either today before 7pm or on wednesday 11-12-14 before 7pm. 1914

01/08/2015 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 1/8/15 13:00
1-8-15

The OSC hearing was held the Judge took it under advisement and a decision is pending. 1914

03/05/2015 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 3/5/15 11:25
3-5-15

Accordin to PCAO the owner has put in an appeal to superior court the dog will be on hold until further notice. 1914

02/06/2015 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 2/6/15 10:03
2-6-15
The Court has ordered the animal forfeited to PACC on January 12. Now the owner has the right file an appeal to the Superior Courts. The owner has until 2-9-15 to file, until then the animal will be on hold. 1914

12/11/2014 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 12/11/14 10:35
12-4-14 The bond was paid on 11-26-14. The dog will be held further until the Order to Show Cause hearing is set up and conducted. 1914

A15-172302

K15-191623	A387327	DOG	CLYDE	CHIHUAHUA SH/

kennel_no

6/6/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN INJ SEVERE Activity:A15-172302 **D178**

Kennel Comment: Didnt bite, no chip found

R

06/12/2015 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 6/12/15 11:53

6-12-15

If the owner fails to redeem the dog it wil be released from the enforcement hold on the release date of 6-13-15 after 7pm

A15-172351

K15-191515 A491452 DOG SUGAR DOBERMAN PINSCH/MIX

6/4/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-172351 **MISSING**

Kennel Comment: 0A14127F77
C3C3C3C3C3C3C3C3C3C3C3,DO NOT RELEASE 1914 Bond Hold

R

06/04/2015 ENFORCEM MHENDRIC 6/4/15 16:18

06/04/15 16:17 dogs will be held for bond.....DD assessment.2066

06/08/2015 ENFORCEM DTENKATE 6/8/15 18:45

6/8/15 Owner was served with bond paperwork for both dogs A491452 Sugar and A491453 Cinnamon. Bond $600.00 must be posted by 7pm on 6/17/15 or ownership of the dogs will be forfeited to PACC.
1911

K15-191516 A491453 DOG CINNAMON DOBERMAN PINSCH/MIX

6/4/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-172351 **D120**

Kennel Comment: 0A14153352
C3C3C3C3C3C3C3C3C3C3C3 DO NOT RELEASE 1914 Bond Hold

R

06/04/2015 ENFORCEM MHENDRIC 6/4/15 16:20

06/04/15 16:20 dogs will be held for bond..... DD assessment.2066

06/08/2015 ENFORCEM DTENKATE 6/8/15 18:46

6/8/15 Owner was served with bond paperwork for both dogs A491452 Sugar and A491453 Cinnamon. Bond $600.00 must be posted by 7pm on 6/17/15 or ownership of the dogs will be forfeited to PACC.
1911

A15-172800

K15-191355 A522346 DOG KARLII PIT BULL/

6/2/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-172800 **D106**

Kennel Comment: no chip3c3c3c3

R

A15-172817

K15-191538 A522565 DOG AMER BULLDOG/MIX

6/5/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-172817 **D228**

Kennel Comment: CAUTION/ UNABLE TO SCAN
to- do list

R

06/06/2015 DHINTE 6/6/15 13:21

If owner comes to redeem, must meet with enforcement to discuss violations observed on 6/5/15. Issue premise inspection for unsatisfactory animal waste, shelter, and water. Set up call for follow up inspection.
2068

A15-172876

K15-190856 A497433 DOG BELLA TERRIER/

5/27/15 STRAY OTC NORMAL Activity:A15-172876 **D105**

Kennel Comment: no bite/ chip #0A13675A56
served bond

R

06/03/2015 ENFORCEM EKLEIN 6/3/15 19:12

bond and cites issued

K15-190857 A497431 DOG MAGGIE TERRIER/
5/27/15 STRAY OTC NORMAL Activity:A15-172876 **D105** R
Kennel Comment: no bite/ chip #0A13640A68
6-3-15 served bond

06/03/2015 ENFORCEM EKLEIN 6/3/15 19:13
served bond and cited

K15-190858 A497429 DOG BABY TERRIER/
5/27/15 STRAY OTC NORMAL Activity:A15-172876 **D105** R
Kennel Comment: no bite/ chip 0A13675A5D
served bond
P358707 Phillip Torres reserved this dog

06/03/2015 ENFORCEM EKLEIN 6/3/15 19:14
served bond and cited

A15-172971

K15-191553 A522582 DOG SMOKEY CHIHUAHUA SH/DACHSHUND
6/5/15 CONFISCATE POLICE NORMAL Activity:A15-172971 **D218** R
Kennel Comment: didnt bite, no chip found
3c3c3c

06/05/2015 tfoster 6/5/15 11:43
Owner eviction, animals seized by authority of Constable Officer Philip#9

Didn't bite, no chip found.

Unable to age/sex inn field due to concerns that cat would escape.

IF OWNER COMES TO REDEEM THEY MUST SPEAK TO A ENF SUP TO EXPLAIN THE ELDERLY DOG'S CONDITION.
2042

A15-172975

K15-191603 A511579 DOG FRESH PIT BULL/
6/5/15 OWNER SUR FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-172975 **D110** R
Kennel Comment: Bond served:1926

06/05/2015 ENFORCEM EKLEIN 6/5/15 17:58
6-5-15,,owner served with bond and wants to redeem only the dog named Fresh.she must pay $825 toward bond to request OSC hearing.must see enforcement supervisor. 1926

A15-173014

K15-191620 A522666 DOG CHACAL PIT BULL/MIX
6/6/15 CONFISCATE NIGHT OWN INJ MINOR Activity:A15-173014 **D119** R
Kennel Comment: No Chip Detected - Owner(s) P370483/P370484
3C 3C 3C 3C

06/06/2015 THAYNES 6/6/15 4:09
06/06/15 - Need to meet with owners for premise inspection for confinement and any vet recommended follow up. 2032

K15-191621 A522667 DOG CHATA PIT BULL/
6/6/15 CONFISCATE NIGHT OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-173014 **DR005** R
Kennel Comment: No Chip Detected - Owner(s) P370483/P370484
3C 3C 3C 3C

06/06/2015 THAYNES 6/6/15 4:08
06/06/15 - Need to meet with owners for premise inspection for confinement. 2032

A15-173091

K15-191741 A522822 DOG TERRIER/POODLE MIN

6/8/15 STRAY FIELD ILL MINOR Activity:A15-173091 **D263**

Kennel Comment: no chip ubay upper r. cage
3c3c3c3c

R

06/08/2015 ENFORCEM KWALTON 6/8/15 9:55

6-8-15 Upon arrival I observed a Terrier/Poodle, mix severly matted and had small tree branches,stickers etc in his fur. The dog appeared depressed with a slight yellow discharge in his eye. I could not really assess the dog with all the severe matting. I impounded the dog and I put the dog on the treament list. There was a Red Heeler/Aussie mix up on the hill that was with this dog per the caller. I met her and she stated the dog would not let her get near the Terr/Poodle dog, but was able to give them water. After 20 minutes or so I was able to catch and impound the other dog A522823. It had the fine cactus needles from the prickly pear in his fur. It went in the trap many times, but never set it off, so I got the dog leashed and it was ok once in the truck, but still skittish. I put that dog on the tratment list as well for the cactus.

If owners try to redeem cite for leash law on both dogs and possble vet care on the Terr/Poo. 1925

06/08/2015 ENFORCEM KWALTON 6/8/15 9:49

6-8-15 Upon arrival I observed a Terrier/Poodle, mix severly matted and had small tree branches,stickers etc in his fur. The dog appeared depressed with a slight yellow discharge in his eye. I could not really assess the dog with all the severe matting. I impounded the dog and I put the dog on the treament list. There was a Red Heeler/Aussie mix up on the hill that was with this dog per the caller. I met her and she stated the dog would not let her get near the Terr/Poodle dog, but was able to give them water. After 20 minutes or so I was able to catch and impound the other dog A522823. It had the fine cactus needles from the prickly pear in his fur. It went in the trap many times, but never set it off, so I got the dog leashed and it was ok once in the truck, but still skittish. I put that dog on the tratment list as well for the cactus. 1925

K15-191742 A522823 DOG QUEENSLAND HEEL/BEAGLE

6/8/15 STRAY FIELD ILL MINOR Activity:A15-173091 **D263**

Kennel Comment: no chip ubay upper l. cage
3c3c3c3c

R

06/08/2015 ENFORCEM KWALTON 6/8/15 9:55

6-8-15 Upon arrival I observed a Terrier/Poodle, mix severly matted and had small tree branches,stickers etc in his fur. The dog appeared depressed with a slight yellow discharge in his eye. I could not really assess the dog with all the severe matting. I impounded the dog and I put the dog on the treament list. There was a Red Heeler/Aussie mix up on the hill that was with this dog per the caller. I met her and she stated the dog would not let her get near the Terr/Poodle dog, but was able to give them water. After 20 minutes or so I was able to catch and impound the other dog A522823. It had the fine cactus needles from the prickly pear in his fur. It went in the trap many times, but never set it off, so I got the dog leashed and it was ok once in the truck, but still skittish. I put that dog on the tratment list as well for the cactus.

If owners try to redeem cite for leash law on both dogs and possble vet care on the Terr/Poo. 1925

A15-173120

K15-191777 A522881 CAT JESSE DOMESTIC SH/MIX

6/8/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-173120 **I054**

Kennel Comment: didnt bite, unable to scan
indoor cat but poss feral
3C3C3C3C

R

06/08/2015 tfoster 6/8/15 16:54

Cat was abandoned at an apartment. If owner comes to redeem please cite for abandonement and cruelty on my bealhf for the date/time of arrival on the call history. 2042

A15-173125

K15-191945 A523133 DOG LABRADOR RETR/TERRIER

kennel_no

6/10/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-173125 **DR003** R

Kennel Comment: no chip, ubay
3c3c3c3c3c3c

06/10/2015 ENFORCEM KWALTON 6/10/15 9:34

6-10-15 upon arrival to the residence the front gate to the front house was open. There was a for rent sign in yard along with mattress's and a white dog house. I observed previous notice still on the door and no response has been noted from the owner. The dog was not on the property, but when I whistled and called for the dog I saw it come running from a neighbors back to meet me. The dog was impounded and a new notice left.

If owners try to redeem cite for leash llaw 6-10-15 0840 hrs. 1925

A15-173131

K15-192002 A523230 DOG PIT BULL/MIX

6/10/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-173131 **D247** R

Kennel Comment: Did Not Scan -
3C 3C 3C 3C 3C

06/10/2015 THAYNES 6/10/15 17:02

06/10/15 - Abandonment 3C 2032

K15-192003 A523232 DOG BAMMU PIT BULL/MIX

6/10/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-173131 **DR010** R

Kennel Comment: Did Not Scan -
3C 3C 3C 3C 3C
OWNER P370929

06/10/2015 THAYNES 6/10/15 17:03

06/10/15 - Abandonment 3C 2032

K15-192005 A523233 DOG PRINCESS PIT BULL/MIX

6/10/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-173131 **DR010** R

Kennel Comment: Did Not Scan -
3C 3C 3C 3C 3C
OWNER P370929

06/10/2015 THAYNES 6/10/15 17:03

06/10/15 - Abandonment 3C 2032

A15-173272

K15-191981 A523221 DOG CHOW CHOW/MIX

6/10/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-173272 **D246** R

Kennel Comment: CAUTION NO CHIP 3C3C3C3C3CC3C3C3CC3

K15-192006 A523251 DOG CHOW CHOW/MIX

6/10/15 STRAY OTC NORMAL Activity:A15-173272 **D245** R

Kennel Comment: no bite / no chip . 2030 . see memo under activity #A15-173272
3c3c3c3c3c3cc3

kennel_no

HOLD TYPE VET

Number on Hold **1**

A15-171869

K15-189996 A520394 CAT PUSS DOMESTIC SH/

5/18/15 OWNER SUR NIGHT OWN INJ MINOR Activity:A15-171869 **C013**

Kennel Comment: 5/17/2015--SEE ACTIVITY MEMO. 1929

R

PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
ADVISORY COMMITTEE
MAY 2015 OPERATIONAL REPORT

	THIS MONTH			THIS YEAR TO DATE			LAST YEAR TO DATE			YEAR TO YEAR	
	TUCSON	COUNTY	TOTAL	TUCSON	COUNTY	TOTAL	TUCSON	COUNTY	TOTAL	DELTA	%+/-
SHELTER OPERATIONS											
ALL ANIMALS HANDLED											
DOGS	579	629	1,208	7,248	6,706	13,954	7,501	6,708	14,209		
CATS	560	313	873	3,764	2,336	6,100	4,473	2,852	7,325		
OTHERS	25	23	48	273	479	752	265	407	672		
TOTAL ANIMALS HANDLED	1,164	965	2,129	11,285	9,521	20,806	12,239	9,967	22,206	-1400	-6%
Live Animals Handled	1,007	856	1,863	9,479	8,208	17,687	10,893	8,959	19,852	-2165	-11%
IMPOUNDED ANIMALS											
ADOPTED											
DOGS	255	293	548	2,674	2,707	5,381	2,590	2,306	4,896		
CATS	177	96	273	1,643	1,119	2,762	1,039	812	1,851		
OTHER	4	0	4	11	16	27	34	14	48		
TOTAL ADOPTED	436	389	825	4,328	3,842	8,170	3,663	3,132	6,795	1375	20%
RETURNED TO OWNER											
DOGS	87	70	157	977	752	1,729	807	619	1,426		
CATS	2	2	4	42	52	94	67	60	127		
OTHER	0	2	2	13	17	30	7	13	20		
TOTAL RETURNED	89	74	163	1,032	821	1,853	881	692	1,573	280	18%
RESCUED											
DOGS	93	115	208	1,031	1,203	2,234	1,104	1,305	2,409		
CATS	117	60	177	879	550	1,429	1,007	626	1,633		
OTHER	1	2	3	14	44	58	66	45	111		
TOTAL RESCUED	211	177	388	1,924	1,797	3,721	2,177	1,976	4,153	-432	-10%
***TOTAL LIVE RELEASES**	736	640	1,376	7,284	6,460	13,744	6,721	5,800	12,521	1223	10%
****TOTAL LIVE RELEASE RATE**	89%	86%	87%	84%	85%	84%			76%		
EUTHANIZED											
DOGS	86	103	189	1,547	1,365	2,912	1,778	1,602	3,380		
CATS	28	22	50	328	232	560	1,426	938	2,364		
OTHER	1	4	5	60	76	136	34	71	105		
TOTAL EUTHANIZED	115	129	244	1,935	1,673	3,608	3238	2611	5849	-2241	-38%
(-)Owner Requsted Euthanasia	20	25	45	509	492	1,001			1905		
Adjusted Total Euthanasia	95	104	199	1,426	1,181	2,607			3,944		
***EUTHANASIA RATE	11%	14%	13%	16%	15%	16%			24%		
OTHER	177	120	297	2,235	1,597	3,832	1,577	1,179	2,756	1076	39%
ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS											
Welfare Responses	229	154	383	2364	1271	3635	2284	1056	3340	295	9%
ENFORCEMENT CALLS FOR SERVICE	1,362	951	2,313	15,621	10,421	26,042	16,444	10,231	26,675	-633	-2%
LICENSING OPERATIONS											
ALTERED	3,586	4,606	8,192	37,627	49,255	86,882	39,370	50,491	89,861		
UNALTERED	196	224	420	2,204	2,724	4,928	2,683	3,577	6,260		
OTHER	68	85	153	746	1,027	1,773	771	1,076	1,847		
TOTAL SOLD	3,850	4,915	8,765	40,577	53,006	93,583	42,824	55,144	97,968	-4,385	-4%

*Total Live Releases(TLR)=Total Adopted+Total Returned+Total Rescued
**Live Release Rate=TLR/(TLR+Adjusted Total Euthanasia)
***Euthanasia Rate=(Adjusted Total Euthanasia)/(TLR+Adjusted Total Euthanasia)

PIMA COUNTY
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

MEMORANDUM

Date: June 10, 2015

To: Chair and Members, Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Committee

From: Kim Janes, Executive Secretary

Re: June Manager's Report

The following report is provided for your information.

During the May 21, 2015 Advisory Committee Meeting:

- Ms. Schwerin asked if I had shared the horse video she presented to the Committee and the Committee's March 19, 2015 request to have the Sheriff re-inspect Castaway Treasures and include representatives from PACC enforcement staff and a disinterested veterinary. The attached email is provided for the Committee's consideration.

- The Committee requested evidence of staff requesting the Courts to deny ownership for owners charged with neglect or abuse in certain cases. Staff submits those requests via telephone to the prosecuting attorney. To date, those requests are not recorded in the PACC case file.

- Ms. Jacobs commented on the excellent report on housing pets in Ajo and requested if such information could be provided to the Committee ahead of time. I advised the Committee that I felt staff does provide whatever advanced information it can and will continue to do so in the future. I also offered that any documentation created on this particular subject would be presented to the Committee as it is finalized.

The following information associated with 6/18/15 Meeting Agenda items is provided for your convenience. The information will be included in your meeting packet.

- City of Tucson Animal Care Funding/Jurisdiction IGA Discussion: County Administrator communications regarding the City of Tucson agreement progress is attached for your consideration. A draft IGA will be presented to the Pima County Board of Supervisors for their consideration and approval.

- Donations and Community Cat Project credit impact on City and Town animal care expenses:

Donation Impact Year to Date Through March 31, 2015

	Adopted Budget	YTD Expense	Donations	TNR Program	Net Expenses Charged to Jurisdictions
TOTAL OPER. EXP.	$8,191,648.00	$6,502,699.59	-$449,053.16	-$85,066.61	$5,968,579.82

- The Cat and Dog Live Release Rates through May 31, 2015:
  Cats 91%
  Dogs 81%

- Licensing and Fees for Seniors and the Indigent:

FY 15 YTD

License Type	Cost	Number Sold
Senior Altered	$10	17,978
Senior Unaltered	$17	1,404
Low Income Altered	$8	146
Low Income Unaltered	$27	25
Service Dog	$0	347

- Letter from State Department of Agriculture: The attached letter from Mr. Mark Killian, Director, Arizona Department of Agriculture is provided for your information.

- Committee By Laws: Attached for your information.

- July Elections: As you may know, this year is the year for electing a new Chair and Vice Chair for the Committee. Pursuant to the Advisory Committee By Laws, election of officers shall take place every other year at the regular meeting in July. Furthermore, pursuant to Pima County Code 6.04.100.D.7, "…A member holding any office may not succeed himself or herself in office…."
  Staff has received interest from at least one member to serve as chair and another as vice chair. Should you be interested in serving as the Chair or Vice Chair, or to nominate another member for either office, please advise me prior to the July meeting.
  Elections will be held as the last item of business on the July agenda.

Board of Supervisors Memorandum

June 2, 2015

City of Tucson Intergovernmental Agreements for Animal Care Services and Prisoner Housing

Introduction

The County currently has intergovernmental agreements (IGAs) with the City of Tucson for animal care services and prisoner housing. Both of these IGAs expire on June 30, 2015, and staff has been in negotiations with the City on both of these IGAs. It would appear that, as of this date, there are still outstanding issues on both IGAs that must become effective on July 1, 2015 for continuation of County services.

I have placed this item on the Board of Supervisors agenda to receive direction from the Board regarding how to proceed, as there are differences of opinion between City and County staff regarding each IGA, which are discussed below.

Intergovernmental Agreement with the City of Tucson for Prisoner Housing

I will first discuss the prisoner housing IGA and the corresponding County and City differences of opinion. It should be remembered that the booking rate and housing rate will increase for Fiscal Year (FY) 2015/16. Table 1 below shows our booking and housing rates for the current fiscal year and the coming fiscal year, the dollar amount increase in the rate and the percentage increase. For comparison purposes, I am including the booking rate and housing rate for Maricopa County. The booking rate in Maricopa County will be slightly higher than that of Pima County, and the housing rate is essentially the same.

Table 1: Prisoner Booking and Housing Rates.

Description	FY 2014/15	FY 2015/16	Dollar Increase	Percentage Increase
Pima County Booking Rate	$257.53	$279.51	$21.98	8.5
Pima County Housing Rate (Daily)	80.10	85.15	5.05	6.3
Maricopa County Booking Rate		285.94		
Maricopa Housing Rate (Daily)		85.49		

Pima County Sheriff staff and City staff conducted numerous meetings regarding the prisoner housing IGA. Following those meetings, the Tucson City Court Administrator provided a draft proposed IGA for FY 2015/16. Staff reviewed this proposal and does not

agree with several City-requested changes. These requested changes are shown in Table 2 below.

Table 2: City-requested IGA Changes and County Responses.

City-requested Change	County Response
An agreed upon date, and not later than April 15, when the City is to be notified of the billing rates for the following fiscal year.	The County cannot agree to publish rates for the upcoming fiscal year by April 15, since the County budget for the upcoming fiscal year is not yet determined.
Additional information to be provided to the City in regard to the prisoner. The additional information requested includes booking number, date of birth, arresting organization, warrant and/or citation number, charge description, court docket number for confinement orders.	The County previously provided access to its Spillman database to allow the City to research individuals and has provided training and support for data analysis.
An increase in the timeframe where disputed charges are made known to the County. The change is from 30 days to 60 days.	An increase in this timeframe is not necessary. The County provides both hard copies of invoices, as well as electronic (Excel) version via email to the Tucson City Court Administrator. The Excel version could be electronically validated through the City's system if they chose to do so.
Following the resolution of disputed charges, outstanding charges not paid by the City accrue interest at the rate of 10 percent per annum. The City is requesting this rate be reduced to one percent per annum.	The County disagrees with this interest reduction request, since it essentially eliminates any incentive for the City to pay the outstanding charges in a timely manner.
Inclusion of language giving the City the right to audit the books and records of the Pima County relating to the Pima County Adult Detention Center and to the calculation of the billing rate and prisoner charges.	The County has previously provided all of the financial data available for the jail rate calculation.

Inclusion of language requiring the County to fully disclose its billing rate calculation documentation and worksheets.	The County has previously provided all of the financial data available for the jail rate calculation. The City is requesting detailed line item budgets for jail expenses and staff no later than April 15. As stated previously, County budgets are not normally available by April 15.
Change the criteria for assessment of billing for City prisoners charged in the Superior Court or a Justice Court.	The change requested by the City would, in essence, not require the City to pay a split bill if an individual is booked on both a City and a Justice Court misdemeanor. They would be billing nothing. The City is currently billed a one-half day until the City matter is released.

Based on the County's responses to the City's requested changes, I recommend that no modifications be made and that the IGA shown in Attachment 1 be set for approval by the Board at the June 16, 2015 meeting.

I have discussed prisoner housing with the City Manager, and we agree it is in both our interest to keep the jail population as low as practically possible. Some of the modifications requested by the City were for the purpose of helping them identify inappropriate law enforcement bookings and to take appropriate managerial action regarding those bookings. We will continue to work with and assist the City to identify such cases and help prevent such from recurring in the future. This should save the City additional money and will save the County critical space in our Adult Detention Facility.

It should also be noted that the County has received what we hope is just the first phase of a MacArthur Foundation grant to examine various actions, including law enforcement, community support systems and other factors that can be mobilized to permanently reduce our jail population. It is important we be proactive in reducing this population and providing assistance to offenders to reduce recidivism, as well as assist chronic offenders to break the cycle of multiple jail detention for minor crimes and offenses. These minor offenses drain public resources in the areas of prosecution, defense and housing, as well as court, time. These resources are better used to break the cycle of the repeat offender.

Intergovernmental Agreement with the City of Tucson for Animal Care Services

County staff, through Deputy County Administrator Jan Lesher and the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC), has been meeting with the City of Tucson since November 2014 regarding the animal care IGA. At the November meeting, it was suggested that cities and towns did

not like the County using the same IGA each year. County staff asked the cities and towns to submit draft IGAs they would prefer.

On March 18, 2015, County staff contacted the City to discuss the IGA. County staff did not provide a draft IGA to the City, since the City indicated they were working on a draft they would share with the County. Since we had not received a version of an IGA from the City, we transmitted to the City Manager on April 8, 2015 a draft redlined IGA for FY 2015/16. On May 18, 2015, the current iteration of the FY 2015/16 IGA was again emailed to the Interim City Manager (Attachment 2). To date, we have not received any substantive comments on the draft IGA.

The City remains in arrears on the financial payments that are a City obligation by virtue of the adopted FY 2014/15 IGA. These outstanding obligations are shown in Table 3 below.

Table 3: City of Tucson Amounts Due for Animal Care Services for 2014 and Billed Services through March 2015.

Service Description	Outstanding Amount		
	FY 2014	FY 2015	Total
Administration – County Overhead	$252,210.64	$220,741.68	$472,952.32
Administration – PACC		44,089.50	44,089.50
Education		4,865.92	4,865.92
Enforcement		105,172.17	105,172.17
Licensing		21,595.34	21,595.34
Shelter	6,527.76	115,067.19	121,594.95
Tent Construction	238,049.85		238,049.85
Veterinary Services		42,236.10	42,236.10
Spay/Neuter		46,869.96	46,869.96
Subtotal Due	496,788.25	600,637.86	1,097,426.11
Finance Charges on Delinquent Amounts		24,401.75	24,401.75
Total Due	496,788.25	625,039.61	1,121,827.86

Note: the amounts above include credit to the City of Tucson in the amount of $293,680.88, which is 55 percent of the total donation amount of $534,119.77 received by PACC during the service period.

In discussing this matter with the City Manager, I understand their objections to paying the County administrative overhead. As you can see from Table 2 above, there are two administrative charges. One is direct charges such as for the utilities to operate the facility. The other is an indirect charge commonly known as County overhead, which is an

allocated portion of the County's costs for administrative systems support such as Finance, Human Resources, Procurement, Legal and other indirect County support services. These are legitimate costs and were legitimately analyzed based on the federally-approved internal cost allocation plan. However, the City is likely correct that these charges are not specifically and clearly identified in the IGA. Hence, I would be willing to reduce these costs provided the Board concurs with same in order to bring closure to the current dispute with the City over animal care costs. I would recommend the Board waive these costs, provided the City agrees going forward that these are legitimate costs and will be charged as well as paid. Any funding shortfall that would occur from waiving these costs would be absorbed by the Animal Care fund balance.

Many of the other charges in Table 3 are related to the billing cycle, and I assume they will be paid by the City. I have also asked the City Manager to follow up on the spay/neuter payment to the County that had previously been authorized by the City Council. They claim the amount has been paid; however, County staff indicates it has not, which is due to the City withholding an amount of payment equal to the spay/neuter charge. This was, in reality, a charge for normal services. The City then reinstated that payment and is under the incorrect assumption they paid the spay/neuter cost when they actually only paid the cost of normal operations.

In addition, I would ask that our staff include in the FY 2015/16 IGA with the City the cost of the additional shelter capacity (the tent) and allow the City to pay this cost over three fiscal years. This would significantly reduce the short-term financial impact on the City.

The recently approved ordinance allowing a $1 increase over five years for licensing will also assist the County and the City in meeting our financial responsibilities for animal care services. However, we must do much better in licensing compliance. Licensing compliance varies by jurisdiction from an estimated low of 13.9 percent in South Tucson to as high as 58.2 percent in Oro Valley. Licensing compliance in the City of Tucson is estimated to be 39.7 percent.

I have asked the Health Director to review a number of alternatives to improve license compliance. His May 22, 2015 memorandum is Attachment 3 for your review. I have also provided a copy of this memorandum to the City Manager. I am hopeful we can conduct a number of licensing incentive activities within the City to improve licensing compliance, which will go to the bottom line and assist both the County and City to finance animal care services.

Next year's estimated City animal care services cost of approximately $4.9 million is significantly more than has been budgeted by the City for this year. The cost components for the FY 2015/16 IGA are shown in Table 4 below.

Table 4: Estimated City of Tucson FY 2015/16 Animal Care Services Costs.

Service Description	Estimated Cost
Administration – County Overhead	$ 294,322
Administration – Animal Care Center	479,757
Education	60,485
Enforcement	1,572,320
Licensing	284,140
Shelter	1,588,429
Tent Construction	N/A
Veterinary Services	[1]535,116
Spay/Neuter Services	[2]69,758
Total Estimated Cost	**$4,884,327**

[1] Veterinary services are budgeted to increase due to the addition of one veterinarian and veterinarian technical personnel.

[2] Prior to this year, community spay/neuter expenses were born solely by the County and not passed on to the City of Tucson. Since City residents historically used over 60 percent of these critical medical services, expenses and donations (revenues) associated with these procedures have now been appropriately allocated.

The City's share of spay/neuter services for the current fiscal year is $62,500. The City has indicated it paid $247,000 for spay/neuter services earlier this year. This is not correct. When paying an October 2014 invoice, the City deducted $247,000 for spay/neuter services, but such services had not been billed. When this was pointed out, the City paid the $247,000 owed for other animal care services.

These components are being broken out so the City can select from a menu of services that meets their budget capacity. I have indicated to the City that the County will not alter our sheltering model or reduce costs in that area of the budget; hence, the area that could be reduced would be enforcement. Such would be a choice of the City whether to reduce this cost component of animal care services.

The County and the region should also consider an alternative funding model for animal care services. The present system, which has been in place for a number of years, is not the most efficient. We spend an inordinate amount of time in billing and allocation of costs among jurisdictions, which detracts from the basic animal care mission. Licensing compliance is difficult, we are stressed to provide basic services, and frankly do little to

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S OFFICE
PIMA COUNTY GOVERNMENTAL CENTER
130 W. CONGRESS, FLOOR 10, TUCSON, AZ 85701-1317
(520) 724-8661 FAX (520) 724-8171

C.H. HUCKELBERRY
County Administrator

June 4, 2015

The Honorable Steve Kozachik
Tucson City Councilmember
Ward 6 Council Office
3202 E. First Street
Tucson, Arizona 85716

Re: **Pima Animal Care Center Funding**

Dear Councilmember Kozachik:

I very much appreciate your past support of the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) and its evolving mission from a euthanasia shelter to an adoption shelter. This transition has occurred over the last two to three years with expected increased costs. We will not retreat from this model because of our increased fiscal responsibility. Your past efforts to increase spay/neuter contributions and your support of PACC is appreciated.

I notice in your two recent newsletters, dated May 26, 2015 and June 1, 2015, you have expressed concern regarding PACC – its operation and the present discussions between the City and County regarding a renewed intergovernmental agreement (IGA). In your May 26 newsletter, you addressed the tent expansion cost and administrative fees.

The tent expansion cost was required to significantly increase shelter capacity to accommodate significant longer animal stays in our facility to promote adoption. This cost is real and the City's share, based on utilization, would be $238,049.85. Once the new facility is completed, the tent will no longer be necessary; but it is likely it will be needed for at least another two years, perhaps three, before we are able to open the new PACC. At that point, the tent will have been used approximately six years. I am sure it will have residual value, and we will auction the tent and proportionally reimburse all of our partners for their investment in allowing us to increase shelter capacity in the short term. I continue

to view the increased shelter capacity provided by the tent as a legitimate City expense. I freely admit we do not have the word "tent" in our IGA, but we do have language regarding increasing adoption and relying less on euthanasia and providing more humane treatment to animals. That is was the tent allows us to do.

In your May 26 newsletter, you also discussed administrative fees and felt they were inappropriate. I have indicated to the City that if there was, for some reason, a reluctance to pay these administrative fees, I would hope the City would relinquish the administrative fees charged to the County. I am enclosing a chart, which is Slide 9 from the PowerPoint presentation provided to the Mayor and Council regarding Tucson Water revised billing and collection charges. Slide 9 shows administrative service charges of $414,107 being charged to the County.

The cost factors in animal care administrative fees are identical. They are developed through an identical process of internal cost allocations, and they are appropriate and legitimate costs. In the interest of compromise and knowing the fiscal conditions of the City, I have recommended to the Board of Supervisors that they waive the fees for the last two fiscal years, assuming the City will acknowledge in the future that these are legitimate costs and will pay the County for same.

In your June 1 newsletter, you discuss our live adoption rate of 80 percent. We are able to achieve this rate through our many community partners that help move Pima County toward a no-kill community model. We work in partnership with rescue organizations but we do not require they take animals. We do provide a certain level of financial support because we unilaterally agreed a number of years ago to waive adoption, rescue and licensing fees for animals adopted in this process. The County also funded feral cat alteration or spay/neuter to help local community feral cat efforts several years before we had the now greatly expanded community cat project. We have worked well with our community partners, and we appreciate their efforts. If we were to provide some operational compensation that would be a cost that, again, would increase the City's share of expenses. This share would be disproportionally higher to the City than any other participating jurisdiction due to the service levels provided by the rescue organizations located within the City.

I appreciate your past efforts to increase the City's contribution to the spay/neuter program by dedicating the licensing increase from $12 to $15 to this effort. Unfortunately, that did not occur. We have significantly increased community donations to PACC and will be using increasing amounts of these donations, as desired by the donors, for the spay/neuter program. It is through these community donations that we will significantly increase our investment in the spay/neuter program.

I hope this letter provides you additional information upon which to make an informed decision regarding the County's provision of animal care services. I look forward to continuing our PACC services to the City of Tucson after June 30, 2015 through a new IGA for these services.

Sincerely,

C.H. Huckelberry
County Administrator

Enclosures

CHH/anc

c: The Honorable Mayor and Council, City of Tucson
Jan Lesher, Deputy County Administrator for Medical and Health Services
Dr. Francisco Garcia, Director, Health Department

Board of Supervisors Memorandum

June 16, 2015

City of Tucson Intergovernmental Agreement for Animal Care Services, Fiscal Year 2015/16, with an Option to Extend for Four Additional Years at One-year Increments

Background

The County has no legal obligation, pursuant to State statute, to provide animal care services inside incorporated cities and towns. These services are provided for and with the consent of the jurisdictions. The County executes our responsibilities through an intergovernmental agreement (IGA). The County has had an IGA for these services with the City of Tucson since 1961. The current IGA became effective July 1, 2013, and expires on June 30, 2015.

The City of Tucson has raised concerns over some of the County charges for services pursuant to the IGA. These concerns related to administrative overhead charges, or administrative fees, and the City's capital cost share for shelter expansion, which have been discussed with the Board of Supervisors previously. At the Board's June 2, 2015 meeting, the Board was provided an opportunity to provide direction as to how to proceed with crafting an IGA with the City for next fiscal year. Prior to that meeting, the City indicated they would now pay their share of shelter expansion cost but that they believe the past charges for administrative overhead, or administrative fees, are not within the costs that could be charged by the County to the City through the present IGA.

The public discussion on June 2, 2015 did not provide clear direction regarding how to proceed, although several Board members were not comfortable with waiving the past due administrative overhead or administrative fees for Fiscal Years 2014 and 2015, which total $472,952.32.

This memorandum and the attached IGA (Attachment 1) make specific recommendations for the proposed animal care services IGA with the City of Tucson.

History of Animal Care Operations Transitioning from a Euthanasia Model to Shelter Model

Pima County began modifying our animal care policy in 2009, at which time licensing fees were increased from $12 to $15. The County directed our revenue from this increase to the Spay and Neuter program. We had hoped other municipalities would also dedicate their revenue increase to this program; however, only Oro Valley has done so to date. Significant additional investment in the Spay and Neuter program is the solution to controlling the increasing costs for PACC services. Given the substantial increase in

donations PACC has received, it is now possible to direct these donations to the Spay and Neuter program.

Changing our animal care philosophy from euthanization to adoption requires increased investment. Table 1 below shows PACC expenditures from FY 2009/10 to FY 2014/15, along with total revenues received. These revenues are generated primarily from the County's share of licensing and intergovernmental agreements (IGAs) with participating jurisdictions. The next column shows the General Fund support that has more than doubled over this timeframe; hence, it is not solely the City of Tucson that is experiencing increased costs. The County has borne significant cost as well. The table also includes data from FY 2011/12 forward; the number of animals handled at PACC with the average length in stay and live release rate. Prior to 2009, it is likely the live release rate was approximately 25 percent; today it is at or over 80 percent. The increased live release rate illustrates the shift over time to the adoption model now applied at PACC.

Table 1: PACC Cost, Revenue and Pet Data, FY 2009/10 through 2014/15 to Date.

FY	Total Expenditures	Total Revenues	General Fund Support	Number of Pets Handled	Average Length of Stay (Days)	% Live Release Rate
2009/10	$5,850,442	$4,803,151	$1,047,291	----	----	----
2010/11	5,849,329	4,715,123	1,134,206	----	----	----
2011/12	6,379,334	4,930,956	1,448,378	27,927	10.0	55
2012/13	6,319,953	5,341,826	978,127	26,593	8.6	64
2013/14	7,660,406	5,471,599	2,188,807	24,332	12.36	76
2014/15*	8,743,289	6,520,053	2,223,236	18,680	11.75	84
2015/16	8,801,390	6,495,555	2,305,835	----	----	----

*FY 2014/15 data through April 30, 2015.

The cost increases for animal care are directly related to how we now operate the PACC. These changes have been for the good.

<u>City of Tucson Concerns over Administrative Fees and Shelter Capacity Increase</u>

Responses to the City of Tucson's concerns over these two factors are summarized in my June 4, 2015 letter to Councilmember Steve Kozachik (Attachment 2). The concern over paying for increased shelter capacity should not be an issue at this point, since the City Manager has indicated the City will pay the full cost of this service.

With regard to administrative fees, my June 4 letter addresses the fact the County is charged these same costs in an IGA with the City for sewer billing services. Slide 9 of the

Tucson Water PowerPoint Presentation to the Mayor and Council water billing and collection charges shows the City charging the County $414,107 in administration costs. These allocations represent the true cost of doing business and supporting the specific enterprise. The IGA that took effect when these charges were first assessed states these costs are included in the monthly invoice submitted to the City.

The previous IGA with the City mentions administrative expenses, but it is not clear as to which specific administrative expenses should be reimbursed to the County. It is for this reason I recommend waiving this charge to the City for the last two fiscal years.

These are real costs and affect the budget of PACC. The fiscal impact of waiving the nearly $500,000 for two years of administrative or internal cost allocation fees for the City can be offset by a one-time $500,000 donation to PACC. Such would offset the negative impact of the City not paying the administrative overhead for the last two years.

Funding Spay and Neuter Programs from Donations

I also propose that in the coming fiscal year, the County pay for spay and neuter programs through donations; especially since donations have increased dramatically and many donors request that their donation be used for the program. Table 2 below shows donations received by fiscal year.

Table 2: PACC Donations History, FY 2008/09 through FY 2014/15*.

FY	Amount
2008/09	$120,325.91
2009/10	150,086.98
2010/11	145,569.60
2011/12	184,182.18
2012/13	247,878.92
2013/14	530,281.35
2014/15*	358,045.53

*Through April 30, 2015.

The County had previously credited donations proportionately to reduce each jurisdiction's contribution. In the future, the full cost of the Spay and Neuter program will be paid by donations, which has been the desire of most donors. This will eliminate the need for a jurisdiction to earmark the previous licensing fee increase for our spay and neuter program.

Recommendation

I recommend the Board of Supervisors:

1. Waive the Fiscal Years 2013/14 and 2014/15 County administrative fees totaling $472,952 charged to the City of Tucson; and

2. Approve the attached Intergovernmental Agreement with the City of Tucson for animal care services for the period July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

C.H. Huckelberry
County Administrator

CHH/mjk – June 9, 2015

Attachment

c: Jan Lesher, Deputy County Administrator for Medical and Health Services
Dr. Francisco Garcia, Director, Health Department
Kim Janes, Chief of Internal Affairs, Pima Animal Care Center
Martha Durkin, Interim City Manager, City of Tucson
Michael Ortega, Incoming City Manager, City of Tucson

Pima County Overhead Allocation
Budget Fiscal Year 2014-15

Central Service Departments	PUBLIC HEALTH - ANIMAL CARE	FLOOD CONTROL	ɔSR COC - CHILD SUPPORT	;R COC - DOCUMNT STORAGE
ASSESSOR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	18,251.00	27,261.00	118.00	1,418.00
BUILDING USE	127,030.00	77,833.00	0.00	0.00
CLERK OF THE BOARD	4,810.00	8,706.00	31.00	371.00
COMMUNICATIONS	8,293.00	6,824.00	210.00	315.00
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR	31,746.00	47,414.00	205.00	2,466.00
COUNTY ATTORNEY ADMINISTRATION	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
COUNTY ATTORNEY CIVIL DIVISION	50,506.00	25,515.00	270.00	3,223.00
FACILITIES MANAGEMENT	122,551.00	39,987.00	0.00	0.00
FINANCE - ADMINISTRATION	0.00	28,263.00	0.00	0.00
FINANCE - BUDGET	8,692.00	25,335.00	0.00	0.00
FINANCE - DEPT ANALYSIS	11,190.00	32,614.00	0.00	0.00
FINANCE - GRANTS MGMT	0.00	4,406.00	0.00	0.00
FINANCE - REVENUE MGMT	10,045.00	16,721.00	44.00	803.00
FINANCIAL CONTROL & REPORTING	12,053.00	20,062.00	53.00	963.00
FINANCIAL MGMT & AUDIT	8,659.00	52,186.00	14.00	254.00
FINANCIAL OPERATIONS	60,214.00	32,038.00	424.00	300.00
HUMAN RESOURCES	46,256.00	38,059.00	1,171.00	1,757.00
ITD ADMIN DIVISION	0.00	44,267.00	0.00	0.00
ITD CENTRAL SUPPORT	0.00	7,226.00	0.00	0.00
ITD CMPTNG OPS DIVISION	5,660.00	60,803.00	0.00	0.00
ITD ENTRPRS RLTNSHP & APLCTN SRVCS	0.00	21,292.00	0.00	0.00
ITD SHRD APPLCTN PLATFORM	0.00	195,265.00	0.00	0.00
NON DEPARTMENTAL	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PROCUREMENT	27,421.00	23,746.00	52.00	245.00
TREASURER	7,130.00	4,070.00	55.00	34.00
Total Allocated	$ 560,507.00	$ 839,893.00	$ 2,647.00	$ 12,149.00

Animal Care Center
June 2015



The following table provides the annual increase on each category of dog licensing fee.

July 1, 2015 Dog Licensing Fee Increase Proposal						
Fee Type	Current	7/1/2015	7/1/2016	7/1/2017	7/1/2018	7/1/2019
Regular, unaltered dog	$60	$61	$62	$63	$64	$65
Regular, altered dog	$15	$16	$17	$18	$19	$20
Dogs declared dangerous or vicious	$100	$101	$102	$103	$104	$105
*Senior/disabled citizen owner, unaltered dog	$17	$18	$19	$20	$21	$22
*Senior/disabled citizen owner, altered dog	$10	$11	$12	$13	$14	$15
Dogs ten years of age or older	$15	$16	$17	$18	$19	$20
**A dog owner with a household income below the federal poverty level, unaltered dog	$27	$61	$62	$63	$64	$65
*A dog owner with a household income below the federal poverty level, altered dog	$8	$9	$10	$11	$12	$13
A guide/service dog	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0
Projected Annualized Increase		$49,000	$98,000	$147,000	$196,000	$245,000
*Only Four discounted licenses per household						
**Initial year license only						

Kennel No:
D140

Animal No:
A488766

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE
4000 N. Silverbell Road • Tucson, Arizona 85745 • 520-243-5900 • www.pima.gov/animalcare

Name:
GORDA

Color:
BLACK & WHITE

Breed:
PIT BULL \ MIX

Sex:
SPAYED

Age:
2 YEARS

Collar Color:

Collar Type:

Tag:
L14-240657

Reason OTHER PET

AS THE OWNER OF THIS ANIMAL; *SIGNATURE* _____

☐ I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION, RESCUE, OR EUTHANASIA AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.
***INITIALS* _____ DATE _____**

☐ I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER, REQUESTING EUTHANASIA FOR THIS ANIMAL AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.
***INITIALS* _____ DATE _____**

Intake Date:
12/24/2014

Review Date:
12/24/2014

Intake Type:
RETURN / OTC OWNED

Intake By:
2030

Found @ / Comments:
1396 W VALLEY RIDGE PL ,TUCSON AZ 85737
dog selective (does better with social male dogs)

RV BY: _____
DATE: _____

WT _____ T _____ CHIP YES ___
HR _____ R _____ CHIP NO ___
CHIP # _____

VACCINATED BY _____
DATE _____
DHPP INB _____

TEMPERMENT TEST = PASS/FAIL
A _____ A_____
DATE _____ BY _____

Kennel No:
D140

Animal No:
A488766

PACC - Kennel Card

Name:
GORDA

Sex:
SPAYED

Age:
2 YEARS

Intake Date:
12/24/2014

Color:
BLACK & WHITE

Markings:

Breed:
PIT BULL \ MIX

Tag:
L14-240657

Animal Barcode:

dog selective (does better with social male dogs)

Kennel No:
D145

Animal No:
A488766

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE
4000 N. Silverbell Road • Tucson, Arizona 85745 • 520-243-5900 • www.pima.gov/animalcare

Name:
GORDA

Color:
BLACK & WHITE

Breed:
PIT BULL \ MIX

Sex:
SPAYED

Age:
2 YEARS

Collar Color:

Collar Type:

Tag:
L15-242379

Reason HYPER

AS THE OWNER OF THIS ANIMAL; *SIGNATURE* _____

☐ I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION, RESCUE, OR EUTHANASIA AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.
***INITIALS* _____ DATE _____**

☐ I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER, REQUESTING EUTHANASIA FOR THIS ANIMAL AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.
***INITIALS* _____ DATE _____**

Intake Date:
1/6/2015

Review Date:
1/6/2015

Intake Type:
RETURN / OTC OWNED

Intake By:
2030

Found @ / Comments:
634 W CALLE FRANJA VERDE ,SAHUARITA AZ 85629
DOG SELECTIVE- INTRO IS MANDATORY

Tuesdays Tail 2.3.15

RV BY: _____
DATE: _____

WT _____ T _____ CHIP YES ___
HR _____ R _____ CHIP NO ___
CHIP # _____

VACCINATED BY _____
DATE _____
DHPP INB _____

TEMPERMENT TEST = PASS/FAIL
A _____ A_____
DATE _____ BY _____

Kennel No:
D145

Animal No:
A488766

PACC - Kennel Card

Name:
GORDA

Sex:
SPAYED

Age:
2 YEARS

Intake Date:
1/6/2015

Color:
BLACK & WHITE

Markings:

Breed:
PIT BULL \ MIX

Tag:
L15-242379

Animal Barcode:

DOG SELECTIVE- INTRO IS MANDATORY

Kennel No:
D144

Animal No:
A488766

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE
4000 N. Silverbell Road • Tucson, Arizona 85745 • 520-243-5900 • www.pima.gov/animalcare

Name:
GORDA

Color:
BLACK & WHITE

Breed:
PIT BULL \ MIX

Sex:
SPAYED

Age:
2 YEARS

Collar Color:

Collar Type:

Tag:
L14-244038

Reason

AS THE OWNER OF THIS ANIMAL; *SIGNATURE* _____

☐ I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION, RESCUE, OR EUTHANASIA AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.
***INITIALS* _____ DATE _____**

☐ I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER, REQUESTING EUTHANASIA FOR THIS ANIMAL AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.
***INITIALS* _____ DATE _____**

Intake Date:
2/21/2015

Review Date:
2/28/2015

Intake Type:
OWNER SUR / OTC OWNED

Intake By:
2051

Found @ / Comments:
7391 N PATRIOT DR ,TUCSON AZ 85741
behavior (separation anxiety; dog selective)

RV BY: _____
DATE: _____

WT _____ T _____ CHIP YES ___
HR _____ R _____ CHIP NO ___
CHIP # _____

VACCINATED BY _____
DATE _____
DHPP INB _____

TEMPERMENT TEST = PASS/FAIL
A _____ A_____
DATE _____ BY _____

Kennel No:
D144

Animal No:
A488766

PACC - Kennel Card

Name:
GORDA

Sex:
SPAYED

Age:
2 YEARS

Intake Date:
2/21/2015

Color:
BLACK & WHITE

Markings:

Breed:
PIT BULL \ MIX

Tag:
L14-244038

Animal Barcode:

behavior (separation anxiety; dog selective)

Kennel No:
D135

Animal No:
A488766

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE
4000 N. Silverbell Road • Tucson, Arizona 85745 • 520-243-5900 • www.pima.gov/animalcare

Name:
GORDA

Color:
BLACK & WHITE

Breed:
PIT BULL \ MIX

Sex:
SPAYED

Age:
2 YEARS

Collar Color:

Collar Type:

Tag:

Reason OWNER PROB

AS THE OWNER OF THIS ANIMAL; *SIGNATURE* _____

☐ I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION, RESCUE, OR EUTHANASIA AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.
***INITIALS* _____ DATE _____**

☐ I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER, REQUESTING EUTHANASIA FOR THIS ANIMAL AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.
***INITIALS* _____ DATE _____**

Intake Date:
2/28/2015

Review Date:
2/28/2015

Intake Type:
RETURN / OTC OWNED

Intake By:
2044

Found @ / Comments:
2650 N ORACLE RD ,TUCSON AZ 85705
behavior (dog selective; separation anxiety; best with older children)

RV BY: _____
DATE: _____

WT _____ T _____ CHIP YES ___
HR _____ R _____ CHIP NO ___
CHIP # _____

VACCINATED BY _____
DATE _____
DHPP INB _____

TEMPERMENT TEST = PASS/FAIL
A _____ A_____
DATE _____ BY _____

Kennel No:
D135

Animal No:
A488766

PACC - Kennel Card

Name:
GORDA

Sex:
SPAYED

Age:
2 YEARS

Intake Date:
2/28/2015

Color:
BLACK & WHITE

Markings:

Breed:
PIT BULL \ MIX

Tag:

Animal Barcode:

behavior (dog selective; separation anxiety; best with older children)

Kennel No:
ATRUCK

Animal No:
A488766

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE
4000 N. Silverbell Road • Tucson, Arizona 85745 • 520-243-5900 • www.pima.gov/animalcare

Name:
GORDA

Color:
BLACK & WHITE

Breed:
PIT BULL \ MIX

Sex:
SPAYED

Age:
2 YEARS

Collar Color:

Collar Type:

Tag:
L15-245119

Reason

AS THE OWNER OF THIS ANIMAL; *SIGNATURE* _____

☐ I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION, RESCUE, OR EUTHANASIA AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.
***INITIALS* _____ DATE _____**

☐ I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER, REQUESTING EUTHANASIA FOR THIS ANIMAL AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.
***INITIALS* _____ DATE _____**

Intake Date:
4/29/2015

Review Date:
4/29/2015

Intake Type:
OWNER SUR /

Intake By:
1903

Found @ / Comments:
1259 N WELL RD ,AJO AZ 85321
seperation anxiety if left in house

sent back to Tucson PACC 1903

RV BY: _____
DATE: _____

WT _____ T _____ CHIP YES ___
HR _____ R _____ CHIP NO ___
CHIP # _____

VACCINATED BY _____
DATE _____
DHPP INB _____

TEMPERMENT TEST = PASS/FAIL
A _____ A_____
DATE _____ BY _____

Kennel No:
ATRUCK

Animal No:
A488766

PACC - Kennel Card

Name:
GORDA

Sex:
SPAYED

Age:
2 YEARS

Intake Date:
4/29/2015

Color:
BLACK & WHITE

Markings:

Breed:
PIT BULL \ MIX

Tag:
L15-245119

Animal Barcode:

seperation anxiety if left in house

Kennel No:
D145

Animal No:
A488766

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE
4000 N. Silverbell Road • Tucson, Arizona 85745 • 520-243-5900 • www.pima.gov/animalcare

Name:
GORDA

Color:
BLACK & WHITE

Breed:
PIT BULL \ MIX

Sex:
SPAYED

Age:
2 YEARS

Collar Color:

Collar Type:

Tag:
L14-240380

Reason MOVE

AS THE OWNER OF THIS ANIMAL; *SIGNATURE* _____

☐ I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION, RESCUE, OR EUTHANASIA AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.
***INITIALS* _____ DATE _____**

☐ I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER, REQUESTING EUTHANASIA FOR THIS ANIMAL AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.
***INITIALS* _____ DATE _____**

Intake Date:
7/19/2014

Review Date:
7/19/2014

Intake Type:
OWNER SUR / OTC OWNED

Intake By:
2030

Found @ / Comments:
3945 W TETAKUSIM RD ,TUCSON AZ 85746
OFF SITE TO AZDAILY STAR 11.25.14
BOS 12/09/14

RV BY: _____
DATE: _____

WT _____ T _____ CHIP YES ___
HR _____ R _____ CHIP NO ___
CHIP # _____

VACCINATED BY _____
DATE _____
DHPP INB _____

TEMPERMENT TEST = PASS/FAIL
A _____ A_____
DATE _____ BY _____

Kennel No:
D145

Animal No:
A488766

PACC - Kennel Card

Name:
GORDA

Sex:
SPAYED

Age:
2 YEARS

Intake Date:
7/19/2014

Color:
BLACK & WHITE

Markings:

Breed:
PIT BULL \ MIX

Tag:
L14-240380

Animal Barcode:

OFF SITE TO AZDAILY STAR 11.25.14

Memo Report

Date: Time: Printed 5/4/2015 3:18:51PM

memo_id	memo_id_type	memo_date	memo_type	subtype	memo_author
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	7/19/14	NOTE		SSCHELBL
owner is a truck driver not home alot. 2030					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	7/25/14	NOTE		MLINDORF
no bite/ no chip					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	9/1/14	MEDICAL		JWILCOX
Acute onset right scleral hemorrhage and conjunctivitis. Start rimadyl 75mg PO SID x7 days and gentamicin OU BID x 7days. Suspect acute trauma. Recheck 9/3/14 JW					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	9/20/14	NOTE		KDAVIS
DOG WAS ATTACKED BY KENNEL MATE (405956) WHILE FEEDING - NO OBVIOUS INJURIES BUT THIS DOG IS NOW FAVORING L/F FOOT - ON TDL - 053					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	10/17/14	WEB		EBEAUBIE
I'm Off-Site at PetSmart on Broadway and Pantano. Wish me luck!					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	10/18/14	WEB		EBEAUBIE
I'm off-site at PetSmart on Broadway and Pantano. Wish me luck!					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	11/22/14	WEB		EBEAUBIE
I'm off-site at Petco on 22nd st and Harrison. Wish me luck!					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	12/13/14	WEB		EBEAUBIE
12/13/14 I'm off-site at Petco on 22nd and Harrison.					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	12/20/14	WEB		EBEAUBIE
I am at Petsmart located at 4374 N Oracle Rd Tucson, Arizona 85705.					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	12/24/14	NOTE		SSCHELBL
Gorda was adopted and Victor advised adopter to do a meet and greet with their pitbull CoCo that they adopted 6 months ago from us . They decided against Victors advice to go a head and took Gorda home and they didn 't get along . 2030					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	12/24/14	NOTE		SSCHELBL
One of the public gave a 100 dollar gift card that was returned with Gorda . Who ever adopts Gorda the gift card will go with the new adopter. 2030					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	12/24/14	NOTE		SSCHELBL
Please see Karen for gift card . 2030					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	12/24/14	NOTE		MLINDORF
no bite / chip #0A140C3C2C					

memo_id	memo_id_type	memo_date	memo_type	subtype	memo_author
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	12/30/14	NOTE		VVELARDE

Gorda; out on a field trip to a park, with a volunteer Anne de leon (602) 692-0432 1974 /2038 okay it.

A488766	ANIMAL_ID	1/6/15	NOTE		SSCHELBL

adopted on sunday 1-4-15 and returning because a little too hyper for young children 4 to 5 years old . 2030

A488766	ANIMAL_ID	1/10/15	WEB		EBEAUBIE

1/10/15
I am off-site at Petco on 22nd and Harrison. Wish me luck!

A488766	ANIMAL_ID	1/13/15	NOTE		JCARVER

1/13/15 BOS JC1901

A488766	ANIMAL_ID	1/19/15	NOTE		KDAVIS

DOG IS ON A FIELD TRIP WITH CECILIA GENTIL (240-2030), WILL RETURN NLT 1500 TODAY.

A488766	ANIMAL_ID	1/24/15	WEB		EBEAUBIE

I am at Petco;
located 7810 E Wrightstown Rd, just south of Wrightstown Rd and west of Pantano Rd

A488766	ANIMAL_ID	2/3/15	NOTE		JCARVER

Tuesdays Tail 2.3.15

A488766	ANIMAL_ID	2/21/15	NOTE		OJOYA

P269929 brought dog in for owner who no longer wants dog because she's destructive and has separation anxiety. Booked in as stray since it wasn't owners who dropped dog off. 2051

A488766	ANIMAL_ID	2/23/15	NOTE		RRICHARD

Line 5978 approx 14:12 hours chip is not registered, comes back to PACC:

P245498 Adrienne Holt
520-336-2002 -> Line 5978 approx 14:38 hours spoke to the adopter. She verbally consented to release the dog for adoption. I did advised that for an reason we can not adopt her out or get her rescued, there is a possibility that the dog may be PTS as a last resort. She requested that we please give her a call before that decision is made to see about other rescue options.

I did not send a letter.

A488766	ANIMAL_ID	2/26/15	NOTE		MLINDORF

no bite, has chip (copy sent to lic)

A488766	ANIMAL_ID	2/28/15	NOTE		SCAREY

WAS RETURNED TODAYNOT BECAUSE OF ANYTHING SHE DID BUT BECAUSE THE ADOPTER JUST FOIUND OUT YESTERDAY THAT SHE HAS CANCER. THE ADOPTER WAS EXTREMELY SAD ABOUT THE WHOLE SITUATION. DID NOT HAVE HER FILL OUT AN OWNER SURRANDER FORM DUE TO THE CRYING AND ONLY HAVEING HER 1 DAY... WILL GIVE THE CARD TO ML..2044

TO: PACCAC board members

FR: Justin Gallick, Live Release Manager

RE: Background materials for Agenda item to discuss Gorda

In order to most productively address the issue surrounding Gorda that has been placed on the committee's agenda, I believe it best to provide some information in advance of our meeting. You will see listed below a summary of the times Gorda was housed at PACC as well as the reasons why she was returned each time.

When Gorda was surrendered to the Ajo shelter in April, this was the sixth time that Gorda had been surrendered to PACC. At this time PACC leadership did not believe that it was appropriate or humane to allow this cycle of adoption and relinquishment to continue. Together the leadership team, prior to any intervention from staff or volunteers, began to problem solve and determine the best options for Gorda. Best Friends Animal Society as well as BRDG rescue were discussed and ultimately contacted. Placing Gorda on the short term rescue list upon her return to Tucson was also discussed (but not done). Eventually, as you will see outlined in more detail below, Gorda was provided a placement option that would allow her to get the care and rehabilitation she will need in order to secure a forever home and to break this unhealthy cycle.

In situations like these there are few options that staff can take without being demonized or extensively questioned, while doing what most believe is best for the individual pet. PACC is repeatedly asked to be more consistent in decision making, and we are making great strides in this area. Dogs are regularly and effectively placed on the short term rescue list that exhibit many of the same behaviors Gorda did as a means to find more appropriate placement. When the option of using this tool in this case came up, PACC staff was chastised for considering this option, in part because Gorda was a staff/volunteer/community favorite.

In this case PACC determined that it was inhumane to continue this cycle with Gorda and that continuing to place her for adoption could risk her chance at ever finding a positive outcome. PACC reached out to rescue as well as BFAS to find more appropriate placement. They discussed short term rescue as they would with any pet where adoption and/or long term kenneling at the current time is not a safe or appropriate alternative. These decisions are consistent with our processes and were made with concern for the individual pet as well as the shelter as a whole in mind. Our decision-making regarding Gorda's care and placement was appropriate and consistent.

Attached please find a longitudinal summary of Gorda's care while at PACC as well as copies of her six kennel cards, as well as a document containing the memos that have been in her record since her initial intake to PACC on 7/19/14.

SUMMARY OF PACC ACTIVITY ON THIS CASE

Gorda was Owner surrendered to PACC on 07/19/14 when the owner was moving – She had puncture wounds from a dog fight & was very timid, but passed her original temp test and was placed for Special Needs Adoption due to wounds. While with PACC her wounds healed and she was put up for general adoption. July through August she was kenneled with other dogs with no problems at PACC. She appeared as insecure (hackles raised and a little growly) upon introductions, but if the other dogs were accepting and would let her adapt she would go on to do well with them.

In September, she was attacked twice by different kennel mates and was then isolated from other dogs by people trying to protect her. She has not been kenneled with another dog as she's become reactive to them in shelter. At Volunteers request and funding Gorda was sent for an assessment at Sol.DOG who stated that she was very insecure with other dogs. She is sweet with people and hasn't had any issues in that regard.

Gorda was finally adopted 12/24/14 at which time a staff member made the mistake of not ensuring that the adopters brought their dog to PACC for the mandatory dog introduction which led to her return on 12/24/14 after the dog intro at home did not go well.

Gorda was then adopted on 01/04/15 and returned 01/06/15 for being too rough and hyper for the children.

In an effort to get Gorda more exposure as she was at this time the dog who had been in our care the longest she was selected to be Tuesday Tail on 2/3/15.

Based on her media exposure Gorda was once again Adopted 02/05/15 however was returned on 02/21/15 for destructive behavior and possible separation anxiety.

After this return I met a woman in the adoption area who claimed to have been Gorda's original owner from 6 weeks until 9 months old when she stated that she had to give her away due to her destructive behavior. She stated that she gave her away three (3) times prior to it "sticking". I discussed the possibility of her taking her back as she seemed to really care about her well-being, however based on the history of destructive behavior and separation anxiety she declined.

Gorda was then adopted on 02/27/15 and returned 02/28/15 when the owner was diagnosed with cancer.

Gorda was then adopted out again on 3/13/15 to a young woman who lived in Ajo, AZ. Volunteers continued to communicate with her offering assistance and support should she have any problems as they did not want her to once again end up in the shelter.

Unfortunately, on 4/29/15 Gorda was returned to the Ajo Substation because her most recent adopter was moving to Colorado and was unable to take Gorda with her to her father's house due to her separation anxiety and her grandmother was no longer willing to put up with the destructive behavior in their home in Ajo.

The ACO posted this on Facebook which prompted an immediate response from the caring PACC volunteers. I listened to the concerns and explained that for the time being Gorda would remain in Ajo until we could figure out a plan for her.

During a meeting that night Jose, Kristin and I had a discussion regarding Gorda and decided at that time that it would be best if we reached out to Best Friends Animal Society and our local rescue partners for placement rather than having her come back to the Tucson Shelter as her behavior would dictate that she be placed up for Short Term Rescue. Please keep in mind that each week PACC provides a list of pets that cannot be housed long term in the shelter that we would like to see taken on for rehabilitation. This list is weighted heavily with Pit Bulls displaying the inability to be housed with other dogs as PACC doesn't have the resources or facility currently to manage these behaviors safely.

On 4/30/15 I had a text message conversation to this affect with Chairman Neuman in which we disagreed on the plan. I explained that I had a previously scheduled meeting with BRDG Rescue later that afternoon and would be discussing them taking Gorda on for a rehabilitation case.

During the meeting with BRDG rescue and their board they decided that they didn't want Gorda to continue the cycle of adoption returns to the shelter and that they had already reached out to a trainer who would take her on as a foster and begin to work with her to get her ready for adoption in the future. They also stated that they would be scheduling a vet appointment to discuss medication and an alternative medicine treatment plan. They informed me that they are not able to take her on until Monday 5/4/15. I explained that was perfect as I needed to arrange transport for Gorda back to Tucson.

I then contacted the ACO in Ajo and explained the situation to her and contacted volunteer Cathy Neuman to ask for assistance picking Gorda up as she had offered the night before. She agreed and on 5/1/15 her and Chairman Neuman drove to Ajo to pick Gorda up and bring her to PACC where she will stay until she gets picked up by the trainer on 5/4/15.

Respectfully,

Justin Gallick

Kim Janes

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Monday, March 23, 2015 12:01 PM
To: Marguerita Crehan
Cc: Kristin Barney; Jose Chavez; Debra Tenkate; Michelle Moore
Subject: FW: Castaways animals (concerned citizen) let me know if you got this video
Attachments: IMG_0559.MOV

Good morning Robin, attached is a video of Castaway Treasures provided by Ms. Jane Schwerin. She stated at the meeting that the video was taken from the road adjoining the Bruno's property. The Committee passed a motion asking PACC staff to share the video with PCSD for immediate investigation on this horse and further requesting that PACC enforcement staff along with a neutral equine veterinarian accompany a deputy to the property to inspect the condition of the horses.

Comments relating to Castaway Treasures begin at 39' 17" on the attached recording.

Respectfully,

Kim

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

From: lydia.r.diaz@gmail.com [mailto:lydia.r.diaz@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2015 9:46 PM
To: Kim Janes
Subject: Castaways animals (concerned citizen) let me know if you got this video

Sent from my iPad

DOUGLAS A. DUCEY
Governor

Mark W. Killian
Director

Arizona Department of Agriculture

1688 W. Adams Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007
(602) 542-0990 FAX (602) 542-5420

file
copy
1. Sharon
2. Richard
3 Jim
4 Francisco
6/4/15

May 29, 2015

Mr. C. H. Huckelberry
County Administrator
County Administrator's Office
Pima County Governmental Center
130 W. Congress, Floor 10
Tucson, AZ 85701-1817

Re: Castaway Treasures, 10905 W. Mars Road, Tucson, AZ

Dear Mr. Huckelberry:

I have reviewed your March 20, 2015 response to Interim Director Peterson. Since you sent your letter, I have taken on the role of Director of the Arizona Department of Agriculture. I look forward to working with you and other Pima County officials to encourage farming, ranching, and agribusiness in your area while protecting public safety and natural resources.

Mr. Peterson and I take your concerns with Castaway Treasures very seriously. I am a horse owner myself and consider animal cruelty and neglect to be egregious crimes for which I have no tolerance. The Arizona Department of Agriculture dispatched an inspector and one of its most experienced and high ranking livestock officers to investigate the allegations in your letter.

They have reported to me that there are 22 horses on site at Castaway Treasures. All of the horses are now under the care of licensed veterinarian who is making decisions regarding the care and treatment of the animals. The veterinarian is providing individual assessments of the animals and determining what is best for the animals.

I have also been told that Pima County Sheriff's Office is investigating the animal neglect and cruelty allegations. The Department will be happy to assist the investigation in any way possible, and we have made that known to the Sheriff's Office. If the Sheriff's Office investigation determines that animal cruelty has occurred and the animals should be seized we will are ready and able to aid in that process. We will also continue to visit Castaway Treasures on a regular basis to ensure that the horses there are receiving adequate feed and care.

I appreciate you bringing this matter to the Department's attention. If I can be of any further assistance, please feel free to contact me at 602 542 0990.

Sincerely,

Mark Killian
Director

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
BY-LAWS
of the
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER ADVISORY COMMITTEE

ARTICLE I
Name and Principal Office

The name of this body shall be the Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Committee, hereafter referred to as the Committee. The principal office for the transaction of business for this Committee shall be in Pima County, Arizona.

ARTICLE II
Membership

1. Member. The membership of this Committee shall consist of a representative from the Southern Arizona Veterinary Medical Association, the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, the Humane Society of Tucson, the Tucson Kennel Club, the Animal Welfare Coalition, a public educator, a member of the Pima County Board of Health, the Animal Defense League of Arizona, the Foundation for Animals in Risk, the People for Animals in Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect, a resident of Pima County, who needs and uses the assistance of a certified service dog, as representative of the disabled community, and the City of Tucson. Membership may also include representatives from other organizations and/or governments subsequently designated by the Pima County Board of Supervisors, hereafter referred to as the Board. The Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center (the "Center"), hereafter referred to as the Manager, shall serve as an ex-officio member.

2. Appointment. Appointment of the members shall be the responsibility of each organization and government represented on this Committee. Appointments shall be confirmed by the Board.

3. Term. The term of office of each member appointed shall be four (4) years. Terms shall begin on the first of July. Replacement members shall serve from the time of appointment until the completion of the term of the original member.

ARTICLE III
Duties and Responsibilities

1. Meetings. The regular meetings shall be held **from 5:30 PM to 7 PM** on the third Thursday of each month unless otherwise ordered by the Committee. The Chairman, the Manager or any two (2) Committee members may call a special meeting by notifying the Chairman in writing that a special meeting is necessary.

   Notice to the Chairman shall include a statement of the purpose of the meeting. Upon receipt of the written request, the Chairman shall schedule a convenient meeting time on a

date not more than five (5) working days from the date of the receipt of the request. All members shall be notified in writing as to the date, time and purpose of the meeting.

If a quorum for a special meeting cannot be obtained, the subject for a special meeting may be placed on the agenda of the next regular Committee meeting. The agenda shall be delivered or sent to the Committee members no later than three (3) days prior to a regular meeting.

2. Parliamentary Authority. All meetings shall comply with the Arizona State Open Meeting Law, follow a prepared agenda and be governed by the current Robert's Rules of Order in all cases to which they are applicable, and in which they are consistent with these By-Laws and any special rules adopted by the Pima County Board of Supervisors-or the Committee.

3. Quorum. Excluding the Manager, at least five (5) members of the Committee, at any properly called meeting, regular or special, to include attendance via conference telecommunication, shall constitute a quorum. Reports and other documentation emanating from the Committee shall be adopted by majority vote of the Committee. At the Sub-Committee level, three members of the Committee will constitute a quorum.

4. Committee Attendance. The Committee may for good cause grant leaves of absence to its members. Whenever a member of the Committee has failed to attend four (4) consecutive regular meetings for any reason, or who for any reason fails to attend at least sixty percent (60%) of the meetings called in a calendar year, without leave of absence granted by the Committee, the Committee shall provide written notification to the Board and the represented organization, requesting that the representative be replaced.

5. Officers A Chairman and a Vice-Chairman shall be elected by the membership of the Committee for a term of two (2) years. Election of officers shall take place every other year at the regular meeting in July. A member holding the office of Chairman or Vice-Chairman may not succeed himself or herself in that office. Members shall be allowed to cast absentee ballots for the offices of Chairman and Vice Chairman. The Manager shall act as Secretary to the Committee, but shall not have a vote in matters of the Committee, including the election of officers.

6. Responsibilities of each member shall be to:

   A. Attend all meetings;
   B. inform the individual organizations and governments of formal actions taken by the Committee; and
   C. represent the individual organizations, government and the interest of the general public in the performance of their duties.

ARTICLE IV
Functions of the Committee

1. Serve in an advisory capacity to the Board, and to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center; and

2. Review and evaluate the operations of the Center to make recommendations in writing to the Board for the formulation of guidelines to assure that:

   A. The Center's operations are conducted in the best interest of the public health and safety; and
   B. the Center keeps pace with the most modern practices and procedures of animal care and welfare; and

3. Review complaints from the public concerning policies of the Center and make recommendations for resolution to the proper authority.

ARTICLE V
Officers' Duties

1. Chairman. The Chairman shall preside at all meetings of the Committee. The Chairman shall perform other duties, and have other powers as may be assigned to the Chairman by the Committee.

2. Vice-Chairman. In the absence of the Chairman, the Vice-Chairman shall preside. The Vice-Chairman shall have powers and perform duties as may be assigned by the Committee, and as may be delegated by the Chairman. The Vice-Chairman shall possess the power, and may perform the duties of the Chairman in his or her absence or disability, unless otherwise prescribed by the Committee.

3. Secretary. The Manager shall serve as Secretary of the Committee, without a vote, as an ex-officio member of the Committee. The Secretary shall keep a record in due form of the proceedings of all meetings of the Committee. The Secretary shall attend to the giving and serving of all notices by the Committee; perform the duties usually appropriate to the office of Secretary, and have other duties and powers as may be assigned by the Committee.

4. Term of Office. All officers shall be elected for a term of two (2) year. A member holding any elected office may not succeed himself or herself in office for two consecutive terms.

ARTICLE VI
Subcommittees

1. Subcommittees. The Chairman of the Committee may appoint standing subcommittees or ad hoc subcommittees, as deemed necessary, to complete projects as initiated by the Committee. These Subcommittees shall perform all of the necessary acts as charged by the Committee, and be responsible to the Chairman as well as the Committee. The Chairman or his or her designee shall be an ex-officio member of all Subcommittees.

2. Membership and Quorum. Subcommittees must be comprised of from 2-4 regular committee members as assigned by the Chairman of the Committee. A subcommittee quorum is 2 subcommittee members.

3. Standing Subcommittees. The Chairman of the Committee may appoint standing subcommittees or ad hoc subcommittees, as deemed necessary, to complete projects as initiated by the Committee. These Subcommittees shall perform all of the necessary acts as charged by the Committee, and be responsible to the Chairman as well as the Committee. The Chairman or his or her designee shall be an ex-officio member of all Subcommittees.

ARTICLE VII
Conflict of Interest

Any member of the Committee who has, or whose relative (as defined by A.R.S. 38 -502, subparagraph 9) has, or who is employed by or associated with a firm or company which has a substantial financial interest in any decision of the Committee, shall make known such interest so that it is recorded in the minutes of the Committee, and shall refrain from participating in any manner in such decision. All members of the Committee shall comply with the provisions of A.R.S. 38-501, et. seq.

With the exception of an award or agreement after competitive bidding, the Center shall not enter into any agreement with a member of the Committee, or a relative of a member of the Committee, or a firm or company, which employs or is associated with a member of the Committee, to provide equipment, materials, supplies or services to the Center.

ARTICLE VIII
Amendments

By-laws may be adopted, repealed or amended by a quorum of the Committee, at a regular or special meeting provided written notice is given of the proposed changes at least five (5) days prior to the meeting.

Donation Activity

Period: 2015/05/01 To: 2015/05/31

Donation Code	Amount
DONATION	$20.00
DONATION ADOP	$469.00
DONATION GEN	$10,391.37
DONATION OUTR	$93.00
DONATION S/N	$13,184.50
DONATION SAMS	$35,920.00
Grand Total	$60,077.87

Donation Activity

Period: 2014/07/01 To: 2015/05/31

Donation Code	Amount
DONATION	$160.00
DONATION ADOP	$7,071.86
DONATION GEN	$262,996.10
DONATION OUTR	$4,149.00
DONATION S/N	$147,583.96
DONATION SAMS	$92,728.05
DONATION SHEL 0974	$20,585.00
Grand Total	$535,273.97

Complaints and Commendations for the Month of May 2015

5-5-15 This complaint came through the Tucson Parks and Recreation Director
Complaint
Leash law and pet waste violations observed at Rio Vista Natural Resource Park
Course/Action
Patrols set up by PACC

5-18-15 This complaint came from the Department of Environmental Quality
Complaint
Tick infested yard
Course/Action
Contact made, no pets (or tenants) at location. Owner to treat as a pest control issue.

5-26-15 This complaint came through a Committee member
Complaint
Injured dog not receiving veterinary care
Course/Action
Officer responded dog was impounded and multiple citations were issued.

5-26-15 Committee member requested update on specific animal
Complaint
Update on injured animal requested
Course/Action
Update attached

Michael Schlueter

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2015 3:02 PM
To: Michael Schlueter
Subject: FW: Rio Vista Natural Resource Park

Kim Janes
Division Manager
Community Health Assurance Division
520-724-7776

PIMA COUNTY
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Tuesday, May 05, 2015 4:09 PM
To: 'Fred Gray'
Subject: RE: Rio Vista Natural Resource Park

Hopefully will reach out to us for updates and further action.

Kim

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

From: Fred Gray [mailto:Fred.Gray@tucsonaz.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 05, 2015 3:53 PM
To: Sierra Davenport; Kim Janes

Cc: Hayes, Mike; Peg Weber; Jose Chavez; Kristin Barney; Robert Hendrix
Subject: RE: Rio Vista Natural Resource Park

Thanks Kim. I know you are overwhelmed.

Fred H. Gray, Jr.
Director
Tucson Parks and Recreation
900 S. Randolph Way
Tucson, AZ 85716
email: Fred.Gray@tucsonaz.gov
(520) 791-4225
>>> Kim Janes <Kim.Janes@pima.gov> 5/5/2015 3:45 PM >>>
Good afternoon Mr. Gray, thank you for including me on this issue.
I am passing this complaint on to the Animal Care Center Enforcement Manager for his consideration and action.
Respectfully,
Kim

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

From: Fred Gray [mailto:Fred.Gray@tucsonaz.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 05, 2015 3:31 PM
To: Sierra Davenport
Cc: Mike Hayes; Peg Weber
Subject: Re: Rio Vista Natural Resource Park

Thank you for sharing your concerns about dogs off leash in Rio Vista Natural Resource Park. Your concerns are duly noted. Rio Vista is not the only park where this is an issue. We deal with this continuously and our staff on site when they notice the off leash use remind people of the off leash rules. However, we caution them just to issue reminders for safety reasons. None of our staff have enforcement authority so any referrals for that are sent to Pima Animal Care and in extreme or dangerous animal situations TPD may respond.

With regard to your suggestions, our Parks and Recreation Commission recently dealt with consideration of the creation of an Off Leash Dog Registry similar to what you have referenced about making the park and Off Leash Dog Park. However, as it was determined during their analysis, local ordinances governing off leash areas have to be as strict as State legislation concerning off leash regulations.

We don't have the luxury of designating an employee specifically as a monitor to remind people of the rules. However, as mentioned above, when staff witnesses minor violations of park ordinances, they do indeed inform park users of the regulations, again as long as it doesn't put them in an unsafe position.

Similar to other incidents at other parks, we will inform Pima Animal Control of the high volume of dogs off leash at Rio Vista in hopes that they will increase their patrols in that area. They along with TPD have a high volume of calls

with not enough staff to get to every one. But when aware of high incidence, they may be able to devote more resources to Rio Vista.

Fred H. Gray, Jr.
Director
Tucson Parks and Recreation
900 S. Randolph Way
Tucson, AZ 85716
email: Fred.Gray@tucsonaz.gov
(520) 791-4225
>>> " 5/1/2015 4:02 PM >>>
>
Mr. Gray, Ms Davenport;

Thank you in advance for taking the time to read this email. I hope I have a solution to a problem that is very vexing to me.

At least 4 times a week I walk in Rio Vista Natural Resource Park for 45-50 minutes. Most of the time I am there between 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m. I have observed the following:

1. By actual count 70% of the people with dogs have them off the leash. Weekends approach 95%.

2. A group of 5 people meet regularly with their 10 dogs and have them all off the leash.

3. Dogs regularly urinate and poop in the sand by the children's play equipment. Some dog owners pick up the poop when they see their dog defecate. But since the dogs are off leash they don't always see the poop. (one lady has 2 large poodles, 2 bulldog types and a mixed breed that she cannot monitor their pooping.) The urine of course stays in the sand. Google 'dog feces and disease' for many articles about why we should be concerned children being contaminated.

4. Dog owners routinely leave dog feces on the ground in the less developed areas of the Park. I have politely asked some people to pick up after their dogs. But I don't do that anymore.

5. Twice I have observed a young man with a pistol on his belt and his pit bull not on a leash walking in the park. (I neither asked him to leash his dog or pick up the dog's poop.)

6. My neighbors with small children complain about the number of dogs off leash in the park. It seems that fewer people without dogs visit the park now than a year ago, but I don't have an actual count of those visitors.

Solutions:

1. Designate Rio Vista Natural Resource Park an Off Leash Dog Park. Take down the signs that ask owners to keep their dogs on a leash.

2. Designate a person as park monitor and charge them with the responsibility of reminding people the rules of the park.

3. Enlist the people who ignore the leash rules to be members of a group that will adopt the park and ask others to follow the rules. This is the option I like best.
I have quite a bit of experience getting people to conform when they know they have ownership, are part of the problem/solution, and have an incentive. Though 10% of any population will not support and or conform on any particular project.

I see this option working like this:

An authoritative person who might be a Parks and Rec employee, policeman, city council person or park volunteer will approach the rule offenders. The person will need clear identification that they are an authority figure. A

lanyard with an identification badge or an official looking shirt is important. Also a small card, like a business card, with basic information abut park rules--dogs on leash, cleaning up after your dog, not disturbing the wildlife, etc.

When a dog owner who is not following the park rules is observed, the authoritative person will approach him/her ignoring that the dog is off leash and say something like,

"I see you are enjoying the park." "It is nice to be able to use this park for walking your dog." "I am Ralph with the park volunteer committee." or whatever.

"I would like to offer you the opportunity to join me and others in keeping Rio Vista Natural Resources Park as natural a park as possible." " I am sure you have noticed the dog poop not being picked up in the park and we would like to educate dog owners about the danger of leaving poop around." "Will you tell me your name so I will be able to introduce you to other people who are planning to attend."

At this point offer the small card to the dog owner and invite him/her to a meeting about how to support the park in nonfinancial ways. The meeting time would be prearranged and coincide with a time when many dog owners are in the park. Be very clear that the meeting will last only 15 minutes and that dogs are welcome.

A purpose of the meeting will be to get dog owners to hand out cards to anyone who visits the park even if they are not dog owners, and to get them to express to other people their fondness of the park. Another purpose will be to provide information for off leash areas in the city.

As I said earlier, I would expect to have about 10%.

Again, thank you for taking the time to consider the solutions I have suggested and I think this program will also help keep the new walking path along Tucson Blvd clean which already is accumulating dog poop.

PIMA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

33 N. Stone Ave, Suite 700, Tucson, Arizona, 85701

(520) 724-7400

COMP-TRAK FORM

Tracking # PC1505-078 **Date** 5 /18/2015 **Time** 11:20 AM **Origin** PUBLIC

Supervisor District 4 **Type** OTH **Descriptor** ION JURISDICTIO **Entry Person**

Source Name BERTRAM & MARJORIE LUBLINER

Address 1472 S ABBIE LANE

City TUCSON **Phone**

Location Description 1472 S. ABBIE LANE

Map Page 109 **TRS** 14 15 21

Complainant WEBMAIL-ERIC STRUSE

Address P.O. BOX 13402 **City** TUCSON

Phone-Home (520) 721-7121 **Phone-Work** **E-MAIL** ERIC.TPMG@QWESTOFFICE.NET

Description POSSIBLE TICK INFESTATION IN THE BACK PATIO AREA REPORTED TO THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION.

COMPLAINT CLOSURE

Assigned To GONZALES **Date Assigned** 5 /18/2015 **Assigned By** ____

Permit/System / Project # ____ **Investigation Date** 5·19·15 **Inspector's Initials** GO

Parcel # 136-02-6150 **Latitude** 32.201846 **Longitude** - -110.817665

Referred: ADEQ ___ **PC Zoning** ___ **PC DOT** ___ **PC Build/Codes** ___ **Other** ____

Attachments: ____

Comments REFERRED TO PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT.

Could Not Verify ___ **Insufficient Evidence** ____ **Duplicate** ___

NOC? ____ **NOV?** ____ **OOA?** ____ **Date Closed** 5·19·15 **Closed by** ____

COMPLAINT SIGNATURES

Inspector ____ **Date** 5·19·15

Reviewer ____ **Date** 5·19·15

Michael Schlueter

From: Jose Chavez
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2015 11:05 AM
To: Michael Schlueter
Subject: RE: Complaint Referral PC 1505-078

On May 6th I contacted the complainant who advised me of the tick infestation. I asked him if there were animals living on the property he told me there has not been any tenants or animals living at the property for quite some time. I told him this issue would be considered as a pest control problem and advised to contact a pest control company to treat the yard. I told him that ticks lie dormant for years and start coming out when the weather starts getting warm. He said he understood and thanked me. Nothing further.

Jose

From: Michael Schlueter
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2015 9:30 AM
To: Jose Chavez
Subject: FW: Complaint Referral PC 1505-078

From: Tina Gonzales
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2015 11:22 AM
To: Michael Schlueter
Subject: Complaint Referral PC 1505-078

Tina Gonzales
PDEQ Complaint Coordinator
(520) 724-7432
www.pima.gov

Michael Schlueter

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2015 3:00 PM
To: Michael Schlueter
Subject: FW: 4516/4515 S. 17th avenue A15-172302

Kim Janes
Division Manager
Community Health Assurance Division
520-724-7776

PIMA COUNTY
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

From: Jose Chavez
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2015 10:58 AM
To: Kim Janes
Cc: Robert Hendrix: Debra Tenkate; Neil Konst; Kristin Barney
Subject: RE: A15-172302

An officer responded yesterday the owner was not home a dog was observed in the yard with a bandaged leg, a noticed was posted. Earlier this morning an officer responded and made contact with the owner. The dog has not received vet care the officer was instructed to serve the owner with a bond notice and the dog was impounded. The dog owner was also cited for no vet care, non-potable water, excessive waste, no lic and vaccs on all dogs on the property.

Jose

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2015 8:04 AM
To: Jose Chavez
Cc: Robert Hendrix: Debra Tenkate· Neil Konst; Kristin Barney
Subject: A15-172302

Good morning Jose, please follow up on this address and complaint. Ms. Schwerin reported that the owner went to a vet, the vet found the dog to have a broken leg in two places and that the owner has already refused recommended vet care to deal with the animals suffering or to euthanize it. The owner can be found at either or at the house directly across the street.

Thanks.

Kim

Michael Schlueter

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2015 3:01 PM
To: Michael Schlueter
Subject: FW: Lucius A521290

This relates to 15-172302 complaint

Kim Janes
Division Manager
Community Health Assurance Division
520-724-7776

From: Jose Ocano
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2015 2:33 PM
To: Kim Janes
Subject: RE: Lucius A521290

Below is what was in the medical screen:

wt=43.2# BAR, BCS 4/9 ~2cm chronic wound on left medial carpus. Left forelimb palpates WNL. Mildly swollen dorsal muzzle (acute, per officer, likely self trauma in vehicle. However, medical record from Broadway vet clinic on 5/20 also noted "swollen face- bridge of nose"). Rads show soft tissue swelling over left forelimb; no bone lesions found. Mild ventral abdominal dermatitis; no parasites found. Metallic deposits on enamel secondary to recently chewing kennel. Thorax auscults WNL. Reducible umbilical hernia (needs repair at neuter)

Took pics of Body condition and wound on medial carpus. Also took pics of "water" from dog's water bowl, which contained abundant algae and mosquito larvae, pupae of various developmental stages.
JW

Dog is currently up for adoption.

José Ocaño
Director of Shelter Operations
Pima Animal Care Center
Phone:(520) 247-2171

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2015 3:00 PM
To: Jose Ocano
Subject: Lucius A521290

I have been asked what the status of this pet is. Would you or a staff member be able to update me on what we found on the initial evaluation and medical screening and how the dog is doing now?

Thanks.

Kim

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE