

1. Call to Order

Ms. Emptage called the meeting to order at 5:32 pm

- Attendance

Present:

Tamara Barrick, Pima Paws for Life  
Nancy Emptage, Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition  
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona  
Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club  
Derek Marshall, Public Education  
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community  
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect  
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health  
Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC), Outgoing Ex-Officio  
(Mr. Janes left the meeting after introducing the new secretary.)  
Marcy Flanagan, Health Department Deputy Director, New Ex-Officio

Absent:

Yvette Hurley, City of Tucson  
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, SPCA of AZ, Inc  
Jack Neuman, Vice-Chair, PACC Volunteers  
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association

- Pledge of Allegiance
- Introduction of New Secretary

Mr. Janes introduced incoming Committee Secretary Marcy Flanagan who is also the Health Department Deputy Director. The Committee thanked Mr. Janes for his many years of service with the Committee.

2. Adoption of the Minutes

- Adoption of the July 16, 2015 Meeting Minutes

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Barrick) that the July 16, 2015 meeting minutes be adopted as written. The motion carried (8-0).

3. Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of July and Recent Holds Snapshot

Dr. Smith referred to welfare case one; pointed out the case was the second visit and the dog was found on a tie-out; and asked why the owner was allowed to keep the dog, with this being the second visit? Animal Care Field Supervisor Neil Konst said his memory is that the first visit was due to a complaint and at the time the dog was not observed on a tie-out. There were no citations issued at the

first visit, so this incidence was the first known offense. The owner said the dog was only on the tie-out for short periods of time and she didn't realize tie-outs were not allowed. Dr. Smith suggested a follow-up visit and Ms. Emptage concurred. Responding to questions, Mr. Konst said that if there was contact the first time (January), then standard procedure dictates there would be a check for license and vaccination records and; finding no records, citations would have been issued then. Also if a dog is found in distress the officer would have probable cause to go into the yard. Again responding to questions, Mr. Konst said a second violation on a follow-up visit might result in the dog being bonded, and that would definitely be the case on a third violation.

Ms. Mendelsohn asked about what happened to all the animals from welfare case two. Mr. Konst said the case was a mess; the various owners were a group of Chinese nationals who were students and who travelled a lot. The animals were all brought to one location causing there to be too many animals in one space with an unmanageable pet waste issue. PACC impounded the animals and all the owners came and retrieved their individual animals. As that occurred staff explained that the housing arrangements that existed would not work; they could not have all the animals at the one location. Responding to questions, Mr. Konst said all the animals were seen by Dr. Wilcox and were not in as bad of condition as some (ferret and boxer) were initially assessed to be. Also, the recheck was to the original address, not all the eventual individual locations.

Discussion turned briefly to PACC adopting out animals to college students and others without permanent residences, and the possibility of placing the topic as a future agenda item. Mr. Konst said that there is no policy regarding adopting animals out to college students, and that after college owners could take a pet with them, give it away or bring it to PACC. Ms. Schwerin contended PACC should not adopt animals out to college students. Ms. Hubbard said the Humane Society used to have a policy of not placing animals in Davis-Monthan (Air Force Base) homes or with college students; however, they had four employees who were college students and they adopted dogs which they kept until the animals eventually died, and the policy was changed. Ms. Hubbard was against a rule to not adopt out to college students; said the screening process needs to address these type of issues; objected to putting this on a future agenda; and said this topic has been discussed many times in the past. Mr. Jacobs expressed objection to the topic of discussion, pointing out it was not on the agenda. Ms. Schwerin said open meeting law allows for discussion of agenda items and "any related matters."

Ms. Schwerin referred to welfare case three which involved dogs with matted hair; at least one dog with overgrown toenails; and excessive animal waste. The owner said a relative left the dogs with him and he had called PACC to take the animals, but PACC said there would be pick-up fees. Ms. Schwerin contended PACC should have waived the fees. Mr. Marshall pointed out the report states the owner left the scene because of a warrant and the report indicates no record of the reported call, so the owner's statement might not be accurate. Mr. Konst discussed that in general PACC has hundreds of calls with not enough officers to cover them all, so they have to prioritize their responses. Bite calls come first, and then neglect calls. PACC gets a number of calls requesting pick-ups and they all say they cannot afford the fees and/or have no transportation. Officers do not have time to be an animal taxi service; there are higher priorities to address. Mr. Konst added that recently a man called PACC to pick-up 10 surrendered dogs because he was being evicted. It turned into a pick-up of 29 dogs, plus three puppies being born in route to PACC and another litter born after arrival. The owner was left with six dogs which eventually also ended up at PACC.

Ms. Schwerin referred to welfare case five which involved pigs in distress and two crated dogs, and said this is not the first time PACC has visited this address. Mr. Konst said PACC has visited the

property on numerous prior occasions, but the allegations were unfounded. He added that the situation is complicated by a disgruntle ex-wife who has made numerous complaint calls. He continued that in this particular incidence the owner was hospitalized and sent a text to the neighbor to take care of the animals as he had done for the owner in the past. However, the neighbor did not receive the text; the animals ended up in distress; and the owner, who showed up when staff was present, was cited. Ms. Schwerin asked if livestock (Arizona Department of Agriculture, Livestock Division) deals with chickens, because this case also included chickens. Mr. Konst said PACC has an agreement with someone to take chickens and other fowl.

Ms. Schwerin said welfare case six was terrible. It involved two dogs without water, one which was on a tie-out in full sun. Mr. Konst said Enforcement Manager Chavez reviewed pictures and pointed out there was shade. Ms. Emptage requested, on behalf of the Animal Welfare Coalition, that the owner be told he is not allowed to own additional animals. Mr. Konst said he would make a note of that and check to see if that wasn't already done. If the owner does not go to court a warrant will be issued.

Ms. Schwerin referred to welfare case seven and pointed out the owner was previously warned about tie-outs. Mr. Konst said the dog was tied to the porch and had shelter, shade and water. A citation was issued for the tie-out.

Ms. Schwerin referred to welfare case nine and pointed out one dog involved was diagnosed with a broken leg in February, but PACC did not impound any dogs until July; she asked why it took so long. Mr. Konst explained the owner was not cooperative and wouldn't answer the door so a search warrant had to be obtained, which takes time. The case is still going through the court process. Ms. Schwerin asked about the next scheduled court date and Mr. Konst was able to provided her the information.

Ms. Schwerin referred the last hold on the hold report which involved a dog abandoned in an apartment, and asked about why the owner would be allowed to redeem the dog. Mr. Konst said the owner came in, was cited, and PACC would not reduce the fees so the dog was not redeemed.

#### 4. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers from the audience.

#### 5. Management Report

Ms. Flanagan reported the following. The architect firm, Line and Space, for the new PACC facility is finalizing their design program and a report is expected by the end of August. Also Line and Space would like to do a presentation for the Committee. On September 12 and 13 PACC will be participating in a national PetSmart adoption event made possible by a grant from PetSmart. The adoption fee will be \$20; and for the events at PetSmart locations they will give \$10 for every adoption. On September 12, in collaboration with the Humane Society there will be a rabies vaccination, microchipping, licensing event at the Tucson Ward Six Office. The Pima County Attorney's Office (PCAO) wants to present to the Committee regarding open meeting laws, duties and responsibilities. After discussion, Ms. Emptage decided the next meeting's agenda will be just the Line and Space and PCAO presentations. Mr. Jacobs asked Ms. Flanagan if staff is aware of any reason for the year-to-date licensing being down three percent. Ms. Flanagan said she would check

regarding his question. Mr. Jacobs also asked when the one dollar licensing fees increase became effective, and the answer is the beginning July, which coincides with the monthly report data.

## 6. Old Business

- Licensing Awareness

Ms. Emptage referred to the updated (dated August 20, 2015) draft letter to the Southern Arizona Veterinary Medical Association (SAVMA) provided in the Committee's packet. She said many people don't realize the requirement to license dogs; that failure to do so is a class two misdemeanor; and that there is no information on the licensing requirement posted at veterinary clinics or on veterinary paperwork. The letter is to request veterinarians provide information on the licensing requirement, as well as to promote microchipping. Mr. Jacobs suggested courtesy copies of the letter go to the Health Department and the Board of Supervisors and Ms. Emptage agreed with his suggestion.

The motion was made and seconded (Jacobs/Mendelsohn) that the Committee approve of the letter to be sent as written with the suggested courtesy copies addition. In discussion it was requested that the words, "for your information," in the fourth paragraph be removed. The motion was amended to concur with the suggested deletion. The motion carried (8-0).

- Licensing Fee Change Proposal

Mr. Jacobs said that after the last meeting, wherein the Committee voted to support the elimination of the senior/disable licensing discount, he decided to look for why the discount was included in the fee structure. Three things came to mind: first this community and the County has recognized that discounts for seniors and those with disabilities is productive and have provided for such discounts in other fee structures; second these individuals are often on fixed incomes and raising their taxes, to him, is not the best public policy; and thirdly the reduced fees help create a pool of citizens able to rescue dogs at minimal expense.

Ms. Flanagan said PCAO has become involved in this proposed ordinance due to a complaint about the language regarding service animals. She pointed out the language issue needs to be worked through before the ordinance goes to the Board of Supervisors. Ms. Mendelsohn pointed out the current ordinance language refers to, "standards of a service animal by a nationally recognized service dog training agency," and said there is no such thing. Ms. Flanagan acknowledged that is the language that needs to be corrected.

Discussion related to Mr. Jacobs' comments included Ms. Hubbard saying the fee the Committee voted to eliminate is for unaltered dogs and owners of unaltered dogs should pay the full fee. Ms. Emptage pointed out that community has a number of low or no cost spay/neuter opportunities, so cost is not an excuse to not get dogs altered. She added there are unwanted litters and PACC is still getting too many animals. Mr. Jacobs said he wanted to go on record that you cannot paint with the same brush all those who have unaltered dogs, adding that some litters are wanted litters.

Mr. Jacobs made a motion that the Committee rescind its action (last month) regarding senior/disabled citizen owner, unaltered dog license fees. There was no second.

Ms. Schwerin said she is against raising paragraph B, item 4. senior/disabled citizen owner, altered dog license fees, saying it should stay at \$11.00. She continued that she felt paragraph B, item 5, licensing fees for dog owners below the federal poverty level, should also stay at its current \$11.00 level. These comments were in reference to the recent Board of Supervisors approved ordinance raising all licensing fees one dollar per year for five years. She added that she has generated draft language to change where the approved ordinance calls for increases in B 1-6 to only B1, B2 and B3, which would then leave B 4 and 5 at their current \$11.00 level. She made a motion to recommend adopting her language to put a stop to the one dollar increases set to continue for B, item 4. senior/disabled citizen owner, altered dog license fees and B, item 5, licensing fees for dog owners below the federal poverty level, which would keep these two fee categories at \$11.00. There was no second.

- Tie-Out Prevention Campaign

Mr. Marshall said the deadline for the tie-out prevention video submission is 15 days out, September 4, 2015. He said he printed out about 300 flyers and sent out about 400 e-mails. Three or four school districts are involved. He indicated he hasn't received much promotional help from PACC and seems to have reached a dead end with County Communications. He also passed out an additional flyer not in the packet. Ms. Hubbard said she received approval to promote the contest through the Humane Society two days ago. Ms. Flanagan said she will reach out to Communications regarding promoting the video solicitation.

#### 7. New Business

- PACC Revenue Sources

This item was tabled.

- Horses

This item was tabled.

- Procedures Related to Agenda Items

This item was tabled.

#### 8. Donations: A total of 1,335 individuals gave \$ 28,365.81 in donations during the month of July.

Ms. Emptage said it is amazing how this community keeps supporting PACC.

#### 9. Complaints and Commendations: There was one complaint and no commendations received by staff during July.

There was no discussion on this item.

10. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers from the audience.

11. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items

Ms. Mendelsohn asked about monthly credit card donations being placed on a future agenda.

Ms. Hubbard said the Humane Society is having a pet remembrance event on September 12.

Ms. Emptage said the mobile animal surgical hospital (MASH) is soliciting donations for supplies.

12. Next Meeting – September 17, 2015

Ms. Emptage said the next meeting will be at the Abrams building, due to construction delays on Silverbell Road.

13. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 7:10 pm



**NOTICE  
PUBLIC MEETING OF THE  
PIMA COUNTY ANIMAL CARE ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

August 20, 2015 – 5:30 p.m.

**Herbert K. Abrams Public Health Center  
3950 S. Country Club Road  
Tucson, Arizona  
(520) 724-7729**

Functions of the Committee

1. Serve in an advisory capacity to the Board, and to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center; and
2. Review and evaluate the operations of the Center to make recommendations in writing to the Board for the formulation of guidelines to assure that:
  - A. The Center's operations are conducted in the best interest of the public health and safety; and
  - B. The Center keeps pace with the most modern practices and procedures of animal care and welfare; and
3. Review complaints from the public concerning policies of the Center and make recommendations for resolution to the proper authority.

**AGENDA**

1.	Call to Order <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Roll Call</li> <li>• Establishment of Quorum and Pledge of Allegiance</li> <li>• Introduction of New Secretary</li> </ul>			
2.	Review and Adoption of Minutes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adoption of July 16, 2015 meeting minutes</li> </ul>			
3.	Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of July and Recent Holds Snapshot			
	Welfare		Dangerous Dogs	
	A15-174151	A15-174929	A15-174908	A15-171834
	A15-175463	A15-174722	A15-173171	A15-173229
	A15-173370	A14-174317	A15-169477	A15-159298
	A15-175418	A15-165819	A15-174540	
	A15-174998	A15-174807	A15-167398	
4.	Call to the Audience			
5.	Management Report			
6.	Old Business <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Licensing Awareness (Emptage)</li> <li>• Licensing Fee Change Proposal</li> <li>• Tie-Out Prevention Campaign (Marshall)</li> </ul>			
7.	New Business <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PACC Revenue Sources (Emptage)</li> <li>• Horses (Schwerin)</li> <li>• Procedures Related to Agenda Items (Schwerin)</li> </ul>			
8.	Donations: A total of 1,335 individuals gave \$28,365.81 in donations during the month of July.			
9.	Complaints and Commendations: There was one complaint and no commendations received by staff during July.			
10.	Call to the Audience			
11.	Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items			
12.	Next Meeting – September 17, 2015			
13.	Adjournment			

Copies of this agenda are available upon request at the Pima County Health Department, 3950 S. Country Club Road, by calling 724-7729 or at [www.pima.gov/animalcare](http://www.pima.gov/animalcare). The Committee may discuss and take action on any item on the agenda. At the conclusion of an open call to the public Committee members may only respond to criticism made; ask staff to review the matter raised; or ask to include the matter on a future agenda.

Should you require ADA accommodations, please contact the Pima County Health Department at 724-7729 five (5) days prior to the meeting.

Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee  
Minutes  
July 16, 2015  
4000 N. Silverbell Road  
Tucson, Arizona 85745

**Draft**

1. Call to Order

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:30 pm

- Attendance

Present:

Tamara Barrick, Pima Paws for Life  
Nancy Emptage, Vice-Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition  
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona  
Yvette Hurley, City of Tucson  
Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club  
Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers  
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association  
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect  
Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC), Ex-Officio

Absent:

Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, SPCA of AZ, Inc  
Derek Marshall, Public Education  
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community  
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes

- Adoption of the June 18, 2015 Meeting Minutes

The motion was made and seconded (Emptage/Hubbard) that the June 18, 2015 meeting minutes be adopted as written. The motion carried (8-0).

3. Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of June and Recent Holds Snapshot

Ms. Schwerin referred to welfare case one which involved a dog on a tangled chain. In the report one of the Officer's suggested options was to crate train the dog. Ms. Schwerin said she is against crate training because she believes the dogs end up staying in the crate all the time. Ms. Schwerin asked if the owner in welfare case ten posted the bond. The case involved a homeless man and puppies which died in the heat. Mr. Janes answered that the bond was not posted and the remaining animals were forfeited to PACC on July 8. Ms. Emptage requested the owner in this case not be allowed to own animals until he has a stable home situation. Mr. Janes said he would pass on her request though enforcement staff to the County Attorney's Office. Ms. Hurley pointed out the owner in this case had placed a collar with a license from a deceased dog on the mother dog, and asked if that was illegal and if there was a citation issued. Mr. Janes said his recollection is that it is illegal in all the local jurisdictions, and said it does not appear (from the report) that such a citation was issued. Ms. Emptage requested such a citation be issued.

Ms. Schwerin asked if the dog Sativa, which has been on a hold for a number of months, is still being held at PACC and what is going on with the case. Mr. Janes reported the dog is still on a hold at PACC; that the owner appealed the decision to forfeit the dog to PACC; and that the hearing date (in Superior Court) has not been set yet. He added he has spoken with the County Attorney's Office trying to expedite the legal process. Discussion brought out that Sativa is not being walked because she is considered dangerous. Ms. Barrick asked if all dogs on legal holds do not get walked, and Mr. Janes said the decision is made between staff, the jurisdiction and the attorneys. He added that in some cases animals on holds could be placed with a foster.

Ms. Schwerin referred to an injured animal on the hold list in a felony case and said that it's not always good to go with a felony charge over a misdemeanor because the animal gets held longer. Mr. Janes pointed out the dog was confiscated on July 5 and is being held pending the forensic medical report be completed, then the animal could be released from the hold. Ms. Schwerin contended that PACC officers have a good track record in court and their testimony coupled with pictures make for good cases; therefore, the animal doesn't need to be held.

Ms. Schwerin referred to an animal on a hold with a notation to hold the animal in quarantine for 45 to 180 days, and asked about why the quarantine is so long. Mr. Janes said the animal in question on the report was removed from its hold on July 14, and went on to say a vaccinated animal exposed or possibly exposed to rabies (wildlife exposure) must be quarantined 45 days, but an unvaccinated animal exposed or possibly exposed to rabies must be quarantined under veterinary care for 180 days. Mr. Janes was unsure of the quarantine rules for pet on pet bites. Ms. Hubbard asked Dr. O'Donnell about dog on dog bites. Dr. O'Donnell's practice tries to verify the biter's vaccination status and informs the bitten dog's owner.

Ms. Schwerin asked about the last animal on the report, a cat brought in to be euthanized. Per Mr. Janes, the cat had a bad leg and the owner was given a \$1,500 quote to amputate the leg. Staff's assessment was that the surgery should not cost that much. The animal was returned to the owner who took it to a vet who performed the surgery and the cat is now home with its owner.

#### 4. Call to the Audience

There were two speakers at this call to the audience: Marcie Velen and Lee Bucyk.

Ms. Velen, with No Kill Pima County, said she is not in favor of a spay-abort policy. Some consider the practice prevention, but she cannot consider it in the same category as spay and neuter efforts. She said it's not like a morning after pill; many of the puppies and kittens are viable. She said a shelter is not a good place for puppies and kittens to be born; shelters are high risk environments for newborn pets, but contended that if a place is available why not let rescues take the pregnant animals out. Ms. Velen suggested a policy giving rescues the opportunity to take pregnant animals from PACC. She said currently rescues are allowed to take an animal except a pregnant one; and that doesn't make sense to her.

Ms. Bucyk identified herself as the Executive Director of Hermitage No-Kill Cat Shelter. She also said she is not in favor of a spay-abort policy. Hermitage will take kittens and has offered to do so from PACC. They have taken pregnant cats, kittens and special needs cats from PACC. She contended there is no reason for such a policy when rescues are willing to take the animals. She added that if Pima County truly wants to be no-kill, then this policy needs to be addressed. Recently

when Hermitage took numerous kittens they asked PACC to spay and neuter the kittens once they reached two pounds and PACC refused their request. She contended that the reason PACC has an 80 percent live release rate is due to the rescues and rescues don't receive enough recognition.

## 5. Management Report

Mr. Janes reported the current year-to-date live release rate is 85 percent, with June's rate at 88 percent. He also pointed out the monthly operational report now has an additional line for enforcement calls for service 'requested' in addition to the line which shows total responses. The requested line represents all calls for service, not all of which are addressed. The additional line was requested at the last Committee meeting.

Mr. Janes referred to an additional handout provided at the meeting, with one side being a financial report for the City of Tucson through May 2015 and the other side showing statistics for cost allocation through May 2015. On the Tucson financial side he wanted the Committee to see where the donations are applied to the various cost categories pursuant to the intentions of the donors. He added that PACC's budget is built with the anticipation of receiving hundreds of thousands of dollars in donations. He continued that there are also donations of items and hundreds of hours from volunteers that do not show on this report. Mr. Jacobs asked if the general services statistical distribution between jurisdictions is similar to past years and Mr. Janes replied that they are very similar year to year.

Ms. Schwerin referred to Mr. Janes' Manager's Report memorandum item A15-170618 which indicated when a recheck was done the officer observe no dog on the patio and there was no answer at the door. She said the recheck was insufficient; wanted to know what happened with the dog; and requested another recheck. Mr. Janes said he would relay her request to staff. Mr. Neuman referred to the first case (A15-172564) and asked if no answer and no waste was a typical follow up outcome. Mr. Janes referred to the challenges of going back over and over and said officers are looking for indications of improvement on previously noted negative behavior, for example, no accumulated pet waste or a dog once left in the sun without shelter is not found in that state again. In response to a question, Mr. Janes indicated rechecks are generally unannounced.

## 6. Old Business

- City of Tucson Animal Care Funding / Jurisdiction IGA Discussion

Mr. Janes reported that thanks to many hours of effort from Tucson and County staff a new one-year intergovernmental agreement (IGA) for animal care services is in place. He added that the IGA is a model for going forward and should eliminate some of the challenges encountered this past year.

Ms. Hurley provided the following statements regarding the new IGA:

On June 23, 2015 the City of Tucson Mayor and Council voted 6-1 to approve the IGA, which is the intergovernmental agreement with Pima County, to fund the City's portion of costs for Pima Animal Care. Before that discussion the City agreed to pay about \$230,000 for the tent in an amendment to the prior year contract. That was a good decision in my opinion because the animals are truly helped by the additional space. Now concerning the vote on the IGA that just began on seven, one, fifteen, there was one dissenting voice,

Council member Steve Kozachik, who made a valiant attempt to inform the other council members of the inclusion of administrative overhead charges for the Pima County Administrator's Office in the amount of \$294,000, although the amount was left out of the contract. Now, Council member Kozachik was also concerned that the contract did not include legal language barring Pima County from again entering into debt agreements and contracting for major purchases at PACC, without first consulting the other jurisdictions, and then including these costs in the monthly charges. This was the case in fiscal year 2014/15 when Pima County added the tent structure and pushed through the costs to the jurisdictions without prior approval. Administrator Huckelberry earns a base salary of \$320,000; he is well worth every penny. This IGA, the City portion, will nearly cover the entire cost of his base salary. The other jurisdictions will kick in another roughly \$150,000 to fund his office. Pima County salaries are already covered by the property tax that we all pay to Pima County; the inclusion of these costs again in the IGA represents a tax upon a tax; this point was made by Steve Kozachik. City of Tucson residents through their governmental budget will now pay again for the same costs to fund Pima County; this will free up the money of course so that Pima County can spend the money in other ways. Never before in the 55 years of this agreement have these types of non-shelter related charges ever been part of this contract. This contract has been around since 1961. Furthermore, this institutionalizes these non-shelter overhead charges and from here on out the City and the other jurisdictions will struggle to pay these escalating costs; and these costs have no connection to the community of animals and people served by this contract.

So these charges will negatively impact the animals in the City of Tucson jurisdiction; let me explain. The City budget just passed by the Mayor and Council at \$1.36 billion has very limited funds. This IGA increases City costs approximately another one million dollars; \$300,000 of this, approximately, is to fund Administrator Huckelberry's office. The prior year City costs for the PACC IGA were \$3.9 million on a budget of just under \$7.8 million. So this is about a 23 percent increase in PACC costs to the City over the prior year; that's huge, just huge. This contract crafted by Administrator Huckelberry indicates that the City can scale back enforcement if it is unable to pay the amount under this contract. So what does scaling back enforcement look like? It means that when a concerned person calls 911 to report a dog in the street the staff at PACC can be instructed to say that they are unable to respond because the City has not paid the payment through this contract, so a dog is killed in traffic. It means that less abuse reports will be investigated; less community education regarding existing pet laws, and less unlicensed animals forced into licensing. It is, of course, the City's fault for not properly negotiating this contract. Many of the City Council Members, if you watch the meeting, which I did, appeared not to have read the IGA before voting. The City manager was only interim and she was quick to hand the baton to the next City Manager who just started July 1. His name is Michael Flores [Ortega]. So she was anxious to get all the contracts signed and get everything in a pretty little package for the new manager. By the way I've been with the City of Tucson ten years and I think that's our seventh City Manager. To contrast that with Pima County, Administrator Huckelberry has been there I think over 20 years, so they've had very consistent management; we have had very inconsistent management. So all of these factors, and a very clever Pima County Administrator, with impeccable timing, with a full staff of public relations personnel, has managed to paint the City as a bad faith partner in its fee for service contract for animal services through PACC, but the City has continued to bear the lion share of all PACC costs, approximately 56 percent this coming year. This

new IGA attributes an even larger share of PACC costs to the City; and the total budget for PACC, in case you were unaware is \$8.8 million.

No representative from the City has ever advocated killing animals to reduce costs, or training more people to euthanize animals, never, but the County has. The County Administrator made that claim and it was very publicly stated in the local newspaper; a cruel threat that worked. Now due to the pressure put on the City to sign this contract and poor representation on the City Council, we are paying for the County Administrator's total salary with this PACC contract. Now at the last meeting Ms. Emptage stated shame on the City, and I say tonight shame on Pima County for loading down this contract with bloat and using its incredible media machine to push this forward.

So what's the big deal, right, the City of Tucson should pay its fair share, right; I've heard that about 50 times. Well let me tell you about the City's financial situation. The City's bond rating was recently downgraded; what this means is that when they go to borrow, the interest rate is higher so they have to put out more money for interest. And there is actually in the current budget that was just passed, zero dollars for street repair, so they're going to have to float bonds, and when they float bonds the interest rate is going to be higher because of that decrease in the bond rating. Now, City of Tucson employees, including police and fire, have not received a pay increase in ten years. There was a one percent pay increase in 2013, but there was a seven, I think it was eight percent increase in health insurance cost, so we actually had a negative paycheck, a negative increase, it was actually a decrease. The Fire Department's fleet of vehicles are way past their useful lives; the ladder trucks that you see on the street; I just work for the Fire Department, so I know; have been repaired and re-repaired so many times that it is getting difficult to get any more work out of them. If you watch the fire trucks on the street they look really shiny; that's because there's a bunch of really young, very physically fit fire fighters who shine them up and they really keep them in good shape, but they're falling apart and the City can't keep any good mechanics because their salaries are too low. So, and the City continues to raid its rainy day fund to balance its budget, and this year they didn't have to. And these are just things that I want to talk about because I want to talk about the City's financial situation.

The County is in a much better financial situation than the City and I was very disappointed in this vote because it does include these administrative overhead charges that have nothing to do with the core service that this shelter is providing. The City already pays for the overhead charges for the Health Department; it already pays for the total overhead and all the salaries, well 55 percent of the salaries of the shelter. So the City's financial outlook is not good.

The reason I want to talk about his today is because I did talk to the City's budget manger; I've had regular meetings with her about PACC; and when you have a City that has a limited budget; the costs keep going up; it looks like when the \$22 million shelter comes on board the costs are probably going to double, that's my estimate. How is the City going to pay for these; because there is no kind of funding in the bond to fund the operating costs of that new shelter? I don't know if you're aware of that. So it's going to be funded the same way it's funded right now, which is going to be by IGA. So that means the City, Oro Valley, Marana will have to pay the costs to operate that shelter. Very little cost remains

with Pima County; now Pima County has to outlay the cost, but they bill all the jurisdictions for the costs. So will the costs double; will they triple; and how will they find the money to pay it? So, you might expect that if you have half the animals you have half the funding; what are they looking at; they are looking at alternatives to PACC, so they have been searching out other ways to shelter their animals. Oro Valley is going to do the same thing; I'm sure Marana will eventually. And when we get a City Manager that's around for any length of time, and we have somebody who can actually manage the City's budget, he will look at these options. I just want to let you know that. I'm not trying to be depressing, but it is something, truly something they are looking at right now as an option to PACC. So making this contract bloated, overfilled with these additional things does not help any of the partners; it's very short term thinking. Yes, it helps Pima County cover their budget, but in the long term it doesn't help the shelter and it doesn't help the animals in Pima County and the City of Tucson, so I just wanted to say that, thanks.

Ms. Hubbard asked if Ms. Hurley's statement was generated by Mr. Kozachik or by Ms. Hurley. Ms. Hurley replied that she wrote the statement, but that she has spoken with Mr. Kozachik frequently, as well as with the budget director. Ms. Hubbard pointed out that all but one on the City Council voted for the IGA, so they thought it was the thing to do. Ms. Hurley added that if you watch the video of the meeting, most of the Council was so enthused about the one dollar increase in licensing fees that they used that as an excuse to go ahead and ignore the fact that the City is being charged an outrageous amount for administrative overhead for the County Administrator's Office. She estimated the increase in licensing revenue to be approximately \$30,000 for the City and suggested it would be less if there is less enforcement. Mr. Neuman echoed Ms. Hubbard's comment, saying six of the seven City representatives voted, for whatever reasons, in favor of the IGA. There was some discussion on whether Ms. Hurley would or should provide the written document she read from. She expressed that the pages had additional notes, and that what was said and written do not completely match. Mr. Janes said the minutes will reflect what was said.

- Volunteer Policy and Partnership Agreement

Mr. Neuman said to remove this item from Old Business unless staff wants to put it back on.

- Animal Care Staffing

Mr. Janes said PACC just hired one new Enforcement Officer and recruitment is underway for one Shelter Supervisor, two Animal Care Techs and a Program Coordinator. PACC also recently received a grant for two part time positions, with one being an Animal Care Specialist to assist with tracking animals to ensure every animal is receiving proper daily care. In response to questions, Mr. Janes said the grant is from a local donor and the positions are temporary unless continued funding can be secured. Dr. O'Donnell asked about the process of donating a grant and Mr. Janes briefly discussed connecting with PACC's Fund Development Director, Karen Hollish.

- Licensing Awareness

Ms. Emptage provided an updated draft letter (dated July 16, 2015) to the Southern Arizona Veterinary Medical Association (SAVMA). She said many dog owners don't realize they need a license and that veterinary office paperwork does not say anything about the requirement. The letter requests veterinary offices should promote licensing awareness through signage and/or by adding

licensing requirement notification wording to their vaccination receipts. She also feels veterinarians should promote microchipping and do microchip checks on every pet to help ensure that everything is in order to help reunite lost pets with their owners. Mr. Neuman asked if enforcement officers in the field are they supposed to check for dog licenses. Mr. Janes said the standard is to confirm licensing status either through the owner's paperwork or PACC's electronic records and to cite if there is no license when required. Ms. Emptage relayed a story about an owner of an unlicensed dog. The dog needed veterinary care and the owner stopped at the DMV, with the dog in the car, after going to the vet. The owner said he checked on the dog in the car every 15 minutes; however, PACC was called and now he must get a license and the animal is unaltered, so he has to get it fixed or pay a much higher licensing fee.

- Licensing Fee Structure

Mr. Neuman said the speaker who was going to talk on this topic was not able to make the meeting. Mr. Janes referred the Committee to the proposed ordinance to amend licensing fees in Pima County Code 6.04.070, which was in the packet. He pointed out the proposed changes including the elimination of the senior/disabled unaltered dog license discount; the provision that only unaltered guide dogs be licensed without a fee; and the addition of a provision to license active or retired law enforcement dogs without a fee. He added that he just found out that all retired law enforcement dogs must be altered, so he requested that the word "altered" be added in front of the word "retired" in the ordinance for consideration. Mr. Janes also pointed out that previous ordinance recently approved by the Board of Supervisors just raised the licensing fees one dollar on July 1, so the proposed ordinance will need to reflect those changes as well. Mr. Janes said the proposed ordinance was the result of a joint effort by Ms. Emptage, Ms. Schwerin and him, and is presented as a recommendation for the Committee's consideration. Discussion ensued regarding the reduced license fee for dogs ten years or older. Some suggested male dogs are still reproductively viable at that age; and Ms. Schwerin suggested changing the discount cut-off to 12 years. Dr. O'Donnell said if owners haven't had their dogs fixed for ten years, then why give them a discount.

Due to varied discussion Mr. Neuman decided to consider the proposal (section B) one item at a time and take a vote on how the Committee stands on each item. The votes included the aforementioned updates from Mr. Janes. The votes also include the understanding that these fees will go up one dollar per year as detailed in the recently passed ordinance also pertaining to this code.

The results of the votes were:

1. Regular, unaltered dog, \$61: 7-1 in favor, Mr. Jacobs opposed.
2. Regular, altered dog, \$16: 7-0 in favor, Mr. Jacobs abstained.
3. Dogs declared dangerous or vicious, \$101: 7-1 in favor, Mr. Jacobs opposed and said the reason he opposed this is because he feels the fee should be much higher, like \$1,000.
4. Strike out senior/disabled... unaltered... (discounted license): 7-1 in favor, Mr. Jacobs opposed.
5. (To become the new 4.) Senior/disabled... altered... (discounted license), \$11: 8-0 in favor.
6. (To become the new 5.) Dogs ten years of age or older, \$16: 0-8, unanimously opposed.
7. (To become the new 6.) A dog owner with a household income below the federal poverty level... altered, \$11: 7-1, Ms. Hubbard opposed.
8. (To become the new 7.) An altered guide dog... service animal... without payment of a fee: 7-0 in favor, Mr. Jacobs abstained.

There was discussion on the next item prior to the vote. Mr. Jacobs felt the language was confusing particularly the use of the word resident in the proposed new paragraph 8. Mr. Janes said in the legal sense dogs are not residents, only people, and dogs legally are considered property. Ms. Schwerin asked why law enforcement dogs are unaltered. Ms. Hubbard said, in her experience, the agencies do not want to take the risk associated with putting the dog under anesthesia because of the tremendous investment made in the animal, but if a law enforcement dog does need to go under for some necessary procedure, then they typically go ahead and have it altered at that time. Dr. O'Donnell asked if proposed ordinances have to go through legal review first; and Mr. Janes replied that they do and a County Attorney has to actually sign the document.

8. (The new paragraph 8.) An active or altered retired law enforcement working dog... without payment of a fee: 6-1 in favor, Mr. Jacobs was opposed and Mr. Neuman abstained.
9. Processing/Postage fee per license, \$1: 8-0 in favor.

- Process Used By PACC To Track Every Animal's Care Every Day That Does Not Include Volunteers

Mr. Neuman said this issue will be addressed by the new person being hired as reported by Mr. Janes under the Animal Care Staffing agenda item.

- Correspondence Regarding Alleged Horse Abuse

Mr. Neuman reported that he sent the letter, video and pictures to the Director of the Arizona Department of Agriculture as discussed at the last Committee meeting. A copy of the letter was included in the packet. Ms. Schwerin wanted to enter into discussion on this item. However, Mr. Neuman said the item was a to-do item; he did what he said he would do; and the item is done. He added that he did not want to enter into any further discussion while other business still needed to be addressed. Later in the meeting Ms. Schwerin brought up a letter from the Director of the Arizona Department of Agriculture, (which was part of the packet of a previous meeting) she read the portion of the letter which stated all of the horses at Castaway Treasures are under the care of a licensed veterinarian who is making decisions regarding the care and treatment of the animals.... She referred to what she read as a terrible situation. Mr. Neuman said the agenda item was to finalize the situation, which he did by sending an additional letter, the video and pictures.

- Ajo Center Emergency Veterinary Services

Mr. Neuman said that recently Mr. Gallick said dogs will not stay at Ajo more than two weeks; however, Mr. Neuman report one dog has been there since June 15 and two since June 19 and asked why. Mr. Janes said he would ask Mr. Gallick.

- Tie-Out Prevention Campaign

There was no discussion on this item.

## 7. New Business

- Spay-Abort Policy

Ms. Hurley said she wanted to discuss this item primarily due to a letter sent to the City of Tucson from Lee Bucyk from Hermitage No-Kill Cat Shelter (see item 4. Call to the Audience), and being asked to address the issue by the Tucson City Manager. She spoke mostly reading from a prepared statement.

After the fourth of July, PACC waived all boarding fees for pet owners of stray dogs who let PACC spay or neuter their pets. Kristin Barney (PACC Chief of Operations) was quoted in the paper saying, "This is a progressive and life-saving strategy for a county shelter to take." I read that and thought to myself, Life-saving what about the spay-abort policy, that's not life-saving. Every creature has an innate will to live, whether born or unborn. The dogs and cats that come to Pima Animal Care are largely traumatized; they're always frightened; and as every creature is when pregnant, wracked with hormones and physical difficulties as a result of the pregnancy. Now at PACC, this animal is further subjected to the trauma and strain of the spay-abort procedure. Sometimes the procedure is fatal to the mother. And that's just the mother. The puppies or kittens have their little lives ended abruptly. If near term the puppies are killed one by one with injections; if not, the babies die in-utero of suffocation from the procedure. I have a sister in Montana,; I'm from Montana; who is a vet tech and she kind of explained the procedure to me because I'm an accountant; I'm not a vet. She said it's not performed very often by vets, but it seems to be performed here at PACC more often than ordinarily by vets, I guess. I know that spay-abort is one tool that is used to increase the live release rate; well congratulations you've increased the live release rate. All of this is done quietly, out of the sight of groups that are truly PACC's partners.

Personally, I find the procedure shameful and disgusting, but I'm not going to suggested that the procedure should never be done at the shelter. I realize that the shelter has limited space and resources; every shelter has limited space and resources; every person has limited space and resources. Instead I am proposing that qualified rescue groups be given notice to redeem the pregnant animal; to take this terrified animal to a quiet place; give it proper nutrition; a peaceful environment; and let the puppies or kittens be born. Then the groups can properly screen adopters for the mother and babies; give the puppies or kittens the proper immunizations; and spay/neuter them when appropriate. This takes this role away from backyard breeders and puts it in hands of concerned and dedicated volunteers, many of whom are in the audience today. It avoids the cruelty and gives qualified adopters an opportunity to save the life of an animal that otherwise would be treated as refuse. These groups know how to handle pregnant dogs and cats; it's what they do it's what they've been doing before this policy was instituted. Now folks, the rescue groups and the volunteers are largely the reason that you have an 80 percent live release rate, now 84 percent, I guess. These are your partners. Give them a chance to change the outcome for these small creatures. I am proposing a three-day notification to rescues on the appropriate sites, which there's websites already set up to network dogs which are near euthanization; I see the e-mails go back and forth. People really work hard to get these animals out of

PACC and they will work their very best; I know they will, if given the opportunity. And then, if after three full days no qualified rescue has made arrangements to pick up the animal for foster care, then perform the procedure; go ahead and perform the procedure, but give them a chance at least. Now this is truly progressive and life-saving.

Now I want to read an extract from a letter dated May 20, 2015, received by the City of Tucson from Lee Bucyk, who's in the audience, Executive Director, once again, of Hermitage. Hermitage gets 70 to 80 percent of its feline residents from PACC. This letter was sent to the City Manager, who at that time was Martha Durkin, it was sent to the Mayor and Council and was forwarded to me by Martha Durkin with the request that I address some of the issues as part of this Committee.

Ms. Hurley reading from and/or commenting on the letter:

Quite frankly and in my opinion, the only reason PACC can claim a live release rate of 80 percent is due to the other rescue organizations who routinely step in to take some of their most at risk felines. And while PACC claims to be working toward a no kill status with their communications to the media, they are currently, by their own admissions, spay-aborting pregnant animals, even when those animals have a rescue partner willing to take them out of the care and responsibilities of the County. As I have told their management directly, this is in direct contrast to this no kill philosophy and not what I or other taxpayers of Pima County signed up for when we passed proposition 15 [415]. It is the desire of the City of Tucson that the rescues be given a three-day advance notification of the spay-abort procedure, so that the pregnant animal and its offspring can be rescued. Most of the shelter animals, probably about, I believe it's 55 percent, it's gone up this year, it's like 55.5 percent for the City of Tucson, come from the city limits. And the majority of funding for this shelter is provided by the City and its residents. Please honor PACC's rescue partners and the citizens of Tucson by allowing for this change in the spay-abort policy.

So I'm moving that forward. So a three-day, three business day hold, before the spay-abort policy is implemented.

Mr. Jacobs seconded Ms. Hurley's motion and discussion began. Ms. Barrick said PACC is open seven days a week so the term business days is not necessary. Dr. O'Donnell rhetorically asked what happens when the puppies or kittens are born at PACC within the three days, then answered they get squished, or die slowly of distemper, or die as one did, found in a drain with its skull crushed. She said PACC is no place for puppies or kittens and added she would love for puppies and kittens to not be aborted; and in her practice won't do it if they are late term, but continued that she, as a partner with Pima Paws for Life, also has to deal with the sick and dying puppies that rescue groups wouldn't take. She said she would like to support a no spay-abort policy, but it would require a viable contingency for when birth occurs within the 72-hour period of time. Ms. Hurley restated her motion to be a three-day hold on animals determined by PACC to be pregnant to network the animal with the rescue organizations; however, if the animal is close to birth or gives birth, that there would be a 72-hour emergency call to the rescues to pick up the animal and its offspring to get them out of the dangerous shelter environment. Mr. Neuman expressed that the motion was confusing. Dr. O'Donnell said she is hoping the rescues can come up with a solution. Ms. Hubbard asked about who

determines an animal is pregnant and Mr. Janes said initially anyone can point out an animal believed to be pregnant then the veterinary staff make the final determination. Mr. Jacobs moved the matter be tabled until the next meeting at which time written policy and written proposals can be presented for consideration. Ms. Hubbard seconded the motion. Ms. Hurley then contended that in the interim animals will be killed and willing rescues will not have the opportunity to rescue those animals. Ms. Emptage asked if Ms. Hurley was amending her motion to request a temporary situation of holding pregnant animals for 72 hour so that rescues can be allowed to pick them up pending the next meeting; to which Ms. Hurley responded, "Yes." Mr. Jacobs asked what the Committee's action is trying to accomplish; is it trying to make a recommendation to the Board of Supervisors; is it trying to establish a policy? Mr. Neuman said the Committee's capacity is to give advice to PACC and the Board of Supervisors. A recommendation from the Committee can be made directly to PACC, which may or may not act on that recommendation, and the Committee can also make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors. Mr. Jacobs wanted clarification as to whether the policy in discussion is an Agency (PACC) policy or a Board of Supervisors' policy; and Mr. Janes clarified it as a PACC policy. Ms. Hubbard asked about the law requiring PACC to spay or neuter animals before release. Mr. Janes said there is such a law, but there is a County policy that allows PACC to place animals with rescues, the law doesn't apply in this case.

Ms. Hurley redefined her motion as a two-day hold on pregnant animals before the spay-abort policy is carried out, until the next meeting when clearer language will be presented, which will deal with various situations in question concerning the policy. Mr. Jacobs seconded the motion. Ms. Hubbard pointed out that the recommendation, if the motion carries, is presented to staff, but it is up to staff whether or not they follow the recommendation. Mr. Neuman concurred with her statement. A vote was taken and the motion did not pass: (2-4) Ms. Hurley and Mr. Jacobs for; Ms. Barrick, Ms. Emptage, Ms. Hubbard and Ms. Schwerin against; and Mr. Neuman and Dr. O'Donnell abstaining.

- Spay and Neuter Statistics

Mr. Janes directed the Committee to the table on the last page of his July Manager's Report memorandum. The table shows contract year 14-15 community spay neuter costs broken down by agency. The total outlay was in excess of \$516 thousand to accomplish 8,455 surgeries. Mr. Jacobs requested this type of information on a quarterly basis

- Committee Officers Elections

Ms. Schwerin nominated Ms. Emptage for the Chair position. Ms. Hubbard said she wishes to withdraw her name as a nominee for the Chair. Discussion brought out that since there was only one name for each position, (Emptage, Chair and Neuman, Vice-Chair), there was no need for paper ballots. Mr. Janes, hearing no objection and having been given the floor for the vote, asked if there were any other nominees; hearing none, he took the votes openly. For Chair the vote in the meeting was 7-0 for Ms. Emptage, with Ms. Emptage abstaining from the vote. Additionally, there were three absentee votes for Ms. Hubbard already cast with staff prior to the meeting, making the total vote 7-3 for Ms. Emptage as Chair. For Vice-Chair the vote in the meeting was 7-0 for Mr. Neuman, with Mr. Neuman abstaining from the vote, plus three absentee votes for Ms. Neuman already cast with staff prior to the meeting, making the total vote 10-0 for Mr. Neuman as Vice-Chair.

Mr. Neuman took a moment to say his service as the Chair has been very gratifying; said much has been accomplished during the past two years; and thanked everyone for their participation, including the volunteers and rescues.

8. Donations: A total of 1,498 individuals gave \$41,928.22 in donations during the month of June.

There was no discussion on this item.

9. Complaints and Commendations: There were three complaints and two commendations received by staff during June.

Ms. Schwerin referred to the provided letter from County Administrator Huckelberry to a complainant, which had the name redacted, and wanted to know who the letter was to. She stated, "We are entitled to know to whom this letter was written." She also protested about the redaction of signatures in the complaint letter provided in the packet, asking why they are marked out and saying the letters are not confidential. Mr. Janes agreed that the letters are not confidential and said he would provide the requested information. Ms. Schwerin went on to complain about the redacting of names in the welfare complaints as well. Mr. Neuman agreed and Mr. Janes said the names should not be redacted.

10. Call to the Audience

There were three speakers at this call to the audience: Kim Silver, Tiffany Rosler and Ryan Inama.

Ms. Silver wanted to clarify that proposition 415 was not voted to make the shelter no-kill; it was for a new building, new facility. As much as we would like to go in that direction, the bond doesn't guarantee no-kill, it's programs and policies in place that make a shelter no-kill. She thanked Ms. Hurley for bringing up the spay-abort issue; said Ms. Hurley will encounter opposition if she pushes the issue, but encouraged her to continue. Lastly, she suggested spay-abort procedures be tracked and that the data for the animals killed be considered in calculating the live release rate.

Ms. Rosler thanked Ms. Hurley for bringing up the spay-abort issue, saying it was brought up earlier this year and there was support from the rescue community for a 72-hour notice prior to spay-abort and PACC didn't want to work with the rescues on the issue. She said that animals without microchips have to be held 72 hours before PACC can do the spay abort procedure and those with microchips have to be held seven days. She said 72 hours is plenty of time if there is early networking. She continued that rescues aren't even being notified about mom's and puppies or mom's and kittens until they have been at PACC for three or four weeks and have contracted or been exposed to diseases. "Proactive is not spay-aborting; proactive is networking when they hit the shelter." If animals are networked, they get out faster. She said her organization used to take 90 percent of their animals from PACC, but now it is less than five percent. She added that they take huge owner surrenders and when they do they require the owner let them pay to alter the parents. She said that action is proactive, not spay-abort. She added that rescue group relations have been damaged by PACC. She closed by saying one phone call from a PACC employee got two moms with eleven puppies and a pregnant dog out of PACC in two hours, as an example of what simple networking can do.

Mr. Inama asked if the live release numbers include kittens being spay-aborted. He said his organization has been a partner with PACC for many years and has taken over 1,200 animals out of PACC in the last two and a half years. He claimed a 77 percent adoption rate and said those not adopted are still with them, not killed. He said he attended a meeting roughly four months ago and in the meeting stated his organization has an empty maternity ward, a list of fosters ready and staff ready; stop spay-aborting; all you have to do is call me, but he doesn't get called or e-mailed.

11. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items

Ms. Emptage, after the IGA discussion, said she wanted possible sources of revenue for animal care, for all jurisdictions, as an agenda item.

Mr. Jacobs brought up the SAVMA letter discussed under Old Business Licensing Awareness. Ms. Emptage requested feedback and Ms. Emptage said the item will be on the next meeting agenda. Mr. Jacobs asked if the spay-abort policy will be on the next meeting agenda and Mr. Neuman said it would. Mr. Jacobs also requested that the recommendations of the Committee regarding the licensing fees (PCC 6.04.070) be written up and represented at the next meeting.

Ms. Emptage announced that on July 23, from 6:00 to 7:30 pm, at the Abrams building there will be a forum for the public and volunteers to meet with leadership regarding the new shelter.

Mr. Janes announced that the Director has replaced him as the Committee's Executive Secretary with Health Department Deputy Director Marcy Flanagan, to begin at the next meeting. The Committee thanked Mr. Janes for his service.

12. Next Meeting – August 20, 2015

Ms. Emptage said the next meeting will be at PACC.

13. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 8:02 pm



**PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT  
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER  
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD • TUCSON, AZ 85745  
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960  
www.pima.govanimal/care**

**MEMORANDUM**

**TO:** Marcy Flanagan, Deputy Director  
**FROM:** Jose Chavez, Enforcement Operations Manager *Jc*  
**DATE:** 8-4-15  
**SUBJECT:** Welfare report for July 2015

- 
1. A15-174151 No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.
  2. A15-175463 Thirteen animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at PACC. Twelve animals were redeemed one was adopted. A recheck was conducted and found incompliance. This complaint is closed.
  3. A15-173370 Nine animals were surrendered. Staff reviewed the animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. Eight animals were adopted one is pending an outcome. This complaint is closed.
  4. A15-175418 One animal (sick) was relinquished to PACC by the owner. A welfare case was opened staff reviewed welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited the owner at their residence. The animal is receiving treatment and the outcome is pending. This complaint is closed.
  5. A15-174998 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. A recheck was conducted and found in compliance.
  6. A15-174929 Two animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at PACC. The owner redeemed the animals. This complaint is closed.
  7. A15-174722 One animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at PACC. The animal was redeemed. This complaint is closed.
  8. A15-174317 No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.
  9. A15-165819 Nine animals were impounded and bonded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. The bond was posted and a hearing date was scheduled for 8-18-15 3:30pm at Pima County Justice Court. The animals are receiving care and pending an outcome.
  10. A15-174807 No animals were impounded. Staff and reviewed the animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

WC 1

**INVESTIGATION REPORT**  
 Pima County Health Department  
 Pima Animal Care Center  
 4000 N. Silverbell Rd  
 Tucson, Arizona 85745  
 Phone: (520) 243-5900  
 Fax: (520) 243-5960  
 www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT <b>Ariel Monique Martinez</b>				ACO NAME / BADGE # <b>D.Robledo #1990</b>		COMPLAINT NUMBER <b>A15-174151</b>	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS <b>Ganley Rd.</b>							
ZIP <b>85706</b>	CITY <b>Tuc</b>	STATE <b>Az</b>	RESIDENTIAL PHONE NUMBER				
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS <b>n/a</b>						CI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER			DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX	WEIGHT <b>250</b>	HEIGHT <b>5'-3"</b>	EYES <b>bro</b>	HAIR COLOR <b>br</b>	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN <b>n/a</b>

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES  NO

LOCATION OF INCIDENT <b>Ganley Rd.</b>				DATE AND TIME REPORTED <b>6/26/15 / 1048</b>		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED <b>6/26/15 / 1114</b>	
FOOD	WATER	SHELTER	INJURED/ILL	VENTILATION	ABANDONED	TIEOUT	BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME <b>D.Robledo #1990</b>			D.O.B		RESIDENCE PHONE NO.		BUSINESS PHONE NO. <b>724-5900</b>	
---	--	--	-------	--	---------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

VICTIM'S ADDRESS				ZIP	CITY	STATE
------------------	--	--	--	-----	------	-------

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS <b>4000 N. Silverbell Rd.</b>				ZIP <b>85746</b>	CITY	STATE
--	--	--	--	---------------------	------	-------

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:
---	--	-----------------------	---	--

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	VET <input type="checkbox"/>
					HOME <input type="checkbox"/>

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

VET CLINIC	PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	FTQ <input type="checkbox"/>
			UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>

PHONE NUMBER

CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>	FRA HEAD# <input type="checkbox"/>
------------------	--	------------------------------------

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

3 <sup>RD</sup> PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO <b>D.Robledo #1990</b>	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER <b>A15-164149</b>	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
--	--------------------------------------	--	---	--------------------------

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED <b>4-81, 4-76, 4-3(2)(B), 4-3(2)(E)(2)</b>	REVIEWED BY <b>Konst 7/2</b>
---	---------------------------------

CITATIONS/NUMBERS <b>74681</b>	BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
-----------------------------------	--

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
pitbull VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Rocky	Blk/wh	M	ad		cited	cited	ok	A508845
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									

WITNESS 1	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

WC 1



## INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Activity Number: A15-174151**

**ACO name & Badge: Robledo #1990**

---

On June 26, 2015 at 1114 hours, I Officer Robledo #1990, responded to an priority call of dog in distress, tied up, with no water. I arrived at [redacted] Ganley Road [redacted]. I observed a dog tied up with a 6ft leash, from its neck to a tree. The dog had shade and shelter, but no water in its bowl. I took photographs of the dog in this situation.

I knocked on the front door of home and met with dog owner Ariel Martinez. I explained why I was at her property, that we were here in January (complaint # A15-164149), for the same violation. I asked her why she still ties the dog up. Mrs. Martinez said she didn't know she couldn't. She said she only puts the dog outside for a few minutes to go to the bathroom and puts the dog indoors after. I explained that it is illegal to tie the dog out. She said the dog has water; I pulled out the water bowl from inside the dog house and dumped it in front of her. A few drops fell out of it. I told her the dog has to have water at all times. She did not say anything. I asked to see proof of a dog license and rabies vaccinations on her dog named Rocky and she said she does not have either.

I asked for her for identification and she gave me her driver's license. I explained to Mrs. Martinez that I will be issuing citations for no license, no rabies vaccination, neglect-tie-out and neglect-no water. She signed and received her copy of the citation. She put the dog indoors and I gave her a pamphlet of the animal laws.

Officer's Signature:

 #1990

Date: 06/28/15

NC 2

**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
 Pima Animal Care Center  
 4000 N. Silverbell Rd  
 Tucson, Arizona 85745  
 Phone: (520) 243-5900  
 Fax: (520) 243-5960  
 www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT <b>Mingting Hu</b>				ACO NAME / BADGE # <b>Klein 1926</b>		COMPLAINT NUMBER <b>A15-175463</b>				
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS <b>Wetmore Rd</b>				BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>						
ZIP <b>85719</b>	CITY <b>Tucson</b>	STATE <b>Az</b>	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER							
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS <b>Student</b>				CI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>						
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE					
SEX	WEIGHT <b>145</b>	HEIGHT <b>5'8</b>	EYES <b>Br</b>	HAIR COLOR <b>Blk</b>	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN <b>Unknown</b>			
LOCATION OF INCIDENT <b>Wetmore Rd</b>				DATE AND TIME REPORTED <b>7-17-15 / 1200</b>		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED <b>7-17-15 / 1611</b>				
FOOD <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WATER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INJURED/ILL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> TIEOUT <input type="checkbox"/> BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> WASTE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input type="checkbox"/>										
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME <b>E.Klein 1926</b>		D.O.B		RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. <b>520-742-5900</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.		VICTIM'S ADDRESS				ZIP	CITY	STATE		
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)		VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS <b>4000 N Silverbell Rd</b>				ZIP <b>85745</b>	CITY <b>Tucson</b>	STATE <b>Az</b>		
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <b>1507170350</b> <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:			
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:		TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/>		
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:				RELEASE DATE:	VET <input type="checkbox"/>		
PHONE NUMBER		VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		FTQ <input type="checkbox"/>	UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>		
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		CLINIC'S ADDRESS			QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#			
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO <b>Klein 1926</b>	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS				
		CODE/ORD VIOLATED <b>4-3(2)(C)</b>					REVIEWED BY <i>Krist 7/22 2002</i>			
		CITATIONS/NUMBERS <b>74887,74884,74885,74886</b>					BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
6 Dogs		VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/>	OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>							
8 Cats		VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/>	OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>							
1 Ferret		VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/>	OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>							
1 Rabbit		VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/>	OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>							
		VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/>	OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>							
		VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/>	OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>							
		VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/>	OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>							
WITNESS 1 Donna Olson		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DOB 8-19-68	ADDRESS 811 E Wetmore (Office)			RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE # 520-276-4677		
WITNESS 2 Paula Kelly		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DOB 2-24-75	ADDRESS 811 E Wetmore Rd(Office)			RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE # 520-276-4677		
WITNESS 3 TPD Officer Barker badhe 100394		M <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS 270 S Stone Ave			RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE # 520-791-4925		
WITNESS 4 Linhui Le		M <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB 3-24-95	ADDRESS 811 E Wetmore Rd Apt 4305			RESIDENCE PHONE # 520-582-5736	BUSINESS PHONE #		



WC 2



## INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Activity Number: A15-175463**

**ACO name & Badge: Klein 1926**

---

**On July 17, 2015 at 1611 hours I, Investigator Klein badge 1926 arrived at [redacted] Wetmore Rd [redacted]. I met with Tucson Police Department (TPD) Officer Barker badge 100394 who responded under TPD case 1507170350. Officer Barker was standing outside of the apartment and stated he met with the apartment manager who explained she received concerns regarding the animals in apartment 4305. When she entered the apartment she found the animals to be without food or water and living in deplorable conditions.**

**I then spoke with Donna Olson (DOB [redacted]) who was also standing outside of the apartment. She stated she is the manager of The Seasons and the office received concerns from other tenants who stated the animals have been left alone. They reported a Boxer left on the outside balcony with no food and no water and stated the animals were crying. Ms Olson and her co-worker Paula Kelly (DOB [redacted]) went to apartment 4305. They knocked on the front door and heard dogs barking inside. They received no answer. The animals continued to bark so they entered. She stated the animals had no food, no water and the floors were covered in animals waste. She said it looks like a hoarder's house and the animals weren't doing well.**

**Ms Olson stated there are two males that are living in the apartment but they have been traveling a lot and claimed to have had a friend caring for the animals in their absence. She said one of the renters has come back and was in the apartment. There was a second man, a friend who took the Boxer from the apartment and put it in his car. Ms Olson told him he was going to kill the dog and told him to bring the dog back into the apartment. She said the Boxer is extremely thin and looks like it was dying.**

**Officer Barker and I then went up to the apartment which is located on the third floor. As I approached the apartment door I could smell animal waste coming from within. The door was opened and we were met by Paula Kelly who had another white male standing with her at a counter looking at a Ferret in a pet carrier. Ms Kelly said the Ferret was suffering from heat stroke and was not doing well. I saw that the Ferret has very little energy and was lying down. The apartment was air conditioned and the indoor temperature was cool.**

WC 2

I observed several dogs and cats in the small apartment. I saw that two bedroom doors were open. A third bedroom door was closed and locked. The floors throughout the apartment were covered with solid and liquid animal waste. There were clothes and personal items in the bedrooms as well as trash and other debris. The smell was nauseating. The animals were all friendly and came to greet me as I entered. The Boxer and the smooth coated Collie were a little thin. The rest of the animals appeared to have adequate body weight, they were all active and friendly. The only animal I had immediate concern for was the Ferret.

I observed containers with dry food and one large container with water in it. The water was already dirty and looked like it had urine in it. All of the animals were filthy and had urine stained feet.

While I was standing in the main room of the apartment making these observations I was approached by two young males. Linhui Ye (DOB ) stated he spoke very little english. Yushen Ling ( DOB ) stated he does not live in this apartment complex but is a friend of the two people who rent the apartment. He said he was called by the second roommate, Mingting Hu and told there was an emergency. Mr Hu asked Mr Ling to come to the apartment and help Mr Ye. Mr Ling stayed to help translate.

Mr Ye stated he left the states in May and returned on July 15, 2015. He came back and found the apartment in this condition. He took his one cat named Shadow and went to stay with another friend. He said he does not know where all of the animals came from or who they belong to. Mr Ye stated he rents the back bedroom and Mr Hu rents the front bedroom. He then walked me through the apartment and showed me both bedrooms. Mr Ye said he has counted 6 dogs, 8 cats, 1 Ferret and 1 Rabbit. He then showed me the rabbit that was in the bathtub in Mr Hu's bedroom. I explained to everyone present that all of the animals would be impounded due to the unsanitary living conditions. I asked Mr Ye to show me where he is staying with his cat.

Mr Ye and Mr Ling then walked Officer Barker and me over to apartment 3104. Mr Ye stated he is sharing a room with a friend. When we entered the apartment I observed one cat in the main area. The apartment was clean. I saw fresh food and water available and a clean litterbox. Mr Ye led me to the front bedroom and showed me his cat, named Shadow who was in the closet. Shadow also had clean fresh food and water and a clean litter box. Both cats appeared healthy.

Mr Ling stated Mr Hu called him to help and then asked him to take the Boxer to his house at N Prairie Clover. Mr Ling said the manager would not let him take the dog. He also stated that Mr Hu bought a plane ticket to return to Tucson due to the emergency with the animals. He showed me a text on his phone with the flight information. He then offered to call Mr Hu.

Mr Ling called Mr Hu and put him on speaker phone. I asked Mr Hu how long he has been gone and who was taking care of his animals. He said he has been gone 3 or 4 weeks. He said some of the animals are his roommates and friends and some are his. He said he has rescued all of them.

NC 2

He would not tell me who was taking care of the apartment and the animals while both he and Mr Ye were gone. He asked me if he should get a lawyer. I explained that I cannot advise him on that. Mr Hu stated he will be returning to Tucson the following day . I told Mr Hu, Mr Ling and Mr Ye to meet with me at the Animal Care Center on Saturday July 18, 2015 between 3:00pm and 4:00 pm. They all agreed.

I then proceeded to impound all of the animals from apartment 4307 with the assistance of PACC Officer Adkins and Officer Delgadillo. We took photographs of the apartment and the animals while impounding. Before leaving I checked the open bags of Ferret food and Rabbit food and found small black bugs inside of each bag. The newly opened bag of cat food did not have bugs inside of it yet. I provided the PACC case number and my information to Officer Barker and returned to PACC with all of the animals. Dr Wilcox was immediately notified of the situation and the Ferret was immediately seen by a member of the medical staff.

On July 18, 2015 at 1500 hours Mr Mingting Hu, Mr Linhui Le, Mr Lushen Ling and Mr Phillip Wild arrived at the Pima County Animal Care Center (PACC). Officer Adkins and I met with them. I explained the impound and asked for an explanation regarding all of the animals. Mr Mingting stated he has been gone for 3 weeks. Multiple students within their U of A group which Phillip Wild mentors had agreed to help each other with their animals. They travel frequently and instead of boarding their animals at a pet hotel they agreed to keep them at Mr Mingting and Mr Le's apartment.

Mr Le left in May and left his cat Shadow (renamed Peacock) in their apartment with Mr Mingting. Mr Mingting left in June. Before Mr Mingting left he provided keys to three friends who agreed to take turns caring for all of the pets and the apartment.

Two weeks prior to the impound Mr Ling cleaned the apartment and fed the animals. He said it was fresh and clean at that time. The next person in charge of caring for the animals failed to.

Mr Mingting stated he contacted Taochen on July 10, 2015 and he stated he would take care of everything.

Mr Le returned on July 15, 2015 and found the apartment completely filthy with waste and trash everywhere. He removed his cat and tried to clean but found it to be too much. He said he provided food and water.

Mr Mingting returned this morning and went to the apartment to begin cleaning. He stated he has secured two more apartments in the same complex and has made arrangements for a two story house. He stated the animals will all be taken by their owners and his cats will be taken to the clean apartments.

I told Mr Le that I found he had adopted the one cat, previously named Shadow from PACC in September of 2014. I found no history of complaints or concerns regarding Mr Le.

NC 7

I told Mr Hu that I found he had adopted one Siberian Husky named Moses in April 2015, one cat named Twizzler on September .2014 and one cat named Ruth in September 2014. Mr Hu stated Moses ran out of the front door while he was cooking dinner with the door open. He could not catch Moses. He filled lost reports with PACC and the Humane Society and checked all of the veterinarian hospitals but never found him. The two cats were impounded from the apartment and have new names since the adoption. One is Qui Qui and the other is Nikita.

I explained that I have been instructed to issue citations for each animal that was inside of the apartment due to the condition they were found in on July 17, 2015. I also explained that the ferret is very sick and should have received medical care. I asked if the ferret has ever been taken to a veterinarian. They did not know and stated they did not know the Ferret had been sick.

Mr Hu stated he would accept the citations. He understood that he made the arrangements and he provided the keys to his friends. Mr Hu provided his Arizona driver's license. I issued one citations for each of the 16 animals impounded to include neglect food, neglect water, neglect shelter and abandonment. I also issued neglect of vet care for the Ferret. I explained the court appearance and provided Mr Hu with his signed copy of each citation.

Mr Ye and Mr Ling stated they did provide food and water to the animals. I explained that the apartment managers stated they found the animals with no food and no water and they are the ones that provided what I saw. They maintained this was untrue.

I then served Mr Hu with the notice of impound and bond form as I was instructed to do. Mr Hu stated all but the two cats belong to other people. I asked how long they have been in his apartment. He said most have been there several weeks. I explained his responsibility.

I explained each of the required fees for each of the animals. I also explained that he could pay bond on just the ones he wanted returned to him. if he chooses. I explained that the bond amount must be paid to PACC no later than July 28, 2015. The total amount due for all 16 animals would be \$11,800.00.

Mr Hu asked if he should get a lawyer and if he should bring his friends who left the animals at his apartment. I explained that I cannot give him any legal advice and I can only explain the forms ,fees and charges as they are.

Mr Hu signed the bond forms and I provided him with each copy.

July 21, 2015, at 1600 hours Enforcement Operations Manager Jose Chavez and I met with Mingting Hu, Yushen Ling and Phillip Wild at PACC. Mr Chavez explained that he has reviewed the case and the bond was being cancelled.

The citations to be issued would be only for the violations of unsanitary shelter that I observed. He also explained that each animal owner would need to contact pacc regarding redemption of each animal.

NC 2

I then issued citations for each of the 16 animals for neglect- unsanitary shelter. I also issued one neglect of vet care citations for the Ferret. I provided Mr Hu with his signed copy of each citation and explained the court appearance. 1926

Officer's Signature:

EKL #  
E. KLEIN #26

Date: 7.22.15



NC 3



## INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Activity Number: A15-175370**

**ACO name & Badge: D. Hinte 2068**

---

On July 15 at 8:15 PM, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch received a call from Tucson Police Department (TPD) Officer Rodriguez #53769 (15-07150424) in reference to the welfare of the animals at Mission Rd. Per Officer, TPD was originally there in reference to a man threatening the female dog owner. TPD reported at the residence there are four dogs (three outside and one inside). Two of the dogs are long haired and severely matted. At least one dog has overgrown toenails that are curled inwards and eating into the dog's foot pads. The officers on scene could find no water for the dogs. The dogs were not reported as in distress so the call was reset for the next day.

On July 16, 2015 at 9:50 AM, I, Officer Hinte 2068, arrived at Mission Rd. I met with TPD Detectives Jimenez #51927 and Chlopowicz. They advised me that TPD had responded to the address the previous night for an unrelated incident. They found four dogs in the front yard with no water. They cited owner Stephanie Lucero for the violations. Ms. Lucero reported to the detectives that the dogs belonged to a family member who abandoned them on the property. She advised that she wanted to relinquish ownership. After she left the property, they found five more dogs in enclosures on the east side of the property. The conditions appeared filthy and they could not verify water.

Upon my arrival, I found the four dogs in the front yard had two containers full of clean water. There was also a dog house in the yard. Two of the dogs in the front yard were severely matted. I examined the enclosures on the east side of the property. Pen #1 on the far east end was approximately 8ft x 6ft and had three female white terrier mixes. All of the dogs had some matting of the fur. There were three make-shift dog houses for shelter. There was excessive animal waste in the pen- at least several months' worth. There was one metal bucket which held about 1 inch of what I assume was water, but it was thick and green in color. There were several other containers which were all empty.

WC 3

I examined pen #2, approximately 8ft x 4ft in size. There were two male white and black terrier mixes inside. I observed one large dog house for shelter. There was a metal container about 3/4 full with water, which was thick with a brown and green algae-like substance. I did not observe any other containers for food or water. I began impounding the dogs for no potable water. Once I had removed all five dogs from the 2 pens, the owners arrived home.

I met with a man who identified himself as Glen Lucero, though it was later determined his real name was Glen Briley. I advised him of the violations and he claimed that a relative named Larry Olson left the dogs when he moved out in November. He stated that he had tried to call PACC to have them picked up but was told due to the time frame that he was the owner and would need to pay pick up fees. He stated that he became irate and ended the call. I could not find a record of the call in our system.

I advised him that I would need to cite for neglect- no water on the five dogs in the east pens. I asked for his ID and he stated that it was inside the home. After several minutes, the detectives began asking Ms. Lucero, who was also present, where her husband went. She stated that he was having trouble finding his wallet. We waited several more minutes and the detectives began pressing Ms. Lucero as to where Glen was. She finally admitted that he had a warrant and slipped out the back door. I then asked Ms. Lucero for her ID, as she also lives on the property. She complied and I issued her 5 citations in the City for neglect - no water (non-potable). I explained her court date, time, and location. She stated that she understood, signed, and received her copy. I asked if she wished to relinquish ownership of all the dogs and she advised that she did. I filled out the owner surrender form and explained it to her. She stated that she understood and signed the form. I impounded the remaining four dogs from the front yard with the help of Ms. Lucero.

Officer's Signature:



Date:

7/10/15

NC 4

**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
 Pima Animal Care Center  
 4000 N. Silverbell Rd  
 Tucson, Arizona 85745  
 Phone: (520) 243-5900  
 Fax: (520) 243-5960  
 www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT <b>Cenobio Arrizon Fregoso</b>				ACO NAME / BADGE # <b>X. Delgadillo #2047</b>		COMPLAINT NUMBER <b>A15-175418</b>	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS <b>Century Dr</b>				BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
ZIP <b>85746</b>	CITY <b>Tucson</b>	STATE <b>AZ</b>	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER				
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				CI <input type="checkbox"/> CO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT <b>220</b>	HEIGHT <b>5'3"</b>	EYES <b>Hzi</b>	HAIR COLOR <b>Gry</b>	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES  NO

LOCATION OF INCIDENT <b>4000 N. Silverbell Rd</b>				DATE AND TIME REPORTED <b>07/14/15 / 15:34</b>		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED <b>07/14/15 / 15:34</b>	
FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION				ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Neglect</b>			

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME <b>X. Delgadillo #2047</b>		D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. <b>520-724-5900*3</b>
---	--	-------	---------------------	---

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE
------------------	--	-----	------	-------

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS <b>4000 N. Silverbell Rd</b>		ZIP <b>85745</b>	CITY <b>Tucson</b>	STATE <b>AZ</b>
---	--	---------------------	-----------------------	--------------------

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:
---	---	-----------------------	---	--

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/>
------------------------------------	----------------	------------	--------------	------------------	-------------------------------

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:	PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	RELEASE DATE:	VET <input type="checkbox"/>
--	----------------------	--------------	---	---------------	------------------------------

PHONE NUMBER

VET CLINIC	PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	FTQ <input type="checkbox"/>	UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>
------------	--------------	---	------------------------------	------------------------------

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#		
------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	--	--

3<sup>RD</sup> PARTY CITATIONS

YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
--	------------	---	----------------------	--------------------------

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED	REVIEWED BY <b>ZOOZ</b> <b>KONST 7/22</b>		
-------------------	--	--	--

CITATIONS/NUMBERS

<b>74729</b>	BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
--------------	--

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit bull VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fanor	White/Gray	M	A					527326
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									

WITNESS 1	M <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

WC 4

**INVESTIGATION REPORT**



**Activity Number: A15-175418**

**ACO name & Badge: X. Delgadillo #2047**

**On July 14, 2015 at approximately 15:34, dog owner Cenobio Fregoso, came to the Pima Animal Care center to surrender ownership of his dog. Mr. Fregoso surrendered a white and gray male Pit-Bull named Fanor; the dog was severely emaciated. Mr. Fregoso explained that he acquired the dog through a friend approximately seven months ago and has not been walking for three months. When asked why the dog had not been provided vet care, Mr. Fregoso stated that he had but the cost would be \$400.00, which he could not afford.**

**The dog was examined in the intake area and the staff observed the dogs rib bones protruding, bones near the temple of the eyes protruding and when the dog owner attempted to stand the dog up; its leg began to shake immediately and could hold its own weight.**

**On July 16, 2015 at approximately 18:21 I, Officer Delgadillo #2047, arrived to residence of Cenobio Fregoso : Century Dr.**

**I explained to Mr. Fregoso I needed to conduct a health and welfare check of his animals. Mr. Fregoso immediately began to state that the dog he surrendered had been given medication. He provided a 5 and 1 vaccine from Country Feed store. He again stated that he had no money to take the dog to the veterinarian as he is on a fixed income. He continued to explain that the dog had ran away for approximately two weeks and he returned home ill.**

**Mr. Fregoso was cited into Pima County Justice Court for Neglect- No Vet Care for Fanor a male white and gray Pit-Bull. Mr. Fregoso signed his citations; received a copy and was provided his court date and time.**

**Officer's Signature:**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "X. Delgadillo".

**Date:**

7/22/15

WC 5

**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
 Pima Animal Care Center  
 4000 N Silverbell Rd  
 Tucson, Arizona 85745  
 Phone: (520) 243-5900  
 Fax: (520) 243-5960  
 www.pimaanimalcare.org



SUSPECT <b>William Jacinto</b>				ACO NAME / BADGE # <b>Klein 1926</b>		COMPLAINT NUMBER <b>A15-174998</b>	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS <b>Steele Dr</b>				BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
ZIP <b>85743</b>	CITY <b>Tucson</b>	STATE <b>Az</b>	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER <b>1</b>				
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS <b>Not Given</b>				CI <input type="checkbox"/> CO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SFX	WEIGHT <b>189</b>	HEIGHT <b>5'6</b>	EYES <b>Br</b>	HAIR COLOR <b>Br</b>	ORIGIN <b>1</b>	DOB	SSN <b>Not Given</b>

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	LOCATION OF INCIDENT <b>Steele Dr</b>	DATE AND TIME REPORTED <b>7-9-15 / 1615</b>	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED <b>7-9-15 / 1649</b>
---	--	--	--

FOOD <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WATER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SHELTER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	INJURED/ILL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/>	ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/>	TIEOUT <input type="checkbox"/>	BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/>	WASTE <input type="checkbox"/>	OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input type="checkbox"/>
--	---	---	---	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------------	--

<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME <b>E.Klein Badge 1926</b>	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. <b>520-724-5900</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS <b>4000 N Silverbell Rd</b>		ZIP <b>85745</b>	CITY <b>Tucson</b>	STATE <b>Az</b>

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:
---	---	--	-----------------------	---	--

<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/>	VET <input type="checkbox"/>	HOME <input type="checkbox"/>
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM	<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:			
PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	FTQ <input type="checkbox"/> UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>			

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>	FRA HEAD# <b>A14-139631</b>
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO <b>Klein 1926</b>	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	CODE/ORD VIOLATED <b>6.04.110 B1, B2,B4, 11-1010(A),6.04.070</b>		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER <b>A15-172635</b>
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS <b>74297,74299,74296,74300</b>		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS <b>A15-172591</b>
			REVIEWED BY <b>2002</b>
			BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Boxer VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Heidi	Red/wh	F	2Y		cited	cited	ok	A526910
Boxer VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Nacho	Wh/tan	M	2Y		cited	cited	poor	A526907
Pig VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Spots	Wh/blk	F					ok	A526912
Pig VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Dots	Wh/Blk	F					ok	A526913
Chicken Coop VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9-10 chickens							ok	A526919
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									

WITNESS 1	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

NC 5



## INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Activity Number: A15-174998**

**ACO name & Badge: Klein 1926**

---

On July 9, 2015 at 1615 hours the Pima County Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch department received a call regarding several animals at Steele Dr. The caller stated they have not seen anyone at the property to care for the animals in four or five days. They said they looked over the fence and could see that the pigs appear to be distressed, thin and dehydrated. They could not see into the chicken coop and were concerned for their health. The caller also stated they wanted an officer to check inside of the house. They stated the house was not locked and that there were two dogs crated inside of the house.

On July 9, 2015 at 1649 hours I, Investigator Klein badge 1926 arrived at Steele Dr. I was met at the driveway gate by an adult red and white female Boxer and a white and tan adult male Boxer. I observed the male Boxer to be thin and limping. Both dogs were very friendly. I could not see any food or water from the gate. I entered the gate and knocked on the front door. I received no response and heard no barking inside of the home. There was a note taped to the front door that stated " I have checked into Oro Valley Hospital".

I found no food available for the dogs. I observed three plastic containers with some water in them. The containers were covered with algae and slime. I saw a large amount of larvae moving in the water. I filled a plastic pool with fresh water for the dogs to drink. As I was filling it the dogs drank readily. I offered dry dog food which the dogs ate immediately.

I did not see a dog house on the property. I observed some of the skirting missing from the trailer which would allow for the dogs to seek shade and shelter under the home.

I walked to the north end of the property where I observed two small pigs in a pen. The side of the pen that they were closed in did not have shelter, food or water. The containers and the pen were completely dry. The pigs had very little energy and did not respond to my presence. I found a bag with about one cup of pig feed in a container next to the pen. I watered and fed the pigs. They both immediately ate and drank what I offered. I found wood boards and

WCS

other items to make shelter and shade for the pigs. After preparing their shelter I noticed the pigs gain some energy. Before leaving the property I noted the pigs to be much more active and vocal.

I then went to the chicken coop that is next to the pig pen. I observed 9 or 10 chickens huddled together in the center of the coop. They had no food or water. The chickens were not active or vocal and did not appear to be doing well.

I found chicken scratch and corn feed in a container next to the coop. I provided food and water for the chickens. They immediately began to eat and drink. Some were fighting over the water container that I provided. As I provided a second water container the chickens stopped fighting and gathered under the running water coming from the hose. I noted that two of the chickens had a lot of feather loss. Before leaving the property I went back to the chickens and found them to be more active and very vocal. I topped of the water containers again and secured the entry gate.

I took several photographs of my observations and the shelter I provided for the pigs. I contacted Supervisor Konst and explained my findings. He stated he would contact livestock to respond for the pigs and the chickens.

I then impounded both of the dogs. As I was preparing to leave a notice of impound on the front door Mr William Jacinto arrived and stated he is the owner of the home and the animals. Mr Jacinto stated he was just released from the hospital and provided bags with new prescriptions that he picked up on his way home. I also observed an identification band from a hospital still on his wrist. Mr Williams also provided his Arizona driver's license.

I explained the call to PACC and my findings. Mr Williams stated he was admitted to the hospital on Monday. He stated he sent a text to his neighbor asking them to care for the animals. I asked him for the names and ages of all of the animals on the property. He provided the information for the pigs and the two dogs and stated he does not know about the chickens as they belonged to his ex-wife.

I asked Mr Williams how long he has owned the two dogs, Heidi and Nacho. He said approximately two years. I told him that Nacho is thin and limping. I asked if Nacho has been taken to a veterinarian. Mr Williams said no. He explained that Nacho has always been thin and he has been limping for a couple of months. He will still play and is still active so he has not taken him to a vet.

Mr Williams asked me if I found the bags of food for all of the animals and stated he cares for them. I told him I did not find any dog food. He then showed me a 50 pound bag of pedigree in a sealed bucket. He also showed a text message on his cell phone asking someone to check on his animals while he was in the hospital.

WC 5

I contacted Supervisor Konst and explained what Mr Williams stated. He stated this is not the first time PACC has been called out for the animals. He instructed me to issue citations for each of the violations I observed, return the dogs, complete a premise inspection ordering vet care within 48 hours and inform Mr Williams that livestock mat be contacting him.

I issued citation 74297 for neglect water, neglect food, no rabies vaccination and no license for Heidi, the red Boxer.

I issued citation 74296 for neglect water, neglect food, neglect vet care, no rabies vaccination and no license for Nacho, the tan and white Boxer.

I issued citation 74299 for neglect food and neglect water for Spots and Dots, the two pigs.

I issued citation 74300 for neglect food, neglect water for the chicken coop which contains 9-10 chickens.

I provided Mr Williams with his signed copy of each citation and explained the court appearance.

While issuing citations another gentleman arrived. He stated he is the neighbor that Mr Williams had tried to text asking him to care for the animals as he did in March when Mr Williams was hospitalized. I asked him why he did not take care of the animals or check on the property. He said he did not know Mr Williams was in the hospital this time and said he did not get the text.

I informed Mr Williams that an officer will return after July 11, 2015 to do a follow up inspection. He will need to provide paperwork from a licensed veterinarian at that time showing that Nacho has been examined, diagnosed and treated. He stated he understood.

Officer's Signature:



Date:

7-10-15

E. Klein #1924

NC 6

**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
 Pima Animal Care Center  
 4000 N Silverbell Rd  
 Tucson, Arizona 85745  
 Phone: (520) 243-5900  
 Fax: (520) 243-5960  
 www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT <b>Marco Antonio Valle</b>				ACO NAME / BADGE # <b>X. Delgadillo #2047</b>		COMPLAINT NUMBER <b>A15-174929</b>	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS <b>Idaho St</b>				BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
ZIP <b>85757</b>	CITY <b>Tucson</b>	STATE <b>AZ</b>	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER				
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				CI <input type="checkbox"/> CO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT <b>215</b>	HEIGHT <b>6'01"</b>	EYES <b>Bro</b>	HAIR COLOR <b>Blk</b>	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT <b>f. Idaho St</b>	DATE AND TIME REPORTED <b>07/08/15 / 16:49</b>	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED <b>07/08/15 / 17:23</b>						
<input type="checkbox"/> FOOD		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WATER	<input type="checkbox"/> SHELTER	<input type="checkbox"/> INJURED/ILL	<input type="checkbox"/> VENTILATION	<input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TIEOUT	<input type="checkbox"/> BEATEN	<input type="checkbox"/> WASTE	OTHER (EXPLAIN)

<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME <b>X. Delgadillo</b>	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. <b>520-724-5900*3</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS <b>4000 N. Silverbell Rd</b>		ZIP <b>85745</b>	CITY <b>Tucson</b>	STATE <b>AZ</b>

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:
---	---	--	-----------------------	---	--

<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/>
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM	<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	VET <input type="checkbox"/>
PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		HOME <input type="checkbox"/>
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>	FRA HEAD# <input type="checkbox"/>		

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO <b>X. Delgadillo#2047</b>	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
	CODE/ORD VIOLATED <b>6.04.110 (B) (5), 6.04.110 (B) (2)</b>				REVIEWED BY <b>2602 KOAST 7/10</b>
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS <b>74704</b>				BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Sharpei/Lab VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Maki</b>	<b>Blue Smoke</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>adult</b>					<b>526710</b>
Chihuahua VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Jenny</b>	<b>Tan/White</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>adult</b>					<b>526712</b>
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									

WITNESS 1	M <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

WC 6



## INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Activity Number: A15-174929**

**ACO name & Badge: X. Delgadillo #2047**

---

**On July 8, 2015 at 17:23 I, Officer Delgadillo #2047, arrived to 1. Idaho St. in reference to an abandonment of two dogs.**

**I walked around to the back yard and I observed a female Sharpei Lab Mix on a tie-out, in full sun with no water and no food; a tan white Chihuahua was free roaming in the yard. There was water by the back door for the Chihuahua but it was un-potable.**

**There were several cars on the property but received no answer at the door. The dogs were impounded for their well-being.**

**On July 9, 2015 at approximately 14:16 the dog owner, Marco Valle met with Officer Martinez #2067 at Pima Animal Care Center to redeem his dogs. Mr. Valle was cited into Pima County Justice Court for Neglect- Tie out and Neglect no water for Maki a female Sharpei/ Lab mix and Neglect no water for Jenny a female Chihuahua. Officer Martinez explained to Mr. Valle his court date and time and was provided a copy of his citation.**

**Officer's Signature:**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "X. Delgadillo", written over a horizontal line.

**Date:**

7/10/15



WC 7



## INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Activity Number: A15-174722**

**ACO name & Badge: A. Kirby #2057**

---

On 07/06/15 at approximately 0845 hours I arrived at . Ajo Way in response to another call for service, while en-route to the listed unit# I observed a Basset Hound/ Queensland Heeler mix on a tie-out attached to the front porch. Although the inside door was partially open the security door was locked, I received no response to my knocking or verbal announcements. I then impounded the dog and after loading the dog onto the truck the door was secured. I posted a notice advising of the impoundment. If owner redeems citations are to be issues for Neglect - Tie-Out, Tucson City Code on 07/06/15 at 0845 hrs. The dog had water and food in bowls under the shaded carport area; however I have warned the dog owner Diane McLaurin about tie outs on a previous occasion.

On 07/06/15 Supervisor Konst #2002 met with Simba's owner Diane McLaurin at the Pima County Animal Care Center when she came to redeem her dog. He issued a neglect tie out citation to Ms. McLaurin as requested by Officer Kirby #2057 in Tucson City Court.

Ms. McLaurin stated that when the officer came she had just run to the store for some milk. She stated that her 14 year old, an 8 year old and the baby were in the house. She had given them orders not to answer the door if someone came. She claimed the dog had been inside all night and she had put it out by the step on a leash while she was gone. She stated she was just cited for leash law and license vaccination violations by the same officer and is required to do community service because the fines were \$600.00. Supervisor Konst explained the citation and explained she could plead "not guilty" and tell her side it court.

**Officer's Signature:**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "A. Kirby", written over a horizontal line.

**Date:**

07/06/15

WC 8

<b>INVESTIGATION REPORT</b> <b>Pima County Health Department</b> <b>Pima Animal Care Center</b> <b>4000 N. Silverbell Rd.</b> <b>Tucson, Arizona 85745</b> <b>Phone: (520) 243-5900</b> <b>Fax: (520) 243-5960</b> <b>www.pimaanimalcare.org</b>		SUSPECT <b>Evonne Marie VanGundy</b>			ACO NAME / BADGE # <b>S.Adkins 1961</b>		ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER <b>A15-174317</b>				
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS <b>Hawser St</b>		BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/>		OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>							
CITY <b>Tucson</b>	STATE <b>Az</b>	ZIP <b>85739</b>	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER								
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI <input type="checkbox"/> CO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>		DRIVERS LICENSE							
CITY	STATE	ZIP	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER								
SEX	WEIGHT <b>165</b>	HEIGHT <b>5-09</b>	EYES <b>Bro</b>	HAIR <b>Bn</b>	ORIGIN	DOB	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER				
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT <b>Hawser St</b>			DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT <b>07/25/15 15:50</b>		DATE AND TIME REPORTED <b>06/29/15 18:04</b>				
		FOOD <input type="checkbox"/>	WATER <input type="checkbox"/>	SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/>	VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/>	ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/>	TIED/UT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/>	WASTE <input type="checkbox"/>	INJ / ILL <input type="checkbox"/>	OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME <b>Sylvia Adkins</b>			DATE OF BIRTH		RESIDENCE PHONE		BUSINESS PHONE <b>520-724-5900</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS			CITY		STATE		ZIP			
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS <b>4000 N Silverbell rd</b>			CITY <b>Tucson</b>		STATE <b>Az</b>		ZIP <b>85745</b>			
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SD <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:					
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:		TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/> VET <input type="checkbox"/> HOME <input type="checkbox"/>				
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM	<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:		RELEASE DATE:	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	FTO <input type="checkbox"/> ITO <input type="checkbox"/>					
PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC			PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE						
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS			QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#					
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	3 <sup>RD</sup> PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO <b>S.Adkins 1961</b>	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS						
CODE/DRO VIOLATED	<b>6.04.110(B)(5)</b>					REVIEWED BY <i>KUAST 7-27</i>		BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>			
CITATIONS/NUMBERS <b>73246 A</b>											
BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#			
<b>husky mix</b>	VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Dysyrian</b>	<b>white/gray</b>	<b>f/s</b>	<b>Adult</b>	<b>L15-250781</b>	<b>good</b>	<b>A522394</b>			
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>										
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>										
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>										
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>										
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>										
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>										
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>										
WITNESS 1	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS			RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #				
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS			RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #				
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS			RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #				
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS			RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #				



W C 8

## INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Activity Number:** A15-174317

**ACI Name & Badge:** S.Adkins 1961

07/25/15 15:39 I, Officer Adkins 1961 arrived at . Hawser St in reference to a dog on a tie out, very skinny, and 30-40 cats living in filth inside the home. The gate was locked but I was able to observe the dog on a tie out and took photos. The dog was on a 15 ft tie out with access to water, food, shade, and shelter. The dog appears healthy, active, and of thin to ideal body weight. As I was about to cut the lock and impound the dog the dog owner's father approached me. Ms VanGundy's father lives a few trailers over and walked over when he saw me and had Ms VanGundy on the phone. I spoke with Ms VanGundy brother who was with her and he stated they were on their way home and would be there shortly if I could wait rather than impounding the dog. When Ms VanGundy arrived I explained the tie out law and she stated she did not know it was illegal and removed the dog from the tie out. The animal waste in the yard looks to be a day or two old and I informed Ms VanGundy that animal waste in county limits needs to be cleaned every 72 hrs. I could smell cat urine outside the trailer and Ms VanGundy stated the smell was from the feral cats in the neighborhood and that she only had 3 cats inside her trailer but would not allow me inside to check the conditions of the home. I was near an open window while taking photos and could not smell the cat urine coming from inside the home. I issued citation 73246 for dog on tie out and Ms VanGundy signed and received a copy with the court date, time, and location.

Officer's Signature:

Date:

7/25/15

WC 9

<b>INVESTIGATION REPORT</b> Pima County Health Department Pima Animal Care Center 4000 N. Silverbell Rd. Tucson, Arizona 85745 Phone: (520) 243-5900 Fax: (520) 243-5960 www.pimaanimalcare.org		SUSPECT <b>Lynnette Suzanne Bennett</b>				ACD NAME / BADGE # <b>T. Foster #2042</b>		ACTIVITY / BITE NUMBER <b>A15-165819</b>	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS <b>El Caminito Pl</b>		CITY <b>Tucson</b>		STATE <b>AZ</b>	ZIP <b>85705</b>	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER			
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS <b>UNK</b>		CITY <b>N/A</b>		STATE <b>N/A</b>	ZIP <b>N/A</b>	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER			
SEX		WEIGHT <b>157</b>	HEIGHT <b>5'9"</b>	EYES <b>BRN</b>	HAIR <b>BRN</b>	ORIGIN	DOB	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER	
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT <b>El Caminito Pl, Tucson AZ, 85705</b>				DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT <b>07/09/15 09:02</b>		DATE AND TIME REPORTED <b>02/13/15 13:21</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case		FOOD <input type="checkbox"/> WATER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/> VENTILATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> TIED/UT <input type="checkbox"/> BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> WASTE <input type="checkbox"/> INJ./ILL <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input type="checkbox"/>		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME <b>Dr. Michael Kaufman DVM</b>		DATE OF BIRTH <b>N/A</b>	RESIDENCE PHONE <b>N/A</b>	BUSINESS PHONE <b>(520) 293-8788</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.		VICTIM'S ADDRESS <b>UNK</b>		CITY <b>N/A</b>		STATE <b>N/A</b>	ZIP <b>N/A</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-296 (B)		VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS <b>5016 N Oracle Rd</b>		CITY <b>Tucson</b>		STATE <b>AZ</b>	ZIP <b>85704</b>		
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER <b>N/A</b>	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <b>150709071</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER: <b>N/A</b>		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER: <b>N/A</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY: <b>N/A</b>		TREATED BY <b>N/A</b>	PHONE NUMBER <b>N/A</b>	DATE QUARANTINED <b>N/A</b>	
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		PART OF BODY BITTEN: <b>N/A</b>		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	PACC <input type="checkbox"/> VET <input type="checkbox"/> HOME <input type="checkbox"/>		
PHONE NUMBER		VET CLINIC <b>N/A</b>		PHONE NUMBER <b>N/A</b>		RELEASE DATE: <b>N/A</b>			
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		CLINIC'S ADDRESS <b>N/A</b>		QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		FRA HEAD# <b>N/A</b>			
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		3 <sup>RD</sup> PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACD <b>2042</b>		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER <b>N/A</b>	
CODE/ORD VIOLATED <b>6.04.110(B)(6); 6.04.110(A)</b>		CITATIONS/NUMBERS <b>#74755 (A-E); #74756 (A-E); #74468 (A-D); #74469 (A-E)</b>		REVIEWED BY <b>2002</b> <b>KENST 7/10</b>		BOND YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>			
BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	
Belgian Sheepdog (Groenendael) VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Suenos		Black Tan	M	Adult	None	Normal	
Belgian Sheepdog (Groenendael) VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Flash		Black	F	Adult	None	Normal	
Belgian Sheepdog (Groenendael) VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Caza		Black	M	Adult	None	Normal	
Belgian Sheepdog (Groenendael) VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Diablisa		Black	F	Adult	None	Normal	
Belgian Sheepdog (Groenendael) VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Tessoro		Black	M	Adult	None	Normal	
Belgian Sheepdog (Groenendael) VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Salida		Black	F	Adult	None	Normal	
Belgian Sheepdog (Groenendael) VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Diosa		Black	F	Adult	None	Normal	
WITNESS 1 Deputy Summerfield #1136 M <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB <b>N/A</b>	ADDRESS <b>1750 E Benson Hwy</b>			RESIDENCE PHONE # <b>N/A</b>	BUSINESS PHONE # <b>520-741-4600</b>		
WITNESS 2 Deputy T.J Bingham #1400 M <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB <b>N/A</b>	ADDRESS <b>1750 E Benson Hwy</b>			RESIDENCE PHONE # <b>N/A</b>	BUSINESS PHONE # <b>520-741-4600</b>		
WITNESS 3 Officer C. Meek #2015 M <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB <b>N/A</b>	ADDRESS <b>4000 N Silverbell Rd</b>			RESIDENCE PHONE # <b>N/A</b>	BUSINESS PHONE # <b>520-724-5900</b>		
WITNESS 4 Officer A. Kirby #2057 M <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB <b>N/A</b>	ADDRESS <b>4000 N Silverbell Rd</b>			RESIDENCE PHONE # <b>N/A</b>	BUSINESS PHONE # <b>520-724-5900</b>		





NC 9

## INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Activity Number:** A15-165819

**ACD Name & Badge:** T. Foster #2042

On 02/13/15 at 13:30 hours Pima Animal Care Center received a complaint of animal neglect from Dr. Michael Kaufman DVM of Desert Hills Pet Clinic. Dr. Kaufman stated that Lynnette Suzanne Bennett brought a young, black, female Belgian Shepherd to his clinic and he diagnosed the dog with a broken left femur (left hind limb) and he recommended that the dog be seen by a specialist veterinarian immediately. Dr. Kaufman stated that Lynette Bennett refused to have the dog treated and claimed that she believed that one of her neighbor's had poisoned her dogs and told him that a different dog on her property had recently died and described the symptoms to him. Dr. Kaufman believed that the symptoms Ms. Bennett described were consistent with the Canine Parvovirus and not poisoning. He also stated that Ms. Bennett told him that she would wait and see if Diablisa survived before seeking additional veterinary care.

02/15/15 15:20 hours Officers Adkins #1961 and Officer Hendrickson #2066 responded to Ms. Bennett's address. Both Officers noticed a strong odor of animal waste as they approached the home. They observed three Shepherd type dogs in the back yard and heard several to many more dogs barking from within the residence. They knocked on the door but never received an answer. They also observed that there were no window coverings present and that the accumulation of filth on the windows had made it impossible to see into them.

04/29/15 Officer Adkins #1961 returned to Ms. Bennett's address and knocked on the front door but did not receive an answer. She again noted that she could hear many dogs barking from within the home.

05/29/15 08:51 hours I, Officer Foster #2042 responded to Ms. Bennett's address and knocked on the front door but did not receive an answer. I observed through a window located on the south facing side of the home and to the left of the front door was uncovered yet was still very difficult to see through due to a layer of dust and or dirt. I was able to see that there was a large stack of boxes inside the room and large curtain-like cobwebs hanging in the window covered in what appeared to be dust and dead insects and/or insect casings. I walked next along the east side of the home and observed a window that was also coated in a layer of dust/dirt/debris that had a somewhat clean area in the center where the dogs contained in the home had rubbed the grime away with their noses. I could see three or four Belgian Shepherd type dogs jumping over each other to bark at me through the windows. As I continued north along the east side of the home I observed a window that was slightly open. I could hear loud music emanating through the window and I called through the open window and stated that I was with Animal Care and Control and that I must meet with her prior to leaving the property. I was met seconds later by Ms. Lynette Bennett. I stated the reason for my visit and requested to see all the dogs on her property. She brought out the dogs two at a time and stated that she only ever allows two at a time out to prevent the dogs from fighting. On Officers Adkins and Hendrickson's first visit they observed three dogs loose in the yard. She also stated that the fourth dog that she brought out for me was the female Belgian Shepherd dog known as Deablisa. That dog was a young, black, female, Belgian Shepherd type dog that moved with a normal gait and appeared to have normal conformation of both rear limbs. Later that same day I spoke with Dr. Kaufman of Desert Hills Pet Clinic and was told that without serious medical intervention that there is very little to no possible way that the dog he saw would heal with normal conformation and use of the limb. I strongly suspect that the dog I was shown is not the dog brought to Dr. Kaufman in February of 2015. The longer I spoke with Ms. Bennett the more concerned I became about the living conditions inside the home. I had also observed a very strong odor of animal urine and/or feces. As we spoke I noticed an excessive amount of feces accumulated in the yard of the property.

**Officer's Signature:** *Continued:*

**Date:**



WC 9

### INVESTIGATION REPORT CONTINUATION

**Activity Number:** A15-165819

**ACD Name & Badge:** T. Foster #2042

After Ms. Bennett produced the eight dogs I requested permission to enter the home and see the conditions that the dogs are kept in. Ms. Bennett refused all access to the home but did allow me to try peek inside the broken window in the door on the east side of the house. I was not able to poke my head in very far due to several loose Belgian Shepherds in that room that were jumping at my face. I was unable to see very much in the room besides it was a kitchen but the odor of animal urine and waste was much stronger when I stuck my face close to the window. I was able to place my camera on the window sill and photograph a portion of the interior. I had very serious concerns for the welfare of Ms. Bennett and her dogs after attempting to see through the window I stated as much to her. I told her that if necessary my agency would attempt to obtain a search warrant to gain entry to the home. Ms. Bennett then told me that the house belongs to her boyfriend John W Seeds, and that he would not allow her to invite anyone into the house. I repeated myself to ensure that she understood and she told me that it might be for the best if Pima Animal Care obtained a search warrant to enter the property. I issued Ms. Bennett citations for not having valid licenses and rabies vaccinations on the eight dogs she presented to me. I then left the area and spoke with Jose Chavez #1914, the Enforcement Director to ask for guidance. He instructed me to return to Ms. Bennett's home and contact Pima County Sheriff's Department and request that a Deputy meet me there and attempt to gain entry into Ms. Bennett's home.

05/29/15 11:31 I was met at Ms. Bennett's home by Deputy Bingham #1400. I explained the situation to him and he also approached the home and met with Ms. Bennett. He requested that she grant permission for him to enter the home and see the conditions that the animals are being housed in. She refused to grant permission to him also and stated that she was fearful that the dogs might behave in an aggressive manner and the Deputy stated that she could put the dogs away. She then told him that the person who owns the house would be very angry if they found out she had allowed me and the Deputy access. Ms. Bennett also told Deputy Bingham that Pima Animal Care would have to obtain a search warrant since that is the only way she would grant access into the home. While Deputy Bingham spoke to Ms. Bennett I observed that there were two Tee-shirt style grocery bags on the porch that contained animal feces that was not present during my first visit that day. It did not appear as though any of the solid waste in the back yard was removed and it is my belief that the bags of animal waste had been removed from the interior of the home in anticipation of a search warrant.

07/08/15 08:23 I, Officer Foster #2042 arrived to the Pima County Justice Court located at 240 N Stone to obtain a search warrant for El Caminito. The warrant was granted to Pima Animal Care Center for the purposes of a welfare check of the animals kept at that address to include the house, outbuildings, and curtilage.

07/09/15 09:02 I, Officer Foster 2042 and Officers Meek 2015 and Kirby 2057 arrived with Pima County Sheriff's Deputy Summerfield #1136 (150709071) arrived at El Caminito to serve a search warrant (SW15-000148-SW) to Lynette S Bennett of the same address. Deputy Summerfield knocked many times and announced our presence and intentions loudly and often through the open windows in the home but did not receive a response. After several minutes Officers Kirby, Meek, and I opened the unlocked side door and removed the first dog and photographed it and placed it on the truck when the owner emerged from her bedroom. We temporarily stopped the impound process and explained the situation to the dog owner, Lynette Bennett. Due to the excitement and the fact that the dogs appeared very nervous and hot inside the home the decision was made to place them all in individual, climate controlled kennels on the PACC trucks before conduction the welfare inspection. We were able to safely remove 9 of 9 Belgian Sheepdogs (Groenendaels) from the residence without issue. All dogs were photographed and scanned for micro chips but I was only able to locate micro chips in three of the dogs. Once the dogs were removed we entered the property and noted the extreme heat in the building even though it was only 09:00-10:00 am. All of the dogs had what appeared to be dried saliva coating their front legs as though they had been hot or overheating recently and as they panted the saliva saturated the coat on their front legs and later dried. The interior of the home was very dirty and had a very strong odor of urine and feces.

**Officer's Signature:** Continued:

**Date:**



NC 9

**INVESTIGATION REPORT CONTINUATION**

**Activity Number:** A15-165819

**ACD Name & Badge:** T. Foster #2042

There was a small amount of solid waste present inside the residence but the majority of the solid waste was outside in the yard. The smell of urine and/or ammonia was quite strong throughout the home and there was boxes stacked in every room except the bedroom but in that room there were boxes piled inside the closet. All rooms had trash and/or dirt, dust, and cobwebs on every surface. Every room had what appeared to be dried urine and/or urine stains on the floors. When we first gained entry to the the residence, Officer Meek noted that there was a black wire dog crate located to the left of the side door (north east side of building) just inside the house that contained a dog known as Diablisa (A521741). Officer Meek noticed that there was some type of material covering the crate and that the tiny pink bowl (the sort used for parrots) located inside the crate was empty. Officer Kirby entered the home with a infrared point and shoot type of hand held thermometer and documented the indoor temperatures. All areas inside the house were several degrees higher (92-105 degrees) than the UofA ground temperature of 88.7 degrees. I documented and photographed the conditions inside the home and then exited the residence and checked and photographed the outbuildings for additional animals. No additional animals were found.

Once I completed photographing the interior and exterior of the home I went inside and asked Ms. Bennett if she was willing to sign over any or all of the dogs to PACC. She stated that she had no problem letting go of the dogs and had planned to sell some but was unwilling to sign any over to PACC. I had no choice but to issue a bond on all 9 dogs. Only one dog was reported as being altered and none of the dogs had a valid license, the total bond amount was \$8,875. I spent a great deal of time (nearly 30 minutes) explaining the bond process and terms to Ms. Bennett and she signed the bond form and two supplemental sheets that detailed the additional animals. She stated that she understood that the ten day period to pay the bond amount included today (07/09/15) and that she only had until 5pm on 07/18/15 to pay the bond amount. She stated that she understood that if she failed to pay the bond amount within the ten day period that she will have forfeited her right to the Order To Show Cause Hearing. Ms. Bennett also stated that she understood that the bond amount is NOT refundable. I then issued her citations for 9 counts of cruelty; 9 counts of neglect of ventilation; and 1 count of neglect of water for Diablisa. During the course of the interview I asked Ms. Bennett if she had any proof of vet care for the dogs she owned and she was able to produce evidence that she obtained 1yr rabies vaccinations for the 9 dogs since my last visit on 05/29/15 when I issued her citations for failing to have current rabies vaccinations and Pima County licenses for the dogs she owns.

Ms. Bennett also stated, when asked, that two puppies named Angel and Annie died last February and did not receive vet care prior to their deaths. I asked her what she did with their remains and she informed me that their bodies were taken to the landfill. Ms. Bennett also stated that she is the Chairperson for the National Belgian Sheepdog Rescue Group. Ms. Bennett stated that she has so many dogs because she needs the genetics to preserve her bloodlines. She was not able to offer proof that she shows or titles these dogs in any capacity that is consistent with good breeding practices. Ms. Bennett also stated that several of these dogs are service animals but was unable to substantiate that claim and PACC has no record of her licensing any service animals. When I presented Ms. Bennett with her citations she acknowledged, signed, and accepted her copies of the citations. I provided her with her court date, time, and location. We then left Ms. Bennett's address and transported the impounded animals to PACC for a veterinary evaluation and treatment as needed.

**Officer's Signature:** J. Foster #2042

**Date:** 7/9/15

WC 10

**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
 Pima Animal Care Center  
 4000 N. Silverbell Rd.  
 Tucson, Arizona 85745  
 Phone: (520) 724-5900  
 Fax: (520) 724-5960  
 www.pimaanimalcare.org



SUSPECT <b>Christina Joann Llamas</b>				ACO NAME / BADGE # <b>A. Kirby #2057</b>		COMPLAINT NUMBER <b>A15-174807</b>	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS <b>Martin Ln.</b>				BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
ZIP <b>85756</b>	CITY <b>Tucson</b>	STATE <b>AZ</b>	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER <b>520-406-3044</b>				
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				CI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER				
SEX	WEIGHT <b>170</b>	HEIGHT <b>5'03"</b>	EYES <b>BRO</b>	HAIR COLOR <b>BK</b>	ORIGIN	DOR	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT <b>Martin Ln., Tucson, AZ 85756</b>		DATE AND TIME REPORTED <b>07/07/15 / 0715 hrs</b>		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED <b>07/07/15 / 0935 hrs</b>	
FOOD <input type="checkbox"/>	WATER <input type="checkbox"/>	SHELTER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	INJURED/ILL <input type="checkbox"/>	VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/>	ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/>	TIEOUT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/>
		WASTE <input type="checkbox"/>	OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input type="checkbox"/>				

<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME <b>PACC Ofc. A. Kirby #2057</b>		D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.		BUSINESS PHONE NO. <b>520-724-5900</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS			ZIP	CITY	STATE	
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-288 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS <b>4000 N. Silverbell Rd.</b>			ZIP <b>85745</b>	CITY <b>Tucson</b>	STATE <b>AZ</b>	

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	
---	---	--	-----------------------	---	--	--	--

<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/>
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM	<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	VET <input type="checkbox"/>
PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		HOME <input type="checkbox"/>
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		FRA HEAD# <input type="checkbox"/>	

3 <sup>RD</sup> PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO <b>A. Kirby #2057</b>	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
--	-------------------------------------	--	----------------------	--------------------------	--

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE  <b>VERBAL</b>	CODE/ORD VIOLATED <b>4-3(2)(E)(2), 4-3(2)(C), 4-81</b>	CITATIONS/NUMBERS <b>74487</b>	REVIEWED BY <b>Konit 7/8</b>	BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	---	-----------------------------------	---------------------------------	---

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Shepherd Mix VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Girlfriend	Blk/Tan	F	A		Cited	Current	N	A526578
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									

WITNESS 1	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

WC 10



## INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-174807

ACO name & Badge: A. Kirby #2057

---

On 07/07/15 at approximately 0935 hours I Officer Kirby #2057 arrived at . Martin Ln. I knocked on the door and was met by Christina Joann Llamas. I asked Ms. Llamas if she had a Shepherd mix in the back yard and she advised she did; I asked if the dog was tied up and she stated that it was.

I then asked if I could see the dog and she allowed me access to the back yard where Girlfriend, a female Shepherd mix was tied to an awning in the back yard using a short piece of chain. Girlfriend did not have access to the dog house that was provided for shelter due to the short length of the tie out. There was a white bucket of water provided however it was in direct sunlight. I advised Ms. Llamas that tie outs are illegal and Girlfriend could not be tied up, she immediately removed the dog from the tie out and placed her inside the home. She advised they had her on a longer tie out however someone had removed that tie out chain to pull a stuck vehicle out and all she had was the shorter one. She stated the dog sleeps inside at night and they tied her up out there during the day, The dog had shade from the awning but the shade was minimal and most likely would not be available at the day progressed. I asked about Licensing and Rabies vaccinations and she advised the dog was given to them a little under a year ago and she has not gotten a license yet but the rabies was current.

I issued Ms. Llamas a citation for Neglect - Tie Out, Neglect - No Shelter, and No License. Ms. Llamas was explained her citation, court date, time, and location, stated she understood and signed the citation. I also provided Ms. Llamas with a laws brochure and advised her that the water bucket should be places in a shaded area so the water does not get to hot for the dog to drink.

Officer's Signature:

Date:

07/07/15



**PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT**  
**PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER**  
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD • TUCSON, AZ 85745  
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960  
www.pimaanimalcare.org

## MEMORANDUM

---

**TO:** Marcy Flanagan, Deputy Director Health Department  
**FROM:** Neil Konst, Animal Care Field Supervisor NK  
**DATE:** 08/02/15  
**RE:** Dangerous Dog Cases for July 2015

---

### **Pima:**

1. A15-174908 Davis; dogs named Rocky and Teddy were declared not dangerous by Investigator Klein.
2. A15-173171 Mixer; a dog named Sarge was declared dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger. a dog named Sammy was declared not dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger. The declaration of dangerous on Sarge was contested. The declaration of dangerous on Sarge was upheld by the hearing officer. Investigator Eckelbarger is monitoring compliance.
3. A15-169477 Dougherty; a dog named Tramp was declared dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger Owner is compliant.
4. A15-174540 Tovar; dogs named Rascal and Max was declared dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger who is monitoring compliance
5. A15-167398 Crawford; a dog named Kota was declared not dangerous by Investigator Klein.

### **Tucson:**

6. A15-171834 Loebig; dogs named Cabo and Truman was declared not dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger.
7. A15-173229 Haverhals; a dog named Max was declared not dangerous by Investigator Klein

### **Marana:**

8. A15-159298 Spinks; a dog named Lily was declared not dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger.



#1

PIMA

**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
 Pima Animal Care Center  
 4000 N. Silverbell Rd  
 Tucson, Arizona 85745  
 Phone: (520) 724-5900  
 Fax: (520) 724-5960  
 www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT <b>Joshua Scott Davis</b>				ACO NAME / BADGE # <b>C. Meek 2015</b>		COMPLAINT NUMBER <b>A15-174908</b>	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS				BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
ZIP	CITY	STATE	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER				
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				CODE IF OTHER:			
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES  NO

LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED <b>07/12/15 / 0724</b>	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED <b>07/12/15 /</b>
FOOD <input type="checkbox"/> WATER <input type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/> INJURED/ILL <input type="checkbox"/> VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> TIEOUT <input type="checkbox"/> BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> WASTE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> leash		

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME	DOB	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
-------------------------	-----	---------------------	--------------------

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE
------------------	-----	------	-------

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE
---------------------------	-----	------	-------

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <b>Hernandez 7388</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER: <b>150712072</b>	FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:
---	--	-----------------------	---	--

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	VET <input type="checkbox"/>
VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		HOME <input type="checkbox"/>
					FTQ <input type="checkbox"/>
					UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#
------------------	--	------------------------------------

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO <b>1942</b>	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
--	---------------------------	--	----------------------	--------------------------

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED <b>6.04.030, 6.04.120(B)(2), 6.04.030</b>	REVIEWED BY <b>2002 Konst 7/13</b>
CITATIONS/NUMBERS <b>74619</b>	BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Rott VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Rocky	Blk/Tan	M	5Y	U15-087012		ok	A527105
Rott VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Teddy	Blk/Tan	M	3Y			ok	A527106
Boxer VICTIM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>	Bandit	Tan/Wht	M	1Y			ok	
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>								
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>								
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>								
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>								

WITNESS 1	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

#1



# INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Activity Number: A15-174908**

**ACO name & Badge: C. Meek 2015, M. Eckelbarger 1942**

---

On 07/12/15 at 0724 hours Pima Animal Care Center received a call regarding a leash law dog on dog attack complaint. The complainant advised the dispatcher that the dogs that were in the yard were the same dogs that killed his dog the previous year.

On 07/12/15 at 0819 hours I Officer Meek badge number 2015 responded in reference to leash law dog on dog attack complaint and to meet with the victim dog owners.

I arrived at the address and was able to meet with the victim dog owners

I was advised that at approximately 0650 hours they witnessed their neighbor's dogs coming through their fence and attacking their dog. I was advised the dogs reside at and the dogs were described two Rotties. advised me the dog named Teddy (the larger of the two) was the dog that attacked his dog. I was also advised that these were the same dogs that they had a problem with the previous year when their dog was killed.

advised me that he was able to confine one of the dogs to a kennel on his property and showed me to the dog. I was able to impound the dog, named Rocky (smaller of the two) without incident. I asked to show me where the dogs came through the fencing today. walked me down the fence and showed me a spot in the field fencing where two panels joined together. I observed the fence to be pushed in on the side and a large gap where the fence broke.

advised during this time she would like citations issued to the dog owner. I photographed the fence. I then asked to see the injuries that the dog sustained. I was presented with a Boxer named Buddy. I observed buddy to have punctures on his lip which were photographed. During that time Pima County Sheriffs Office arrived on scene to assist, I met with Deputy Hernandez badge number 7388 who also provided the case number of 150712072. I advised Deputy Hernandez of the continuing problem and that I would be issuing citations to the dog owner as well as impounding the dogs for a dangerous dog evaluation.

I made my way to in an effort to meet with the attacking dog owner. I knocked at the door and was able to meet with a I advised of the complaint and that I impounded one dog and that I would need to impound the other dog and issue citations. advised me that she understood but the dogs belong to her brother, Josh and he was not home. I advised that since she was home and in care nad custody of the dogs it was her responsibility to keep them confined to her yard. stated she understood and provided me with her Arizona driver's license. I issued the appropriate citations and advised her that with the citations she would need to

appear in court and provided her with the date. stated she understood her need to appear and signed her copy of the citations. then unlocked her gate and I was able to impound the remaining dog named Teddy. During this time was on the telephone with her brother Josh advising him of the situation. advised me Josh would be in to Pima Animal Care Center to redeem the dogs and speak with an investigator about the dangerous dog evaluation process.

On 7/12/15 at 1430 hours Investigator Eckelbarger badge number 1942 stated he met with dog owner, Joshua Davis at Pima Animal Care Center. advised Investigator Eckelbarger that he would like to keep both of his dogs, Rocky and Teddy. Investigator Eckelbarger then issued him citations for biting animal-dog and leash law on Teddy and for leash law on Rocky under County jurisdiction.

signed and received his copies of the citations. Investigator Eckelbarger advised him he could redeem Rocky but would have to keep the dog indoors until he secures his confinement. I advised him Teddy would be held at Pima Animal Care Center pending a dangerous dog evaluation.

Officer's Signature:

A handwritten signature consisting of several overlapping, horizontal, slightly curved lines, resembling a stylized 'S' or a series of parallel strokes.

Date: 07/12/15



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT  
 PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER  
 4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745  
 (520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960  
 www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A15-175344  
 OWNER: JOSHUA DAVIS  
 ANIMAL NAME: TEDDY A527106

ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_  
 SEX: M BREED: BOTT  
 COLOR: BLK TAN DATE: 7.17.15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**

**REPORTED BITES:**

NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3 /  
 VIOLATION-BITE + 6 /

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:**

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)  
 NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1 /  
 BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2 /  
 MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3 /  
 MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4 /  
 BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM + 4 /  
 MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5 /

**Animal Complaints or Violations:**

LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2 +2  
 LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1 +2  
 ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
 ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3 +3  
 OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1 +1

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:**

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
 INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2 +2  
 VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3 \_\_\_\_\_  
 EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4 \_\_\_\_\_  
 INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5 \_\_\_\_\_

**Confinement / Fencing:**

THE BACKYARD IS CONFINED BY 5 FOOT TALL FIELD FENCING. A SECOND FENCE LINE HAS BEEN ADDED TO PREVENT ANY CONTACT WITH NEIGHBORS.

**General Comments:**

TEDDY IS NOT DEEMED DANGEROUS AT THIS TIME.

EKLIN  
EKLIN

OFFICER # A210

TOTAL SCORE: +7

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

X DANGEROUS  
X NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

#2  
PIMA

**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
Pima Animal Care Center  
4000 N. Silverbell Rd  
Tucson, Arizona 85745  
Phone: (520) 243-5900  
Fax: (520) 243-5960  
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT  
**Joshua Daniel Mixer**  
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS  
ZIP CITY STATE RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER  
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS  
ZIP CITY STATE BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER  
SEX WEIGHT HEIGHT EYES HAIR COLOR ORIGIN DOB SSN  
ACO NAME / BADGE # **Downing#1923** COMPLAINT NUMBER **A15-173171**  
BITE  WELFARE  DANGEROUS  OTHER   
CODE IF OTHER :  
CI  CO  OTHER   
DRIVERS LICENSE

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES  NO

LOCATION OF INCIDENT DATE AND TIME REPORTED DATE AND TIME OCCURRED  
FOOD  WATER  SHELTER  INJURED/ILL  VENTILATION  ABANDONED  TIEOUT  BEATEN  WASTE  OTHER (EXPLAIN)

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case  
 I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME DOB RESIDENCE PHONE NO. BUSINESS PHONE NO.  
VICTIM'S ADDRESS ZIP CITY STATE  
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS ZIP CITY STATE

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-1405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)  
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE IF APPLICABLE

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES  NO   
RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES  NO   
DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER  
OTHER AGENCY CASE #  
 SO  TPD  
 TFD  OTHER:  
FOLLOW UP REQUEST  
 SO  TPD  
 OTHER:

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

VIOLATION BITE SEVERITY: 1  
 NON-VIOLATION PART OF BODY BITTEN  
TREATED BY PHONE NUMBER DATE QUARANTINED 6-8-2015 PACC   
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM VET CLINIC PHONE NUMBER OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES  NO  FTQ   
HOME   
RELEASE DATE: 6-18-15  
UTQ

PHONE NUMBER

AWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS CLINIC'S ADDRESS QUARANTINE 10  15  45  180  FRA HEAD#  
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES  NO  CITING ACO Downing#1923 PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES  NO  PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

AWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE CODE/DRD VIOLATED 6.04.030, 11-1010 (A) ARS, 6.04.120 (B) (2) 6.04.070  
CITATIONS/NUMBERS 74586 A,B,C,D,E 74587 A,B,C,D  
REVIEWED BY 6-11-15 OTR 1911  
BOND YES  NO

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Vict Bull Mix VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sarge	Brn.	M	A	Cited	Cited	OK	A522956
Lab/Retriever Mix VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sammy	White	F	A	Cited	Cited	Ok	A277551
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>								
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>								
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>								
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>								

WITNESS 1 M  F  DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #  
WITNESS 2 M  F  DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #  
WITNESS 3 M  F  DOB ADDRESS  
WITNESS 4 M  F  DOB ADDRESS  
CASE# **A15-173298**  
ID **A-1**

# 2



# INVESTIGATION REPORT

CASE# A15-173298

ID A-2

Activity Number: A15-173171

ANIMAL CONTROL

ACO name & Badge: Downing #1923

On June 9, 2015 at 0707, I, Officer Downing #1923, Met with \_\_\_\_\_ at his residence at \_\_\_\_\_ stated that at about 0515am this morning he was out walking his two dogs on leash. When he got to the location of \_\_\_\_\_, two dogs charged out of the driveway there. One was described as a Pit Bull mix, brown in color, the other as a Lab mix all white with a dark patch on the left eye. The Pit Bull mix grabbed one of \_\_\_\_\_ dogs named Gertie, by the neck and began shaking it. He began kicking at the dog to have it let go. The white dog did not have contact, but \_\_\_\_\_ had to keep it away while trying to get the Pit Bull mix off. \_\_\_\_\_ was finally able to have the dog let go of Gertie long enough for him to get away. Eventually the dogs ran away. A passerby named \_\_\_\_\_ saw the aftermath of the attack and saw \_\_\_\_\_ covered in blood and helped him. After getting home \_\_\_\_\_ took Gertie to Pima Pet Clinic for medical treatment. Joe was treated for his injuries at St. Joseph Hospital. I took photos of \_\_\_\_\_ injuries. He had injuries to his right hand where he was bitten. Also injuries to his right knee and left hand as he had fallen during this incident. \_\_\_\_\_ is not sure if he was bitten by the Pit Bull mix or the Lab mix or possibly his dog. I checked \_\_\_\_\_ dog for a current rabies vaccination and license and confirmed that it was current. Joe believed the two dogs live at \_\_\_\_\_ as he has seen these dogs loose before.

I went to the address of \_\_\_\_\_, where the two attacking dogs possibly live and spoke with a Joshua Mixer. I explained the complaint. He showed me his two dogs in his house. I had the victim come over and Joe positively identified them as the two dogs. I issued citations to Joshua Mixer on behalf of \_\_\_\_\_ and impounded both dogs for quarantine at Pima Animal Care Center for the 10-days. I also took photos of the two dogs for the file. Also photos of the location of the attack and his clothing with the blood on them. I also noted that the white dog has what appeared to be a red stain on its front chest area.

Officer's Signature: [Signature] #1923

Date: 6-11-15

#2



ANIMAL CONTROL

CASE# A15-173298

ID J

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT  
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER  
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745  
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960  
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A15-173298

OWNER: Joshua Mixer

ANIMAL NAME: Sarge

ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_

SEX: M BREED: Pitbull mix

COLOR: Brown DATE: 6-21-15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**

**REPORTED BITES:**

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	_____
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	<u>+6</u>

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:**

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	_____
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	<u>+2</u>
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	_____
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	_____
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	_____
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	_____

**Animal Complaints or Violations:**

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2	<u>+2</u>
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	_____
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2	_____
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3	<u>+3</u>
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1	_____

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:**

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	_____
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2	_____
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	_____
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4	<u>+4</u>
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5	_____

**CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)**

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	_____
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+ 5	<u>+5</u>

**OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:**

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	<u>-3</u>
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	<u>-1</u>
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	_____
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	_____
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # _____	- 1	_____
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+ 1	<u>+1</u>
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	<u>+1</u>

**NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):**

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	_____
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	<u>-3</u>
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	<u>+1</u>
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	_____
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	_____

**DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)**

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+ 2	_____
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	<u>-2</u>
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	_____

**Confinement / Fencing:**

The owner has fencing appx 5-5 feet tall with three gates leading into the backyard.

**General Comments:**

The dog Sarge scored a +16 and is therefore declared dangerous at this time.

OFFICER # 1942 Edelbacher

TOTAL SCORE: +16

**A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL**

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC **IN PERSON**.

B DANGEROUS  
\_\_\_\_\_ NOT DANGEROUS

#2



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT  
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER  
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745  
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960  
[www.pima.gov/animalcare](http://www.pima.gov/animalcare)

CASE NO: A15-173298  
OWNER: Joshua Mixes  
ANIMAL NAME: Sammy

ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_  
SEX: F BREED: Lab mix  
COLOR: White DATE: 6-21-15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**

**REPORTED BITES:**

NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3  
VIOLATION-BITE + 6 +6

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:**

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)  
NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1  
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2 +2  
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3  
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4  
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM + 4  
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5

**Animal Complaints or Violations:**

LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2 +2  
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1  
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2  
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3  
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:**

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1  
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2  
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3  
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4  
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5

**Confinement / Fencing:**

The owner has fencing appx 5-5 feet tall with three gates leading into the backyard

**General Comments:**

The dog "Sammy" scored a +9 and is therefore declared not dangerous at this time.

**ANIMAL CONTROL**

CASE# A15-173298

ID M

OFFICER # 1942 Edelberger

TOTAL SCORE: +9

**A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL**

DANGEROUS  
 NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

#3 PIMA

**INVESTIGATION REPORT**  
 Pima County Health Department  
 Pima Animal Care Center  
 4000 N. Silverbell Rd  
 Tucson, Arizona 85745  
 Phone: (520) 243-5900  
 Fax: (520) 243-5960  
 www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT <b>Diana P Dougherty</b>		ACO NAME / BADGE # <b>D. Hinte 2068</b> <b>N. Konst 2002</b>		COMPLAINT NUMBER <b>15-169477</b>	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>		CODE IF OTHER :	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI <input type="checkbox"/> CO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>		DRIVERS LICENSE	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN
DOB		SSN			
LOCATION OF INCIDENT		DATE AND TIME REPORTED <b>04/09/15 / 0800</b>		DATE AND TIME COLLARED <b>04/09/15 / 0700</b>	
FOOD		WATER		SHELTER	
INJURED/ILL		VENTILATION		ABANDONED	
TIEOUT		BEATEN		WASTE	
OTHER (EXPLAIN)					
VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME		D.O.B		RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	
VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP		CITY	
STATE		STATE		STATE	
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		ZIP		CITY	
STATE		STATE		STATE	
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE IF APPLICABLE		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	
		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	
				FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	
ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY: <b>3</b>	
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		PART OF BODY BITTEN: <b>HIP</b>	
PHONE NUMBER		VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		CLINIC'S ADDRESS		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	
				FTQ <input type="checkbox"/> UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>	
		3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACO <b>N. Konst 2002</b>	
		QUARANTINE 10 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#	
		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	
		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS <b>A12-112662;</b> <b>A09-013793</b>		REVIEWED BY <b>2002</b> <b>Konst</b> <b>4/29</b>	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		CODE/ORD VIOLATED <b>6.04.030; 6.04.120(B)(2)</b>		BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
		CITATIONS/NUMBERS <b>74266 A-B</b>			
BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR	
SEX		AGE		LICENSE #	
VX CERTIFICATE #		COND		ANIMAL ID#	
<b>errier mix</b>		<b>Tramp</b>		<b>Tan/white</b>	
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>		M		10y	
OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		CURRENT		CURRENT	
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>		OK		A063062	
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>					
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>					
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>					
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>					
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>					
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>					
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>					
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>					
FITNESS 1 <b>ancy Austin</b>		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		DOB	
ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE # <b>541-410-6051</b>		BUSINESS PHONE #	
FITNESS 2 <b>ddy Johnston</b>		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		DOB	
ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE # <b>520-419-2679</b>		BUSINESS PHONE #	
FITNESS 3		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB	
ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #		BUSINESS PHONE #	
FITNESS 4		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB	
ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #		BUSINESS PHONE #	

#3



## INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Activity Number: A15-169477**

**ACO name & Badge: D. Hinte 2068**

---

**On April 9, 2015 at 8:00 AM, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch received a fax concerning a dog bite.**

**On April 13, 2015 at 11:17 AM, Officer Haynes 2032 arrived at [redacted] and met with bite victim [redacted]. She said that on 04/09/15 at approximately 7:00 AM, she and her friend [redacted] were out on their walk when they saw a woman coming towards them walking her dog; a medium, stocky, blondish/brown with a big head, on a long lead. [redacted] said that she paid no attention to the dog and as they passed each other the dog lunged at her and bit her on the right hip three separate times, shaking her violently each time it latched on. She said that the dog owner tried to pull the dog off but just couldn't handle the dog. She said that she was finally able to get away and continued on her walk. It was when she got home and spoke to her husband that he insisted that she get medical attention. She sustained multiple punctures and lacerations where the dog clamped onto her hip. She took photos the day of the bite. She said that she did not initially get the dog owner's information but that the dog owner contacted her, as they both live in the same homeowner association. [redacted] provided her with the dog owner's information, but did not have any additional information about the dog. Officer Haynes explained that an officer would contact the dog owner and put the dog under the mandatory quarantine. [redacted] did not request citations at the time.**

**On April 16, 2015 at 10:15 AM, Officer Ecklebarger 1942 met with dog owner, Diane Dougherty, at her home. He verified that biting dog Tramp's rabies vaccination was current, and approved a home quarantine.**

#3

On June 5, 2015 at 1:15 PM, I, Officer Hinte 2068, met with bite victim PACC. She explained that she has since learned of previous incidents with the dog and fears for the safety of the neighborhood. She is now requesting leash law and biting animal citations for the incident. She also requests that a dangerous dog evaluation be conducted and restitution be ordered. She provided photographs of her wounds and ripped clothing. She also provided the name and phone numbers of two witnesses who were with her at the time of the incident.

On June 26, 2015, Supervisor Konst 2002 met with Diana Dougherty and her husband at Pima Animal Care Center. He explained that the victim was now requesting third party citations be issued for the incident. Citation #74266 for leash law and biting animal was issued to Mrs. Dougherty. She stated she understood the citation and court date in Pima County Justice in Green Valley.

Officer's Signature:



Date:

6/29/15

#3



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT  
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER  
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745  
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960  
[www.pima.gov/animalcare](http://www.pima.gov/animalcare)

CASE NO: A15-174603  
OWNER: Diana Dougherty  
ANIMAL NAME: Tramp

ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_  
SEX: M. BREED: Terrier mix  
COLOR: tan/white DATE: 7-7-15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**

**REPORTED BITES:**

NON-VIOLATION BITE +3 +3 +3  
VIOLATION-BITE +6 +6

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:**

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)  
NO BREAK IN SKIN +1 \_\_\_\_\_  
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING +2 \_\_\_\_\_  
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) +3 +3 +3  
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT +4 \_\_\_\_\_  
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM +4 +4  
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) +5 \_\_\_\_\_

**Animal Complaints or Violations:**

LEASH LAW CITATIONS +2 +2  
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS +1 \_\_\_\_\_  
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS +2 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS +3 \_\_\_\_\_  
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS +1 \_\_\_\_\_

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:**

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY +1 \_\_\_\_\_  
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER +2 \_\_\_\_\_  
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) +3 \_\_\_\_\_  
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) +4 \_\_\_\_\_  
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH +5 \_\_\_\_\_

**Confinement / Fencing:**

The owner has a backyard block wall approximately 6 feet high with one gate leading into the yard.

**General Comments:**

The dog "Tramp" scored a +21 and is therefore declared dangerous at this time.

**CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)**

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)  
SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES -5 \_\_\_\_\_  
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES / Lost control of leash +5 +5

**OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:**

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT -3 -3  
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED -1 -1  
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION +1 +1  
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT +5 \_\_\_\_\_  
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 15-015634 -1 -1  
NO CURRENT LICENSE +1 \_\_\_\_\_  
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION +1 \_\_\_\_\_

**NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):**

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)  
ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE -3 -3  
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE -3 -3  
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR +1 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR +2 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE +2 \_\_\_\_\_

**DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)**

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY +2 +2  
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE -2 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR +1 \_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL SCORE: +21

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL 1

0 DANGEROUS  
\_\_\_\_ NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

OFFICER # 1942 Eckelbarger

#4 PIMA

<b>INVESTIGATION REPORT</b>		<b>SUSPECT</b> <b>Josephine Rodriguez Tovar</b>				<b>ACC NAME / BADGE #</b> <b>T. Foster #2042</b>		<b>ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER</b> <b>A15-174540</b>		
<b>Pima County Health Department</b>		<b>SUSPECT'S ADDRESS</b>				<b>BITE</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>WELFARE</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>DANGEROUS</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		<b>OTHER</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>Pima Animal Care Center</b>		<b>CITY</b>		<b>STATE</b>	<b>ZIP</b>	<b>RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER</b>				
<b>4000 N. Silverbell Rd.</b>		<b>SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS</b>				<b>CI</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>CO</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>OTHER</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		<b>DRIVERS LICENSE</b>		
<b>Tucson, Arizona - 85745</b>		<b>CITY</b>		<b>STATE</b>	<b>ZIP</b>	<b>BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER</b>		<b>DOB</b> <b>01/22/77</b>		
<b>Phone: (520) 243-5900</b>		<b>SEX</b>	<b>WEIGHT</b>	<b>HEIGHT</b>	<b>EYES</b>	<b>HAIR</b>	<b>ORIGIN</b>	<b>SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER</b>		
<b>Fax: (520) 243-5960</b>		<b>LOCATION OF INCIDENT</b>		<b>DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT</b> <b>07/02/15 20:30</b>			<b>DATE AND TIME REPORTED</b> <b>07/03/15 09:03</b>			
<b>www.pimaanimalcare.org</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> <b>DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS?</b> <b>YES</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>NO</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> <b>FOOD</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>WATER</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>SHELTER</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>VENTILATION</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>ABANDONED</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>TIED OUT</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case</b>		<b>VICTIM/CRIMINAL ANTANT NAME</b>			<b>DATE OF BIRTH</b>	<b>RESIDENCE PHONE</b>		<b>BUSINESS PHONE</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.</b>		<b>VICTIM'S ADDRESS</b>			<b>CITY</b>	<b>STATE</b>	<b>ZIP</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)</b>		<b>VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS</b>			<b>CITY</b>	<b>STATE</b>	<b>ZIP</b>			
<b>NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)</b>		<b>DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED</b> <b>YES</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>NO</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>RESTITUTION REQUESTED</b> <b>YES</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>NO</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER</b>	<b>OTHER AGENCY CASE # 150702329</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>SHERIFF DEPT</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>TUCSON POLICE</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>FIRE</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>OTHER:</b>			<b>FOLLOW UP REQUEST</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>SO</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>TPD</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>OTHER:</b> <b>N/A</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM</b>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>VIOLATION</b>	<b>BITE SEVERITY:</b> <b>N/A</b>		<b>TREATED BY</b>	<b>PHONE NUMBER</b>	<b>DATE QUARANTINED</b> <b>N/A</b>	<b>PACC</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>YET</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
		<input type="checkbox"/> <b>NON-VIOLATION</b>	<b>PART OF BODY BITTEN:</b> <b>N/A</b>		<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>RELEASE DATE:</b> <b>N/A</b>	<b>HOME</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM</b>		<b>VET CLINIC</b> <b>N/A</b>			<b>PHONE NUMBER</b> <b>N/A</b>	<b>OWNER KNOWS OF BITE</b> <b>YES</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>NO</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		<b>FTQ</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>LTQ</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>PHONE NUMBER</b>										
<b>LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS</b>		<b>CLINIC'S ADDRESS</b> <b>N/A</b>			<b>QUARANTINE (DAYS)</b> <b>10</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>15</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>45</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>180</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		<b>FRA HEAD#</b> <b>N/A</b>			
		<b>3<sup>RD</sup> PARTY CITATIONS</b> <b>YES</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>NO</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		<b>CITING ACC</b>	<b>PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS</b> <b>YES</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>NO</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<b>PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER</b> <b>See Attached</b>	<b>OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS</b> <b>See Attached</b>		
<b>VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE</b>		<b>CODE/ORD VIOLATED</b> <b>6.04.030; 6.04.120 (B)(2)</b>					<b>REVIEWED BY 2002</b> <b>KMST 7/15</b>			
		<b>CITATIONS/NUMBERS</b> <b>73245, 73244</b>					<b>BOND</b> <b>YES</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>NO</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
<b>BREED/DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>ANIMAL'S NAME</b>		<b>COLOR</b>	<b>SEX</b>	<b>AGE</b>	<b>LICENSE #</b>	<b>CONDITION</b>	<b>ANIMAL ID#</b>	
<b>Labrador Retriever mix</b>	<b>VICTIM OWNER</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Rascal</b>		<b>Tan/Sable/white</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>Adult</b>	<b>None</b>	<b>Aggressive</b>	<b>A526039</b>	
<b>Pit Bull Mix</b>	<b>VICTIM OWNER</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Max</b>		<b>Brown Brindle/White</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>4y</b>	<b>None</b>	<b>Normal</b>	<b>A326936</b>	
<b>Poodle Mix</b>	<b>VICTIM OWNER</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Tommy</b>		<b>White</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>6y</b>	<b>L14-152421</b>	<b>Injured</b>	<b>A336530</b>	
<b>Dachshund</b>	<b>VICTIM OWNER</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Sissy</b>		<b>Tricolor</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>8y</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>Dead</b>	<b>A526828</b>	
	<b>VICTIM OWNER</b> <input type="checkbox"/>									
	<b>VICTIM OWNER</b> <input type="checkbox"/>									
	<b>VICTIM OWNER</b> <input type="checkbox"/>									
	<b>VICTIM OWNER</b> <input type="checkbox"/>									
<b>WITNESS 1</b> <b>Deputy Goss #7971</b>	<b>M</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>F</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>DOB</b> <b>N/A</b>	<b>RESIDENCE PHONE #</b> <b>N/A</b>		<b>BUSINESS PHONE #</b> <b>520</b>					
<b>WITNESS 2</b> <b>T. Haynes #2032</b>	<b>M</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>F</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>DOB</b> <b>N/A</b>	<b>RESIDENCE PHONE #</b> <b>N/A</b>		<b>BUSINESS PHONE #</b> <b>521</b>					
<b>WITNESS 3</b>	<b>M</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>F</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>DOB</b> <b>N/A</b>	<b>RESIDENCE PHONE #</b>		<b>BUSINESS PHONE #</b>					
	<b>M</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>F</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>DOB</b> <b>N/A</b>	<b>RESIDENCE PHONE #</b>		<b>BUSINESS PHONE #</b>					



5#4

## INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-174540

ACO Name & Badge: T. Foster #2042

---

07/02/15 20:18 hours Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) midnight Officer received a call from the owner of a rental property located at [redacted]. He stated that the dogs residing at that address (belonging to his tenant) had escaped their yard and attacked and possibly killed a small dog being walked in the 3100 block of [redacted]. Officer T. Haynes #2032 created a call under activity number A15-174522 and responded to that location at 21:31 hours and photographed the area of the attack but was not able to proceed with the investigation because the victim dog owner was unknown at that time. She chose to return the dogs to the owner's yard and to barricade the gate closed to keep them confined. She posted a notice informing the dog owner of the attack and her actions and closed the call.

07/03/15 06:30 hours a Pima Animal Care dispatch operator received a call from the resident at [redacted] stating that the aggressive dogs located at [redacted] had escaped their yard again and that she was able to lure the nicer of the two into a bathroom in her home with a piece of bacon. She stated that the really aggressive dog was still at large. She also informed the operator that these two dogs escaped their yard last night and attacked and possibly killed a dog. The dispatch operator created a call under activity number A15-174531.

07/03/15 07:26 hours I, Officer Foster #2042 arrived at [redacted] and was met in the street by the caller. She stated that the two dogs are always escaping their yard and acting aggressive to people and animals. She told me that there are usually three dogs at that location but that she thought the owner was out of town and had the third dog with her. She also told me that she has called several times to complain about these dogs being in violation of the Pima County leash law and behaving aggressive to people and animals while at large. She told me that she was able to lure one dog into her bathroom with meat and that she was later able to lure the aggressive dog into her backyard with bacon also. I followed her into the backyard and observed a tan, sable, and white Labrador type mix. The dog was aggressive toward me and I had no choice but to capture the dog using a snare pole for safety. I was able to impound him with out issues and placed him in a kennel on the truck. I then followed her into her home and removed a scared but friendly brindle and white Pit Bull type mix from a bathroom in her home. I was able to use a leash and walked him out to the PACC truck and placed him in a kennel. I then thanked the woman and left the area. I was not able to locate any new calls regarding a dog on dog attack that took place in that area so I closed call number A15-174531.

07/03/15 08:57 hours a PACC dispatch operator received a call from [redacted] stating that at approximately 21:00 hours on 07/02/15 he was walking his dogs when a stray dog he believed to be brown and a stray dog he believed to be black ran out of the [redacted] cul-de-sac and attacked his 8yr old female Dachshund known as Sissy. [redacted] stated that five bystanders helped him to separate the dogs. He also stated that as he was leaving for the vets, his injured Dachshund Sissy bit him on his hand. [redacted] advised the operator that Sissy had to be euthanized due to her injuries. The PACC dispatch Operator created a call under activity number A15-174540

continued



#4

# INVESTIGATION REPORT CONTINUATION

Activity Number: A15-174540

ACO Name & Badge: T. Foster #2042

07/03/15 09:16 I, Officer Foster #2042 arrived and was met in the street by the caller [redacted]. I stated my name and the reason for my visit and asked him to tell me what happened. [redacted] stated that at approx 20:30 hours he and his wife were walking their two dogs on leash, west bound (on south side walk) on [redacted]. He told me that as they got in front of [redacted] two large dogs charged them and the large brown dog attacked their Dachshund "Sissy" (a 8yr female tricolor). Sissy was very badly injured and was bleeding all over the sidewalk. [redacted] stated that they managed to separate the dog from Sissy but he then attacked their Poodle name "Tommy" (white, miniature, male) [redacted] attempted to hit and kick the dog to make it stop but was not successful so she attempted to lift the dog to safety by his harness. Tommy was able to slip out of the harness when he was lifted and then ran away and was later found on the front porch of his owner's home. Mr and Mrs. [redacted] took Sissy to Veterinary Specialty Center of Tucson (VSCT) but due to the extent of her injuries she was humanely euthanized to end her suffering. Mr. and Mrs. [redacted] believed that Tommy was unhurt but today (7/3/15) he screams when his top-line is touched and has a few punctures. Mr. Morrish stated that he and his wife were getting ready to take him to VSCT for an examination that morning. Mr. and Mrs. [redacted] are requesting third party citations and I, Officer Foster #2042 am requesting a Dangerous Dog assessment be performed. Mr. [redacted] was able to Id the brindle dog on my truck (impounded under A15-174531) as one of the dogs involved but was unsure about the tan and sable dog. Mr. [redacted] told me that several people witnessed the attack and that there is a large pool of blood on the sidewalk where the attack took place. I thanked the couple and relocated to the area where they stated that the attack took place.

I located the substance(s) that appeared to be dried blood and animal feces in front of [redacted]. I could see signs that a struggle took place and photographed everything that appeared related to the dog attack. I then went to [redacted] knocked on the front door but did not receive an answer. I walked to the side yard and was able to see that there were signs that dogs have escaped the yard and that someone has made some efforts to secure the fencing. The neighbor at [redacted] that the gate is not secure and that she has tried to talk to the dog owners but they flip her off every time she tries to talk to them. I was able to confirm that the gate was not secure and could not be made to be secure without repairing the way the latch is situated. I photographed the gate and yard. I then posted a notice of impound on the dog attacking dog owner's front door and observed that Officer T. Haynes #2032 had posted a notice advising the owner that their dogs attacked and possibly killed another dog and that she returned the dogs to the yard and attempted to confine them by putting a trash can in front of the gate. As I was leaving the area the resident of [redacted] exited his home and I stopped to talk to him. The man stated that his name is [redacted] (Dr) and told me that he saw the dogs involved in the attack but that the fight was over by the time he got outside. He also told me that he has seen these dogs loose before. I asked him if he could Id the dogs and he stated that he could. I showed the two dogs to him and he told me that the brindle dog was there but it was his belief that the tan and sable dog was the aggressor. His next door neighbor, [redacted] (Dr) came outside and told me that he witnessed the entire incident and that he could Id the dogs also. I showed him both dogs and he stated that the tan and sable dog was the one who attacked Sissy and that the brindled colored dog was there but did not attack the other dogs. [redacted] also stated that he has seen the dogs out. He stated that he knew where they lived because the person who owns the property where the dogs live happened to be on scene when the attack took place and stated as much. I thanked the two men for their time and left the area.

On 07/14/15 Supervisor Konst met with Josephine Tovar at Pima County Animal Care Center. She arrived at PACC to redeem the dogs Max and Rascal. Supervisor Konst explained to her that the victim dog owner had requested third party citations for their dog being attacked and later euthanized because of injuries. He also explained that there would be a dangerous dog evaluation done on the dogs and the dogs may be automatically declared dangerous because the other dog was later put down. He told her that her dogs would be held at PACC until a investigator determined confinement was secure. Ms. Tovar stated she understood. Supervisor Konst issued her the citations requested by [redacted] and his wife.

continued

#4



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT  
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER  
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745  
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960  
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A15-175425  
OWNER: Josephine Tovar  
ANIMAL NAME: Max

ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_  
SEX: M BREED: Br Brindle/white/Pitx  
COLOR: Brindle/white DATE: 7-27-15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**

**REPORTED BITES:**

NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3 \_\_\_\_\_  
VIOLATION-BITE + 6 \_\_\_\_\_

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:**

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3 \_\_\_\_\_  
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4 \_\_\_\_\_  
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM + 4 \_\_\_\_\_  
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5 \_\_\_\_\_

**Animal Complaints or Violations:**

LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2 +2  
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1 +1+1+1  
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3 +3+3  
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1 \_\_\_\_\_

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:**

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3 +3  
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4 \_\_\_\_\_  
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5 +5

**CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)**

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCEWALL AND GATES - 5 \_\_\_\_\_  
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES + 5 +5

**OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:**

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT - 3 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED - 1 -1  
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT + 5 +5  
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # \_\_\_\_\_ - 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
NO CURRENT LICENSE + 1 +1  
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION + 1 +1

**NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):**

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE - 3 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE - 3 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR + 2 +2  
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE + 2 +2

**DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)**

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY + 2 +2  
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE - 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR + 1 \_\_\_\_\_

**Confinement / Fencing:**

Chainlink fence approximately 5 feet high w/ a gate that has a loose latch. Signs of digging at base of fence line

**General Comments:**

The dog "Max" scored a +3 and is therefore declared dangerous at this time.

OFFICER # 1942 Edelberger

TOTAL SCORE: +36

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

DANGEROUS  
 NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A15-175125
OWNER: Josephine Touar
ANIMAL NAME: Rascal

ADDRESS:
SEX: M. BREED: Lab
COLOR: tan/white. DATE: 7-27-15

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3
VIOLATION-BITE + 6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM + 4
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2 +2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1 +1+1+1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3 +3+3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3 +3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5 +5

Confinement / Fencing:

Chainlink fence approximately 5 feet high w/ a gate that has a loose latch. Signs of digging at base of fence line.

General Comments:

The dog "Rascal" scored a +37, and is therefore declared dangerous at this time.

OFFICER # 1942 Ectorharger

TOTAL SCORE: +37

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

DANGEROUS
NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

#5 PIMA

<b>INVESTIGATION REPORT</b> Pima County Health Department Pima Animal Care Center 4000 N. Scott Blvd Tucson, Arizona 85745 Phone: (520) 243-5900 Fax: (520) 243-5960 www.pimaanimalcare.org		SUSPECT <b>Ryan Crawford</b> <small>ENCLOSURE ATTACHED</small>			ACO NAME / BADGE # <b>Hendrickson#2066</b>		ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER <b>A15-167398</b>	
CITY _____ STATE _____ ZIP _____ RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER _____		BITE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/>		OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>				
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS CITY _____ STATE _____ ZIP _____ BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER _____		CI <input type="checkbox"/> CO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>		DRIVERS LICENSE _____				
SEX _____ WEIGHT _____ HEIGHT _____ EYES _____ HAIR _____ ORIGIN _____ DOB _____ SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER _____								
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT _____		DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT <b>03/08/15 16:55</b>		DATE AND TIME REPORTED <b>03/08/15 16:40</b>		
FOOD <input type="checkbox"/> WATER <input type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/> VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> TIEDOUT <input type="checkbox"/> BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> WASTE <input type="checkbox"/> INJ/ILL <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (EXPLAIN) _____								
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case		VICTIM/POLICE AGENCY NAME _____ DATE OF BIRTH _____ OCCUPATION _____ BUSINESS PHONE _____						
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.		VICTIM'S ADDRESS _____ CITY _____ STATE _____ ZIP _____						
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)		VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS _____ CITY _____ STATE _____ ZIP _____						
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE) _____		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER _____		
				OTHER AGENCY CASE # <b>150308180</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER: _____		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SD <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER: _____		
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY: <b>3</b>		TREATED BY _____ PHONE NUMBER _____		
		<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		PART OF BODY BITTEN: <b>Chest</b>		DATE QUARANTINED <b>03/08/15</b> RELEASE DATE: <b>03/17/15</b>		
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM _____		YET CLINIC _____		PHONE NUMBER _____		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		
PHONE NUMBER _____						PACC <input type="checkbox"/> VET <input type="checkbox"/> HOME <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FTQ <input type="checkbox"/> UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>		
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS _____		CLINIC'S ADDRESS _____		QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD# _____		
		3 <sup>RD</sup> PARTY CITATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACO <b>Hendrickson #2066</b>		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
				PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER _____		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS _____		
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE _____		CODE/ORD VIOLATED <b>6.04.070, 6.04.120(B)(2), 6.04.070</b>		CITATIONS/NUMBERS <b>74157</b>		REVIEWED BY <b>3/17</b> <b>Kovst 2002</b> BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR		SEX		
German Shep/Pit X		Kota		Sable		F		
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						AGE <b>5YR</b>		
						LICENSE # _____		
						CONDITION <b>Normal</b>		
						ANIMAL ID# <b>A280213</b>		
SI _____		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		DOB _____		ADDRESS _____		
Deputy J. Taylor Badge #4417		M <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB _____		ADDRESS _____		
WITNESS 3		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB _____		ADDRESS _____		
WITNESS 4		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB _____		ADDRESS _____		



#5

## INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Activity Number:** A15-167398

**ACO Name & Badge:** M. Hendrickson #2066

On March 8th 2015 at 17:36 I Officer Hendrickson #2066 arrived at \_\_\_\_\_ e in response to a call to assist Pima County Sheriff Deputy in regards to a dog bite . When I arrived I was met by Pima County Sheriff Deputy J. Taylor Badge #4417 case# 150308180 who showed me pictures of the bite wounds on the child victim \_\_\_\_\_. There were multiple puncture wounds mainly in the chest area on the victim. I was informed the victim was being transported to the hospital with the whitenss, her mother before my arrival. I spoke with the victim's father \_\_\_\_\_ who stated that his wife witnessed the dog attack his daughter. \_\_\_\_\_ stated that his wife and daughter were at Mesa Verde Elementary School located at \_\_\_\_\_ St at the playground. He said that the dog owner was playing ball with his dog off leash and then attacked his daughter while she was playing. He stated the attack was unprovoked and would like citations issued to the dog owner for leash law and biting animal.

I met with the dog owner Ryan Crawford who didn't want to give me a statement without his lawyer present. His dog Kota a female sable German Shepherd/pit bull mix was current on her rabies vaccination but didn't have a current Pima County dog license. I issued a home quarantine for the dog Kota and issued citations on behalf of the victim's Father Don Ross for biting animal and Leash law (#74157 A-C). Mr. Crawford signed accepted and received a copy of his citation along with home quarantine agreement. He was informed of his court date time and location.

On March 10th, 2015 at 12:45 Pima County Animal Care Officer Hinte Badge #2068, met with the victim's mother, \_\_\_\_\_ to collect her bite statement. \_\_\_\_\_ stated that on 3/08/15 at approximately 4:30 PM she took her five year old daughter \_\_\_\_\_ to the Mesa Verde Elementary School playground. While walking from the car to the playground, she saw a man with his dog off leash playing fetch on the soccer field approximately 100-200 yards away from the playground. She instructed her daughter to stay away from the dog. Her daughter was walking about ten feet in front of her towards the playground when the owner threw the ball for his dog to fetch. The dog ran in the opposite direction of \_\_\_\_\_ and her daughter. The dog grabbed the ball and began running back towards the owner, but for an unknown reason, noticed \_\_\_\_\_ walking, dropped the ball, and charged at her. \_\_\_\_\_ tried to get to her daughter but the dog was too quick. She stated that dog owner yelled "don't pet the dog." \_\_\_\_\_ covered her face with her arms and the dog bit her once in the stomach. The dog then circled \_\_\_\_\_ growling, and bit her once more on the arm and breast. The dog owner approached and grabbed the dog by the collar while saying "she's fine, she's fine." \_\_\_\_\_ informed the dog owner that her daughter was not fine and she would need to call 911. The dog owner stated that he could not stay. \_\_\_\_\_ ss asked him for his information. He stated that his name was Thomas James and provided her a contact phone number. \_\_\_\_\_ stated that she did not feel comfortable so she called her husband, who was down the street at her parent's house. \_\_\_\_\_ is arrived and stopped the dog owner from leaving until the police arrived. \_\_\_\_\_ then transported \_\_\_\_\_ to North West Hospital for medical treatment.

Officer's Signature: *M Hendrickson #2066*

Date: *3/13/15*



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT  
 PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER  
 4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745  
 (520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960  
 www.pima.gov/animalcare

#3

CASE NO: A15-172727  
 OWNER: RYAN CRAWFORD  
 ANIMAL NAME: KATA A280213

ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_  
 SEX: F BREED: SHEP/PIT  
 COLOR: BLK + TAN DATE: 6-22-15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**

**REPORTED BITES:**

NON-VIOLATION BITE +3 \_\_\_\_\_  
 VIOLATION-BITE +6 +6

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:**

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN +1 \_\_\_\_\_  
 BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING +2 \_\_\_\_\_  
 MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) +3 +3  
 MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT +4 \_\_\_\_\_  
 BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM +4 \_\_\_\_\_  
 MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) +5 \_\_\_\_\_

**Animal Complaints or Violations:**

LEASH LAW CITATIONS +2 +2  
 LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS +1 \_\_\_\_\_  
 ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS +2 \_\_\_\_\_  
 ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS +3 \_\_\_\_\_  
 OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS +1 +1

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:**

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY +1 0  
 INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER +2 \_\_\_\_\_  
 VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) +3 \_\_\_\_\_  
 EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) +4 \_\_\_\_\_  
 INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH +5 \_\_\_\_\_

**Confinement / Fencing:**

THE BACK YARD IS CONFINED BY A 5 FOOT TALL SECURE WALL WITH A LOCKED Wrought IRON GATE.

**General Comments:**

AFTER COMPLETING NEIGHBOR INTERVIEWS I LEARNED THAT KATA DOES NOT HAVE A HISTORY OF BEING AT LARGE OR BEING AGGRESSIVE.

KATA IS NOT DEEMED DANGEROUS AT THIS TIME.

Ekyle OFFICER # 1926

TOTAL SCORE: +4

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

\_\_\_\_ DANGEROUS  
X NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

#6 TUC

<b>INVESTIGATION REPORT</b>		SUSPECT <b>Paul Joseph Loebig</b>				ACO NAME / BADGE # <b>R. Tovar #2021</b>		ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER <b>A15-171834</b>	
Pima County Health Department Pima Animal Care Center 4000 N. Silverbell Rd. Tucson, Arizona 85745 Phone: (520) 243-5900 Fax: (520) 243-5960 www.pimaanimalcare.org		SUSPECT'S ADDRESS				BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		OTHER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>leash law/attempt to bite</b>	
		CITY		STATE	ZIP	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER			
		SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				CI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>		DRIVERS LICENSE	
		CITY		STATE	ZIP	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER			
		SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	ORIGIN	DOB	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT <b>(C)</b>				DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT <b>05/16/15 19:30</b>		DATE AND TIME REPORTED <b>06/16/15 19:47</b>	
		FOOD	WATER	SHELTER	VENTILATION	ABANDONED	TIED/OUT	BEATEN	WASTE
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME				DATE OF BIRTH	RESIDENCE PHONE	BUSINESS PHONE	
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case		VICTIM'S ADDRESS				CITY	STATE	ZIP	
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.		VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				CITY	STATE	ZIP	
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)		NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)				DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SD <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:			
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY: <b>N/A</b>		TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		PART OF BODY BITTEN: <b>N/A</b>				RELEASE DATE:	VET <input type="checkbox"/>
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM		VET CLINIC				PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		FTB <input type="checkbox"/>
PHONE NUMBER									UTB <input type="checkbox"/>
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		CLINIC'S ADDRESS				QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#	
		3 <sup>rd</sup> PARTY CITATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACO <b>M. Hendrickson#2066</b>		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER <b>A15-163269</b>	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		CODE/ORD VIOLATED <b>4-97, 4-7(2)(B)</b>				OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS		REVIEWED BY <b>2012</b> <b>KONST 5/20</b>	
		CITATIONS/NUMBERS <b>#74354 A,B,C,D</b>						BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
Lab mix	VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Cabo		Brown/White	N	2YR	L15-240869	normal	A506388
Lab Mix	VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Truman		Tricolor	N	2YR	L15-240870	normal	A506390
Bichon Frise	VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Bandido		White	M	13YR	U15-085155	normal	A520585
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>								
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>								
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>								
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>								
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>								
WITNESS 1	M <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS			RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #		
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS			RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #		
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS			RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #		
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS			RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #		



#6

# INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-171834

ACD Name & Badge: M. Hendrickson #2066

On May 17th, 2015 Animal Care Officer Tovar Badge #2021, arrived at [redacted] e met with [redacted] and [redacted] r regarding the call they reported to the Pima Animal Care Center on May 16th, 2015. [redacted] said that on 05/16/15 at 1930 hours he and [redacted] were walking their dog Bandido, a 13 year old Bichon Frise on a leash, when they arrived at the corner of [redacted] and [redacted] they saw two Mastiffs on leashes, however, there was no one holding the leashes. He stated that they were approximately 30 yards away from the two dogs at this time. He added that the dogs then charged them and their dog. [redacted] stated that he picked up their dog and held him over his head. Both he and [redacted] stated that the two Mastiffs continued coming towards them. [redacted] r said that she was very scared and emotionally upset. [redacted] said that the two Mastiffs were jumping up on him attempting to get to their dog which he continued holding above his head. He stated that the dogs did not bite him or injure him in anyway but was very angry about the situation.

Both [redacted] and [redacted] said that the dog owners were calling out to their dogs but that neither dog listened to them and did not retreat. Mr. Vidal said that the dog owners did manage to get ahold of their dogs but that there was no real conversation between them and the dog owners. Officer Tovar #2021 saw their dog Bandido and was told that he was not injured in the attack. He took photographs of Bandido. [redacted] said that sometimes when they walk past the dog owner's residence at [redacted] the Mastiffs are outside and jump on the wrought iron gate. They both said that they fear that the dogs could easily knock down the gate. [redacted] told Officer Tovar #2021 that they want citations issued to the dog owner for Leash Law and Biting Animal (Attempt to bite) on both dogs. [redacted] stated that he fears for the safety of other people in the neighborhood who walk their dogs. I was also told by [redacted] and [redacted] r that they want a Dangerous Dog Evaluation done on both dogs.

On May 17th, 2015 around 18:40 hours, Officer Tovar #2021, returned to meet with [redacted]. On his previous visit he did not show them the pictures of the two dogs in the Activity screen. He showed them A506326, Cabo and A506327 Truman. Both [redacted] and [redacted] positively identified them as the two dogs that attacked them and their dog last evening.

On May 19th, 2015 I Officer Hendrickson #2066 met the dog owner Paul Loebig at Pima Animal Care Center and issued him citations for leash law and biting animal (attempt to bite) on both his dogs Cabo and Truman on behalf of the complainant Kristi stringer. Mr. Loebig signed and accepted his copy of the citations with his court date time and location.

Officer's Signature:  #2066

Date: 5/20/15

#6



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT  
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER  
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745  
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960  
[www.pima.gov/animalcare](http://www.pima.gov/animalcare)

CASE NO: A15-172628  
OWNER: Paul Loebig  
ANIMAL NAME: Cabo

ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_  
SEX: M BREED: Mastiff X  
COLOR: tan/white DATE: 7-14-15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**

**REPORTED BITES:**

NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3 \_\_\_\_\_  
VIOLATION-BITE + 6 \_\_\_\_\_

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:**

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3 +3  
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4 \_\_\_\_\_  
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM + 4 \_\_\_\_\_  
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5 \_\_\_\_\_

**Animal Complaints or Violations:**

LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2 +2+2  
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2 +2+2  
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3 +3  
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1 \_\_\_\_\_

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:**

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3 +3  
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4 \_\_\_\_\_  
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5 \_\_\_\_\_

**Confinement / Fencing:**

5 foot black wall

**General Comments:**

The dog Cabo scored +9 and is therefore not declared dangerous at this time

TOTAL SCORE: +9

\_\_\_\_ DANGEROUS  
 NOT DANGEROUS

**CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)**

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES - 5 \_\_\_\_\_  
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES + 5 +5

**OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:**

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT - 3 -3  
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED - 1 -1  
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT + 5 \_\_\_\_\_  
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 15-240869 - 1 -1  
NO CURRENT LICENSE + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION + 1 \_\_\_\_\_

**NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):**

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE - 3 -3  
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE - 3 -3  
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE + 2 \_\_\_\_\_

**DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)**

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE - 2 -2  
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR + 1 \_\_\_\_\_

OFFICER # 1942 Edelberger

**A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL**

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC **IN PERSON**.



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT  
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER  
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745  
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960  
[www.pima.gov/animalcare](http://www.pima.gov/animalcare)

CASE NO: A15-172628  
OWNER: Paul Loebig  
ANIMAL NAME: Truman

ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_  
SEX: M BREED: Mastiff  
COLOR: Tricolor DATE: 7-14-15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**

**REPORTED BITES:**

NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3 \_\_\_\_\_  
VIOLATION-BITE + 6 \_\_\_\_\_

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:**

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3 +3  
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4 \_\_\_\_\_  
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM + 4 \_\_\_\_\_  
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5 \_\_\_\_\_

**Animal Complaints or Violations:**

LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2 +2 +2  
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2 +2  
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3 +3  
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1 \_\_\_\_\_

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:**

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3 +3  
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4 \_\_\_\_\_  
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5 \_\_\_\_\_

**Confinement / Fencing:**

5 foot block wall

**General Comments:**

The dog Truman scored a +9 and is therefore not declared dangerous at this time

TOTAL SCORE: +9

\_\_\_\_ DANGEROUS  
o NOT DANGEROUS

**CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)**

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)  
SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES - 5 \_\_\_\_\_  
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES + 5 +5

**OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:**

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT - 3 -3  
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED - 1 -1  
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT + 5 \_\_\_\_\_  
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 15-24087 - 1 -1  
NO CURRENT LICENSE + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION + 1 \_\_\_\_\_

**NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):**

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)  
ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE - 3 -3  
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE - 3 -3  
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE + 2 \_\_\_\_\_

**DOGS BEHAVIOR: (if Observed by Officer)**

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE - 2 -2  
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR + 1 \_\_\_\_\_

OFFICER # 1942 Eckelbarger

**A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL**

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

# 7 TUC

<b>INVESTIGATION REPORT</b>		SUSPECT <b>Denise A Haverhals</b>			ACO NAME / BADGE # <b>N. Konst #2002</b>		ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER <b>A15-173229</b>			
Pima County Health Department Pima Animal Care Center 4000 N. Silverbell Rd. Tucson, Arizona 85745 Phone: (520) 243-5900 Fax: (520) 243-5960 www.pimaanimalcare.org		SUSPECT'S ADDRESS			BITE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/>		OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
		SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS			CI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>		DRIVERS LICENSE			
		CITY STATE ZIP BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER			DOB		SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER			
		SEX WEIGHT HEIGHT EYES HAIR ORIGIN								
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT			DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT <b>06/09/15 17:40 pm</b>		DATE AND TIME REPORTED <b>06/09/15 18:12</b>			
		FOOD WATER SHELTER VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE INJ / ILL OTHER (EXPLAIN)								
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME			DATE OF BIRTH		RESIDENCE PHONE			
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.		VICTIM'S ADDRESS			CITY		STATE ZIP			
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)		VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS			CITY		STATE ZIP			
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		
								FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SD <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY: <b>3- Med</b>		TREATED BY		PHONE NUMBER		
		<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		PART OF BODY BITTEN: <b>Right arm</b>				DATE QUARANTINED		
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM		VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		PACC <input type="checkbox"/> VET <input type="checkbox"/> HOME <input type="checkbox"/>		
PHONE NUMBER								RELEASE DATE: FQ <input type="checkbox"/> LTK <input type="checkbox"/>		
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		CLINIC'S ADDRESS			QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		FRA HEAD#			
		3 <sup>RD</sup> PARTY CITATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>			CITING ACO <b>M. Hendrickson #2066</b>		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		CODE/ORD VIOLATED <b>4-97, 4-7(2)(B)</b>			CITATIONS/NUMBERS <b>#74373 A,B</b>		REVIEWED BY <b>2002 Konst 6/30</b>			
							BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>			
BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR		SEX		AGE		
Boxer		Max		Brown Brindle		M		5Yr		
VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								LICENSE #		
								L15-250876		
								CONDITION		
								Normal		
								ANIMAL ID#		
								A283778		
WITNESS 1		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #		
WITNESS 2		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #		
WITNESS 3		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #		
WITNESS 4		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #		



#7

## INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-173229

ACD Name & Badge: M. Hendrickson #2066

On June 16th, 2015 Supervisor Konst Badge #2002 met with bite victim [redacted] at Pima Animal Care Center. [redacted] stated that on 06/09/15 at 5:40PM he was in front of apartment 24A working on his small short truck. He observed a woman bring her two dogs out of 24A on leashes. When he looked up next he observed a Boxer mix coming at him. He stood up and in a fluid motion turned and caused the dog to miss biting his torso. The dog did get his shirt and ripped it. The dog then turned and bit the back of his leg on the back of the knee. He then was able to get the dog to release his leg. The dog then bit his arm as it was going for the kill at his face. He pushed the dog off and it bit the back of his leg again. [redacted] was able to dislodge the dog only to have it bite his arm again. He stated he observed a car approximately 15 feet away. In two steps he made it to the hood of the car. The owner then took hold of the dog. He then took some pictures and video of his wounds. The dog owner Denise Haverhals took [redacted] Concentra where he was treated. He went to his primary doctor a couple of days later. He also added she was turning it into her homeowners insurance. He has Public Access so all but \$3.00 in co-pay was covered.

[redacted] stated the only way he survived was because he was athletic, has training in martial arts and ring fighting. He stated this dog had the look of death in its eyes. He has seen many dogs and this one is different. [redacted] a picture from his smart phone to Supervisor Konst email, the supervisor also took photographs of the wounds. [redacted] requesting third party citations for biting animal and leash law on the dog "Max" for the attack.

On June 27th, 2015 at 16:33 I Officer Hendrickson #2066 arrived at [redacted] issue third party citations to the dog owner Ms. Denise Haverhals for Biting Animal and Leash Law. Ms. Haverhals invited me into her home where I explained my visit and the request of the third party citations along with a dangerous dog evaluation. She stated she had been trying to make contact with [redacted] to see how he was doing and provide him with her "Allstate" insurance so he could file the incident claim. She stated she was unable to get a hold of him and had "Allstate" mail him a letter on behalf on the incident.

I cited Ms. Haverhals for Leash Law and Biting Animal for her dog Max. I performed a health check on the dog Max who was current on his license and vaccination and appeared healthy, active and not showing any signs of rabies. I provided Ms. Haverhals with a Dangerous dog brochure and photographed the dog Max.

Officer's Signature: *M Hendrickson #2066*

Date: 6/30/15

# 7



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT  
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER  
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745  
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960  
[www.pima.gov/animalcare](http://www.pima.gov/animalcare)

CASE NO: A15-174604  
OWNER: DEANSE HAVEMHALS  
ANIMAL NAME: MAX A283778

ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_  
SEX: m BREED: BOXER  
COLOR: BRINDLE DATE: 7-16-15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**

**REPORTED BITES:**

NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3 \_\_\_\_\_  
VIOLATION-BITE + 6 +6

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:**

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3 \_\_\_\_\_  
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4 +4  
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM + 4 \_\_\_\_\_  
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5 \_\_\_\_\_

**Animal Complaints or Violations:**

LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2 +2  
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3 \_\_\_\_\_  
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1 \_\_\_\_\_

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:**

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3 \_\_\_\_\_  
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4 \_\_\_\_\_  
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5 \_\_\_\_\_

**Confinement / Fencing:**

THE OWNER MOVED FROM THE APARTMENT COMPLEX INTO A HOUSE. THE HOUSE HAS THREE SEPERATE FENCED AREAS. THE 5 FOOT TALL BLACK WALLS AND WROUGHT IRON FENCING ARE SECURE. THE LARGE ENTRY

**General Comments:**

GATE HAS A DEAD BOLT LOCK, AND A PADLOCK.

AT THIS TIME MAX IS NOT DEEMED DANGEROUS.

E. Klein  
E. Klein

OFFICER # 1926

TOTAL SCORE: +9

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

\_\_\_\_ DANGEROUS  
\* NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

#8  
MARANA

<b>INVESTIGATION REPORT</b> <b>Pima County Health Department</b> <b>Pima Animal Care Center</b> <b>4000 N. Silverbell Rd.</b> <b>Tucson, Arizona 85745</b> <b>Phone: (520) 243-5900</b> <b>Fax: (520) 243-5960</b> <b>www.pimaanimalcare.org</b>	<b>SUSPECT</b> <b>Deborah Spinks</b>				<b>ACC NAME / BADGE #</b> <b>Hendrickson#2066</b>		<b>ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER</b> <b>A14-159298</b>										
	<b>SUSPECT'S ADDRESS</b>  				<b>BITE</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>WELFARE</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>DANGEROUS</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		<b>OTHER</b> <input type="checkbox"/>										
	<b>CITY</b> _____ <b>STATE</b> _____ <b>ZIP</b> _____ <b>BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER</b> _____		<b>SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS</b> <b>N/A</b>		<b>CI</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>CO</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>OTHER</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Marana</b>		<b>DRIVERS LICENSE</b> _____										
	<b>CITY</b> _____ <b>STATE</b> _____ <b>ZIP</b> _____ <b>BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER</b> _____		<b>SEX</b> _____ <b>WEIGHT</b> _____ <b>HEIGHT</b> _____ <b>EYES</b> _____ <b>HAIR</b> _____ <b>ORIGIN</b> _____ <b>DOB</b> _____ <b>SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER</b> _____														
	<b>DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS?</b> <b>YES</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>NO</b> <input type="checkbox"/>				<b>LOCATION OF INCIDENT</b> <b>FOOD</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>WATER</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>SHELTER</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>VENTILATION</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>ABANDONED</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>TIED/UT</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>BEATEN</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>WASTE</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>INJ/ILL</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>OTHER (EXPLAIN)</b> _____		<b>DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT</b> <b>11/03/14 18:30</b>		<b>DATE AND TIME REPORTED</b> <b>11/03/14 18:30</b>								
	<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.				<b>VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME</b> _____ <b>DATE OF BIRTH</b> _____ <b>BUSINESS PHONE</b> _____		<b>BUSINESS PHONE</b> _____										
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)				<b>VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS</b> _____ <b>CITY</b> _____		<b>STATE</b> _____ <b>ZIP</b> _____											
<b>NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)</b>		<b>DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED</b> <b>YES</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>NO</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<b>RESTITUTION REQUESTED</b> <b>YES</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>NO</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<b>DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER</b> <b>N/A</b>		<b>OTHER AGENCY CASE #</b> <input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER: _____		<b>FOLLOW UP REQUEST</b> <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER: _____							
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION <input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		<b>BITE SEVERITY:</b> _____ <b>PART OF BODY BITTEN:</b> _____		<b>TREATED BY</b> _____ <b>PHONE NUMBER</b> _____		<b>DATE QUARANTINED</b> _____ <b>PACC</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>RELEASE DATE:</b> _____ <b>YET</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>HOME</b> <input type="checkbox"/>									
<b>RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM</b> <b>PHONE NUMBER</b> _____		<b>VET CLINIC</b> _____		<b>PHONE NUMBER</b> _____		<b>OWNER KNOWS OF BITE</b> <b>YES</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>NO</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<b>FTQ</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>UTQ</b> <input type="checkbox"/>									
<b>LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS</b>		<b>CLINIC'S ADDRESS</b> _____		<b>QUARANTINE (DAYS)</b> <b>10</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>15</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>45</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>180</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#		<b>3<sup>RD</sup> PARTY CITATIONS</b> <b>YES</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>NO</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		<b>CITING ACC</b> <b>M Hendrickson #2066</b>		<b>PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS</b> <b>YES</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>NO</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<b>PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER</b> _____ <b>OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS</b> _____					
<b>VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE</b>		<b>CODE/ORD VIOLATED</b> <b>6-3-1, 6-5-2(A)</b>		<b>CITATIONS/NUMBERS</b> <b>#74156</b>		<b>REVIEWED BY</b> 2002 KONTSI 3/12/15		<b>BOND</b> <b>YES</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>NO</b> <input type="checkbox"/>									
<b>BREED/DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>ANIMAL'S NAME</b>		<b>COLOR</b>		<b>SEX</b>		<b>AGE</b>		<b>LICENSE #</b>		<b>CONDITION</b>		<b>ANIMAL ID#</b>			
<b>German Shep X</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER		<b>Sandy</b>		<b>BLK/TAN</b>		<b>F</b>		<b>5YR</b>		<b>L14-220306</b>		<b>Normal</b>		<b>A452814</b>	
<b>Labrador X</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER		<b>Lily</b>		<b>BLK</b>		<b>F</b>		<b>8YR</b>		<b>L14-238158</b>		<b>Normal</b>		<b>A501821</b>	
		<input type="checkbox"/> VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER															
		<input type="checkbox"/> VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER															
		<input type="checkbox"/> VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER															
		<input type="checkbox"/> VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER															
		<input type="checkbox"/> VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER															
<b>WITNESS 1</b>		<b>M</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>F</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		<b>DOB</b> _____		<b>ADDRESS</b> _____		<b>RESIDENCE PHONE #</b> _____		<b>BUSINESS PHONE #</b> _____							
<b>WITNESS 2</b>		<b>M</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>F</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		<b>DOB</b> _____		<b>ADDRESS</b> _____		<b>RESIDENCE PHONE #</b> _____		<b>BUSINESS PHONE #</b> _____							
<b>WITNESS 3</b>		<b>M</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>F</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		<b>DOB</b> _____		<b>ADDRESS</b> _____		<b>RESIDENCE PHONE #</b> _____		<b>BUSINESS PHONE #</b> _____							
<b>WITNESS 4</b>		<b>M</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>F</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		<b>DOB</b> _____		<b>ADDRESS</b> _____		<b>RESIDENCE PHONE #</b> _____		<b>BUSINESS PHONE #</b> _____							



# 3

## INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Activity Number:** A14-159298

**ACO Name & Badge:** M Hendrickson #2066

On November 3rd, 2014 at 19:02 The Complainant stated that the new neighbor's dogs were out of their yard and attacked her dog. stated she was currently at the vet's getting care for her dog. She stated this is not the first time the dogs have been out of their yard and aggressive, however, this is the first actual attack. She stated the dog owner came to her but she did not get her name. said the address of the dog owner is was suggested that time and date stamped photos of her dog's injuries be taken and to secure a copy of the vet's diagnosis and care notes for the Animal Control Officer.

On November 14th, 2014 Supervisor Konst badge #2002 met with at the Pima Animal Care Center. stated to Supervisor Konst that on 11/03/14 at approximately 1830 hours she exited her house with the intention of taking her two dogs Sparkie and Sergio for a walk. A neighbor at believed to be Deborah J Spinks was in the process of moving some furniture into a vehicle. The neighbor had her two dogs off leash and was being assisted by a male. When the two dogs from seen and her dogs they ran across the street at her. The darker colored dog then attacked Sparkie's dogs. Sparkie was bitten and received a deep puncture. asked the dog owner if she was paying for the veterinarian bill. The neighbor came across and retrieved her dogs without saying anything. then took her dog back to her house because it was bleeding heavily. The dog owner from followed her to her door stating she would pay for any veterinarian bills incurred.

Ms. Prince took her dog to Northwest Pet Clinic where she has paid \$384.68 for medical expenses on Sparkie. Ms Prince sent a copy of the expenses to the neighbor on 11/08/14 but has not heard anything. Ms. Prince is asking that third party citations be issued for the attack to her dogs. She stated the lighter colored dog was running around but did not attack. She would like the dog owner cited for leash law on both dogs and biting animal on the darker dog for the attack on Sparkie. Ms Prince gave copies of medical expense to Supervisor Konst to be added to citations.

On March 7th, 2015 at 09:11 I Officer Hendrickson badge #2066 arrived at response to a leash law animal attack complaint to issue the dog owner Deborah Spinks citations on behalf of the victim. I met with and her two dogs Sandy a black and brown German Shepherd mix and her dog Lily a black Labrador retriever mix. Ms. Spinks stated she was aware of the incident on 11/3/14 around 18:30 where her dogs were out of the yard while her and a friend were moving a television from her garage. Ms. Spinks stated that her dogs went to the neighbor's home to "say hi" but she stated she was unaware that her dog had attacked the neighbor's dog. Both of Ms. Spinks' dogs were current on their Pima County dog license and rabies vaccination. The stated biter dog Sandy is registered under Ms. Spinks' son Ms. Spinks was in care and custody of the dog Sandy at the time of the incident so I issued citations for leash law for both dogs and biting animal for the dog Sandy on behalf of the victim. nce. Ms. Spinks signed accepted and received a copy of the citation with her court date time and location.

Officer's Signature: *M Hendrickson #2066* Date: *3/12/15*

# 8



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT  
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER  
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745  
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960  
[www.pima.gov/animalcare](http://www.pima.gov/animalcare)

CASE NO: A15-175682  
OWNER: Deborah Spinks  
ANIMAL NAME: Lily

ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_  
SEX: F BREED: Lab mix  
COLOR: Black DATE: 7-23-15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**

**REPORTED BITES:**

NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3 \_\_\_\_\_  
VIOLATION-BITE + 6 \_\_\_\_\_

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:**

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3 \_\_\_\_\_  
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4 \_\_\_\_\_  
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM + 4 \_\_\_\_\_  
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5 \_\_\_\_\_

**Animal Complaints or Violations:**

LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2 +2  
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3 +3  
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1 \_\_\_\_\_

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:**

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3 +3  
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4 \_\_\_\_\_  
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5 \_\_\_\_\_

**Confinement / Fencing:**

The owner has a black wall approx 5.5-6 feet tall with one gate leading into the backyard (locked). The dog has access inside home via dog door.

**General Comments:**

The dog "Lily" scored 0 points and is therefore not declared dangerous at this time.

**CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)**

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES - 5 \_\_\_\_\_  
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES + 5 +5

**OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:**

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT - 3 -3  
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED - 1 -1  
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT + 5 \_\_\_\_\_  
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 14-238158: 1 -1  
NO CURRENT LICENSE + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION + 1 \_\_\_\_\_

**NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):**

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE - 3 -3  
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE - 3 -3  
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR + 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE + 2 \_\_\_\_\_

**DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)**

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY + 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE - 2 -2  
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR + 1 \_\_\_\_\_

OFFICER # 1942 Eckelbarger

TOTAL SCORE: 0

**A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL**

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

\_\_\_\_ DANGEROUS  
 NOT DANGEROUS

**Pima Animal Care Center  
Animals on Hold Report**

Animals listed are currently listed as  
being on hold without an outcome date.  
They are grouped by the type of hold

kennel\_no

**HOLD TYPE ENFORCEMEN**

Number on Hold **24**

**A12-102940**

**K14-175847 A247678 DOG SATIVA ROTTWEILER/**  
11/6/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN AGGRESSIVE Activity:A12-102940

Kennel Comment: chip 494D4C3F3D  
DO NOT RELEASE!  
Bond hold.1926 SAFE LOCK  
KCS 4/13/15

**D122**

**R**

06/12/2015 JCHAVEZ 6/12/15 13:00  
 6-12-15  
 Current update form PCAO:

Nothing definitive, I'm afraid. We just got notice yesterday from the Superior Court that they have received Mr. Westfall's and our briefs and that Mr. Westfall as the appellant now has 30 days to pay the applicable fee, after which the Court will consider the appeal (or if he doesn't pay, the appeal will be dismissed). 1914

02/17/2015 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 2/17/15 16:09  
 2-17-15

Per the county attorney:

We finally obtained a copy of the justice court order that although it was signed by the judge on January 12th, it wasn't scanned into the system until January 23rd and was never sent to Mr. Westfall. Because Mr. Westfall never received a copy of the order, there was no way for him to know about or calculate the appeal deadline, so in an abundance of caution, our office is mailing a copy of the scanned order to Mr. Westfall today and are calendaring an additional 14 days for him to appeal the order. So, please don't take any further action regarding Sativa until we get back to you.

1914  
 12/17/2014 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 12/17/14 17:42  
 12-16-14 OSC hearing scheduled for 1-2-15. 1914

11/17/2014 DTENKATE 11/17/14 13:35  
 11/16/14 The dog owner signed and received a copy of the Bond form and has until 11/26/14 7pm to post the bond amount of \$675.00. (for an Order to Show Cause Hearing)

If the bond amount is not paid by 7pm on 11/26/14 the Rottweiler A247678 named Sativa will be forfeited to PACC. 1911

11/06/2014 ENFORCEM EKLEIN 11/6/14 20:29  
 11-6-14, Do not release Sativa. Owner must meet with enforcement.1926

11/10/2014 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 11/10/14 10:14

If Mr Westfall comes to redeem Sativa

- (1)serve the premise inspection ordering a wellness exam be done on Patches by a licensed veterinarian to ensure she was not injured on November 3rd,2014. PACC will not be taking possession of her unless it is ordered by a judge because pacc has not received reports of patches displaying any aggression.
- (2)Serve the Bond on Sativa.And explain to Mr Westfall that he MUST post all of the bond amount to PACC within 10 days. Not 10 business days but 10 straight days as pacc is open 7 days a week.
- (3) issue the following citations regarding Sativa:70757.A,B,C,D,E DD at large,Preventing inspection of a DD,Failure To comply ,No Insurance ,No license and 70758 A,B,C no rabies vaccination,DD attack ( attempt on the animals) ,DD attack ( Attempt on a human)
- (4) issue the following citations regarding Patches : 70759 A,B,C Leash Law, no License and No Rabies vaccination.

All of the documents are in a folder in my investigator box.

Once Mr Westfall has been served and the citations have been issued a copy of everything needs to be sent to Paula Perrera and Barbara Burstein. They are aware that Sativa is currently at PACC. 1926

11-10-14 The dog owner Mr. Westfall called the center to inquire about his dog being released . I advised him of the above pending actions and advised him he needed to come into PACC and meet with an investigator or supervisor either today before 7pm or on wednesday 11-12-14 before 7pm. 1914

01/08/2015 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 1/8/15 13:00  
 1-8-15

The OSC hearing was held the Judge took it under advisement and a decision is pending. 1914

03/05/2015 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 3/5/15 11:25  
 3-5-15

Accordin to PCAO the owner has put in an appeal to superior court the dog will be on hold until further notice. 1914

02/06/2015 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 2/6/15 10:03  
 2-6-15

The Court has ordered the animal forfeited to PACC on January 12. Now the owner has the right file an appeal to the Superior Courts. The owner has until 2-9-15 to file, until then the animal will be on hold. 1914

07/08/2015 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 7/8/15 10:20 kennel\_no  
7-8-15

No information received from PCAO, regarding the appeal. 1914  
12/11/2014 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 12/11/14 10:35  
12-4-14 The bond was paid on 11-26-14. The dog will be held further until the Order to Show Cause hearing is set up and conducted. 1914

**A14-150112**

**K15-196283** A231779 DOG MAGGIE MAE CHIHUAHUA SH/MIX  
7/30/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-150112 **MISSING**  
Kennel Comment: no chip  R

**K15-196284** A077852 DOG SKYLAR AMER ESKIMO/  
7/30/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-150112 **D120**  
Kennel Comment: chip#46282D1D3A  R

**K15-196287** A109175 DOG ROXIE AMER ESKIMO/  
7/30/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-150112 **D120**  
Kennel Comment: chip#48257a7d20  R

**K15-196288** A424923 DOG GRACIE TERRIER/MIX  
7/30/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-150112 **D120**  
Kennel Comment: chip#0a1210524e  R

**K15-196289** A390662 DOG PIPER WEIMARANER/TERRIER  
7/30/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-150112 **D106**  
Kennel Comment: chip#956000008608032  R

**A15-165819**

**K15-194528** A521738 DOG SUENOS BELG TERVUREN/  
7/9/15 CONFISCATE CRUELTY NORMAL Activity:A15-165819 **JWFLOOR**  
Kennel Comment: Didn't bite, 0a11770c60  R  
BOND HOLD

07/09/2015 ENFORCEM tfoster 7/9/15 12:36  
CORRECTION TO PREVIOUS:

THIS DOG IS 1 OF 9 BONDED DOGS. 2042

**K15-194531** A521740 DOG CAZA BELG SHEEPDOG/  
7/9/15 CONFISCATE CRUELTY NORMAL Activity:A15-165819 **D110**  
Kennel Comment: Didn't bite, NO CHIP  R  
BOND HOLD

07/09/2015 ENFORCEM tfoster 7/9/15 12:38  
DOG IS 3 OF 9 BONDED DOGS. 2042

**K15-194536** A521742 DOG TESORRO BELG SHEEPDOG/  
7/9/15 CONFISCATE CRUELTY NORMAL Activity:A15-165819 **D110**  
Kennel Comment: Didn't bite, NO CHIP  R  
BOND HOLD

07/09/2015 ENFORCEM tfoster 7/9/15 12:44  
5 OF 9 BONDED DOGS 2042

**K15-194538** A521744 DOG DIOSA BELG SHEEPDOG/  
7/9/15 CONFISCATE CRUELTY NORMAL Activity:A15-165819 **D124**  
Kennel Comment: Didn't bite, 985112005382797  R  
BOND HOLD

07/09/2015 ENFORCEM  
7 OF 9 BONDED DOGS 2042

tfoster 7/9/15 12:47

**K15-194539** A521745 DOG BRESA BELG SHEEPDOG/  
7/9/15 CONFISCATE CRUELTY NORMAL Activity:A15-165819

**D124**

Kennel Comment: Didn't bite, NO CHIP  
BOND HOLD

R

07/09/2015 ENFORCEM  
8 OF 9 BONDED DOGS 2042

tfoster 7/9/15 12:49

**K15-194541** A526740 DOG VIENTO BELG SHEEPDOG/  
7/9/15 CONFISCATE CRUELTY NORMAL Activity:A15-165819

**MISSING**

Kennel Comment: Didn't bite  
BOND HOLD  
Severe chronic VF; compensated renal failure. Severe anemia

R

07/09/2015 ENFORCEM  
9 OF 9 BONDED DOGS 2042

tfoster 7/9/15 12:51

**A15-176687**

**K15-196951** A529986 DOG CHINESE SHARPEI/MIX  
8/7/15 STRAY FIELD INJ MINOR Activity:A15-176687

**D208**

Kennel Comment: 3c 3c 3c, left notice

R

08/07/2015  
confinement needed to be fixed, one dog kept on tieout, attacking/killing cats in area/84

DWINDAUE 8/7/15 18:27

**K15-196952** A529987 DOG CHOW CHOW/MIX  
8/7/15 STRAY FIELD NORMAL Activity:A15-176687

**D196**

Kennel Comment: 3c 3c 3c, left notice

R

08/07/2015  
dog impounded from tieout, need secure confinement, also attacking/killing cats in area/84

DWINDAUE 8/7/15 18:32

**A15-176727**

**K15-197040** A530079 DOG SHIH TZU/  
8/8/15 STRAY FIELD NORMAL Activity:A15-176727

**D105**

Kennel Comment: no chip

R

owner contact

**A15-176730**

**K15-197041** A530078 DOG PIT BULL/MIX  
8/8/15 STRAY FIELD INJ MINOR Activity:A15-176730

**D119**

Kennel Comment: 3c 3c 3c 3c.....chip 0a11607923  
Severe ventral dermatitis

R

08/08/2015  
to issue citations for dog on dog attack/84

DWINDAUE 8/8/15 20:36

**A15-176833**

**K15-197227** A471111 DOG BAXTER MASTIFF/MIX  
8/11/15 QUARANTINE BITE AGGRESSIVE Activity:A15-176833

**D101**

Kennel Comment: has chip see records. dog has 2 ids A518251 A471111

R

08/11/2015 ENFORCEM

KWALTON 8/11/15 9:38

I met with victim Kaitlyn Reith and her Supervisor Santiago Lozano for the City of Tucson. She was on the phone with the DR and he stated she was out in the street at the 4700 blk S. 15th Ave measuring streets. She saw the dog at large and the dog didn't do anything, but when she started to walk the dog came up behind her and bit her in the left calf. I observed 2 puncture wounds one on each side of the leg. There was a scratch right under one puncture appears to have come from the tooth took photos.

He described the dog as a med size gold mix breed maybe Pit bull type and it was still out. The dog lived at 4701 S. 15th Ave. I spoke with victim and she does not wish to pursue prosecution at this time. They are worried due to all the children and school in the area.

I arrived at the owners address and they had a block wall with wrought iron. The gate driveway side was open and I observed the dog in the yard which ran out to my truck as I was getting out. The dog then ran to the se corner of the front yard and jumped over in the corner area back into the yard. I spoke with Lupe the caretaker co owner of the dog named Baxter. I advised her briefly what the dog did and what needed to be done. We both tried to catch the dog, but it also jumped from the back yard into the driveway area. We finally got the dog inside where it was caught and impounded. I was told the dog belonged to her brother I believe, but she was cited previously for the dog. I checked the records and the dog is also under her name and dog id under her is A518251. The dog is registered to a Joel Moreno and the id for Baxter under his name is A471111.

The niece showed up and tried calling Joel and basically was hung up on, and she gave him mis information. She stated he would hang up on me if I tried to talk to him. Lupe signed the dog over since she is the one who cares for the dog. I advised them that we would hold the dog for 10 day quarantine and if registered owner wants the dog back or does not agree with the issue he would need to contact us. I would request seriously to have confinement fixed before dog is released something that would really confine the dog all the way around the perimeter or covered kennel run.1925

08/11/2015 ENFORCEM

KWALTON 8/11/15 9:40

I forgot to notate the dog I impounded was positively identified as the biter. 1925

**A15-176844**

<b>K15-197255</b>	A473014	DOG	SAVAGE	CHINESE SHARPEI/MIX	
8/11/15	CONFISCATE	FIELD OWN	NORMAL	Activity:A15-176844	<b>U013</b>
Kennel Comment: Hold Till Confinement Check by 2057					<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>K15-197256</b>	A486685	DOG	PAYTON	PIT BULL/MIX	
8/11/15	CONFISCATE	FIELD OWN	NORMAL	Activity:A15-176844	<b>U008</b>
Kennel Comment: Hold till confinement check by 2057					<input type="checkbox"/>

**A15-176890**

<b>K15-197341</b>	A530448	DOG		QUEENSLAND HEEL/MIX	
8/12/15	CONFISCATE	FIELD OWN	AGGRESSIVE	Activity:A15-176890	<b>MISSING</b>
Kennel Comment: unable to scan					<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>K15-197342</b>	A530443	DOG		QUEENSLAND HEEL/PIT BULL	
8/12/15	CONFISCATE	FIELD OWN	AGGRESSIVE	Activity:A15-176890	<b>D205</b>
Kennel Comment: unable to scan					<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>K15-197343</b>	A530449	DOG		QUEENSLAND HEEL/MIX	
8/12/15	CONFISCATE	FIELD OWN	AGGRESSIVE	Activity:A15-176890	<b>U012</b>
Kennel Comment: unable to scan					<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>K15-197344</b>	A530450	DOG		QUEENSLAND HEEL/MIX	
8/12/15	CONFISCATE	FIELD OWN	AGGRESSIVE	Activity:A15-176890	<b>U012</b>
Kennel Comment: unable to scan					<input type="checkbox"/>

A15-176906

K15-197305 A530406 DOG APOLLO BOXER/  
8/11/15 STRAY NIGHT INJ SEVERE Activity:A15-176906

U010

R

Kennel Comment: 8/11/2015--SEE ACTIVITY MEMO.

3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C

08/12/2015 ENFORCEM  
8/11/2015--2235--

DATTEBER 8/12/15 0:51

If owner redeems dog, do welfare premise inspection requiring vet care w/in 6 hours. 1929

08/12/2015 ENFORCEM  
8/11/2015--2235--

DATTEBER 8/12/15 1:00

If owner redeems dog, do welfare premise inspection requiring vet care w/in 6 hours. 1929

**HOLD TYPE VET**

Number on Hold 1

**A15-176673**

**K15-196933 A529949 DOG ST BERNARD RGH/**

8/7/15 CONFISCATE EVICTION UNDRAGE/WT Activity:A15-176673

**D104**

Kennel Comment: hold for owner  
no chip-2063  
HW antigen confirmatory pending

**R**

08/07/2015 ENFORCEM sadkins 8/7/15 16:05

08/07/15 If owner redeems please cite city codes for dog on tie out, abandonment, and no food. Dog was left in apartment after eviction tied to bathroom door with a leash and no food. S.Adkins 1961

08/08/2015 ENFORCEM EKLEIN 8/8/15 14:37

8-8-15..I have spoken with supervsior Konst, officer Adkins and kennel supervsior Davis.... due to Dr Carlsons findings and Officer Adkins observations if the owner comes to redeem he is to be cited for neglect food, neglect tie out and neglect vet care.1926

PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER  
ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
JULY 2015 OPERATIONAL REPORT

	THIS MONTH			THIS YEAR TO DATE			LAST YEAR TO DATE			YEAR TO YEAR	
	TUCSON	COUNTY	TOTAL	TUCSON	COUNTY	TOTAL	TUCSON	COUNTY	TOTAL	DELTA	%+/-
<b>SHELTER OPERATIONS</b>											
<b>ALL ANIMALS HANDLED</b>											
DOGS	715	531	1,246	715	531	1,246	726	698	1,424		
CATS	453	232	685	453	232	685	413	311	724		
OTHERS	22	35	57	22	35	57	36	59	95		
<b>TOTAL ANIMALS HANDLED</b>	<b>1,190</b>	<b>798</b>	<b>1,988</b>	<b>1,190</b>	<b>798</b>	<b>1,988</b>	<b>1,175</b>	<b>1,068</b>	<b>2,243</b>	<b>-255</b>	<b>-11%</b>
Live Animals Handled	1,053	678	1,731	1,051	679	1,730	1,090	1,004	2,094	-364	-17%
<b>IMPOUNDED ANIMALS</b>											
<b>ADOPTED</b>											
DOGS	228	202	430	228	202	430	303	313	616		
CATS	263	114	377	263	114	377	149	128	277		
OTHER	1	1	2	1	1	2	0	4	4		
<b>TOTAL ADOPTED</b>	<b>492</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>809</b>	<b>492</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>809</b>	<b>452</b>	<b>445</b>	<b>897</b>	<b>-88</b>	<b>-10%</b>
<b>RETURNED TO OWNER</b>											
DOGS	111	90	201	111	90	201	96	79	175		
CATS	20	2	22	20	2	22	3	8	11		
OTHER	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0		
<b>TOTAL RETURNED</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>20%</b>
<b>RESCUED</b>											
DOGS	102	72	174	102	72	174	87	109	196		
CATS	71	63	134	71	63	134	68	32	100		
OTHER	6	0	6	6	0	6	2	1	3		
<b>TOTAL RESCUED</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>314</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>314</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>5%</b>
<b>*TOTAL LIVE RELEASES</b>	<b>803</b>	<b>544</b>	<b>1,347</b>	<b>803</b>	<b>544</b>	<b>1,347</b>	<b>708</b>	<b>674</b>	<b>1,382</b>	<b>-35</b>	<b>-3%</b>
<b>**TOTAL LIVE RELEASE RATE</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>90%</b>			<b>82%</b>		
<b>EUTHANIZED</b>											
DOGS	104	87	191	104	87	191	147	113	260		
CATS	16	5	21	16	5	21	60	45	105		
OTHER	5	4	9	5	4	9	10	18	28		
<b>TOTAL EUTHANIZED</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>393</b>	<b>-172</b>	<b>-44%</b>
(-)Owner Requested Euthanasia	37	37	74	37	37	74			93		
Adjusted Total Euthanasia	88	59	147	88	59	147			300		
<b>***EUTHANASIA RATE</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>10%</b>			<b>18%</b>		
<b>OTHER</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>38%</b>
<b>ENFORCEMENT CALLS FOR SERVICE</b>											
Requested	1,685	1,005	2,690	1,685	1,005	2,690	1,855	1,083	2,938	-248	-8%
Total Responses	1,621	942	2,563	1,621	942	2,563	1,564	975	2,539	24	1%
Welfare Responses	230	81	311	230	81	311	257	102	359	-48	-13%
<b>LICENSING OPERATIONS</b>											
ALTERED	3,575	4,334	7,909	3,575	4,334	7,909	3,999	4,158	8,157		
UNALTERED	197	228	425	197	228	425	239	254	493		
OTHER	71	98	169	71	98	169	61	88	149		
<b>TOTAL SOLD</b>	<b>3,843</b>	<b>4,660</b>	<b>8,503</b>	<b>3,843</b>	<b>4,660</b>	<b>8,503</b>	<b>4,299</b>	<b>4,500</b>	<b>8,799</b>	<b>-296</b>	<b>-3%</b>

\*Total Live Releases(TLR)=Total Adopted+Total Returned+Total Rescued

\*\*Live Release Rate=TLR/(TLR+Adjusted Total Euthanasia)

\*\*\*Euthanasia Rate=(Adjusted Total Euthanasia)/(TLR+Adjusted Total Euthanasia)

August 20, 2015

Southern Arizona Veterinary Medical Association  
PO Box 65832  
Tucson, Arizona 85728-5832

Dear Members of the Veterinary Community:

On behalf of the Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Committee, I am writing this letter as a request for assistance from you to increase awareness among your clients and the pet owners in Pima County and its incorporated areas regarding dog licensing as well as recommended pet recovery measures.

As you are all aware, the State of Arizona mandates that dogs over three months of age must be vaccinated by a licensed veterinarian against rabies. Along with this requirement, local ordinances require that dogs must be licensed annually. In Pima County and its incorporated areas, failure to do so is a Class 2 misdemeanor which has significant fines for pet owners whose animals are discovered to be without a current license. It has come to the attention of some advisory committee members that many dog owners who vaccinate against rabies are unaware of the necessity of a dog license. This situation may have arisen in recent years due to the fact that the Pima Animal Care Center does not supply the veterinary community the former three-part rabies vaccination certificate form unless they are requested by a veterinary practice. As the majority of dog owners receive critical animal care information from you, we are requesting your help in creating awareness of the licensing requirement. A sign posted at your check-out area, or a statement printed on the vaccination receipt may help. *If you are interested, we do have partner veterinarians who serve their clients as a licensing agent for the Pima Animal Care Center. (Participating clinics do receive \$2 per license application received.) You may contact the Center at 520-792-5914 for more information about this program.*

The Committee is also requesting assistance with educating pet owners about the benefits of microchipping their pets. Heartache for a lost pet might be shortened if pet owners are encouraged to microchip their pets and each animal is scanned for a microchip when brought into your clinic, whether it is the first time or a subsequent visit. This number should be checked the first time the animal is seen. This will insure that lost pets can be quickly reunited with their owners and that a chip is still working. Note: equipment may need to be recalibrated by the manufacturer to read older chips every few years. For your information, since 2004, all animals adopted/recovered from the Pima Animal Care Center are microchipped upon release to owner/adopter as a result of an animal which was lost.

Thank you for your consideration and assistance with creating awareness of licensing requirements and of the need for microchip identification in our community to pet owners and for your service in keeping the animals in our community healthy and safe.

Sincerely,

Nancy Emptage  
Chair  
Pima County Animal Care Center Advisory Committee

ORDINANCE 2015- \_\_\_\_\_

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA, RELATING TO ANIMALS; AMENDING PIMA COUNTY CODE CHAPTER 6.04 TO INCREASE DOG LICENSING FEES**

**THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA, FINDS THAT:**

1. The Board of Supervisors has authority under A.R.S. § 11-1008 to set dog licensing fees.
2. It is in the best interests of the County to eliminate the reduced unaltered dog license fees in order to encourage all dog owners to spay or neuter their pets.

**THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA:**

**SECTION 1:** Section 1 of Ordinance No. 2011-69 and subsections 6.04.070(B) and (H) of the Pima County Code are amended to read as follows:

CHAPTER 6.04

ANIMAL CONTROL REGULATIONS

. . .

**6.04.070 - Dog vaccinating, licensing and permitting procedure and fees within county limits.**

. . .

B. The licensing fees for dogs three months of age or over which are kept within the boundaries of the county for at least thirty consecutive days are as follows:

1. Regular, unaltered dog—sixty one dollars.

- 2. Regular, altered dog—sixteen dollars.
- 3. Dogs declared dangerous or vicious—one hundred one dollars.
- ~~4. Senior/disabled citizen owner, unaltered dog (limit four discounted dog licenses per household)—seventeen dollars.~~
- ~~4~~ 5. Senior/disabled citizen owner, altered dog (limit four discounted dog licenses per household)—eleven dollars.
- ~~6. Dogs ten years of age or older—sixteen dollars.~~
- ~~5~~ 7. A dog owner with a household income below the federal poverty level is eligible for an eleven ~~eight~~ dollar dog licensing fee per altered dog (limit four discounted dog licenses per household).
- ~~6~~ 8. A An altered guide dog belonging to a blind person who is a resident within Pima County, or ~~a~~ an altered dog certified, in writing, as being trained to the standards of a service animal by a nationally recognized service dog training agency belonging to a resident within Pima County shall be licensed pursuant to this article without payment of a fee.
- 7. An active or an altered retired law enforcement working dog belonging to a law enforcement agency or a resident within Pima County shall be licensed pursuant to this article without payment of a fee.
- ~~8~~ 9. Processing/Postage fee per license, one dollar.

. . .

**SECTION 2.** This Ordinance is effective 30 days after the date of adoption.

**PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors, Pima County, Arizona, this**  
**\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2015.**

Chair, Board of Supervisors	Date
-----------------------------	------

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Clerk of the Board

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Deputy County Attorney

# 30 SECOND VIDEO CONTEST

## Public Service Announcement



Objective:

<https://vimeo.com/groups/TieOutPSA>

Create a 30 second PSA that brings the following health/welfare issue to the attention of the general public:

## Tie-outs are Prohibited by Law in Pima County

### Message & Possible Approach:

Tie-outs can be confusing - although illegal when implemented without supervision - popular pet stores and big box retailers all sell them.

Why are they illegal? Here are some risks associated with use of tie-outs to contain dogs:

Strangulation, Entanglement, Neck and Shoulder

Strain/Injuries, Vulnerability from predators and inclement weather, a perception of being "aggressive" due to stress.

Selected Entries Will Be Shown:

Local television broadcast TBD, promoted online through Pima Animal Care, Pima County Health Department, Pima County social media accounts.

Questions?

Need more information?

Email contest organizer:

Derek Marshall

[immatureanimals@gmail.com](mailto:immatureanimals@gmail.com)



This contest aims to educate - in a friendly manner - the public on a law that protects dogs. Keep the message upbeat and positive. Avoid any type of "shock factor". Do not show animals in distress. Aim to appeal to as wide of an audience as possible.

This PSA serves to promote  
**PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER**

Duration:

**EXACTLY 30 seconds**



Submit and View Entries at

**vimeo**

<https://vimeo.com/groups/tieoutpsa>

A public service announcement (PSA) is a type of advertisement featured on television or the internet. Whereas the objective of a standard advertisement is to market a product, a PSA is intended to change the public interest, by raising awareness of an issue, affecting public attitudes, and potentially stimulating action.

PSAs usually include cited information to establish a position on the issue. When presenting the information, mention the source, either by narration: "According to ...," or including on-screen text at the bottom of the screen.

Prizes:

**1st PLACE**

GoPro Hero 4

[msrp \$400]

+ a Gift Basket to pamper your pooch [valued at \$75. Donated by Acacia Animal Hospital]



**2nd PLACE**  
Varizoom Stealthy for DSLR  
[msrp \$200]

**3rd PLACE**  
Beats Tour2 In-Ear Headphone  
[msrp \$150]



Submit to Vimeo by:

**Friday,  
September 4,  
2015**

## Pre-Production

Contest participants are encouraged to visit Pima Animal Care Center at 4000 North Silverbell Road during regular business hours.

Contact PACC Outreach Coordinator Jenny Kading at [jenny.kading@pima.gov](mailto:jenny.kading@pima.gov) or call (520) 724-5976 to schedule a time to visit, interview volunteers, meet adoptable dogs and cats, and gain a more informed perspective. Production equipment will be permitted with a scheduled appointment, so it can be arranged that a PACC volunteer be there to assist. Please do not show up unannounced.

[www.pima.gov/animalcare](http://www.pima.gov/animalcare)

Storyboard important shots in order to process different perspectives/camcorder angles and movement.

Storyboard templates are available online:

[www.printablepaper.net/category/storyboard](http://www.printablepaper.net/category/storyboard)

The function of storyboarding is to demonstrate that you are thinking about interesting camcorder angles and different technical methods to convey your message clearly.

## Production

Consider a combination of the following methods of communication:

Video footage, typography/text, voiceover narration, dialogue, animation, graphics, natural sound, and copyright-free background music.

Seek out areas with natural lighting or suitable artificial light.

Demonstrate solid production habits:  
[http://www.elementsofcinema.com/cinematography/elements\\_of\\_cinematography.html](http://www.elementsofcinema.com/cinematography/elements_of_cinematography.html)

Give viewers the illusion that several cameras are filming the action from several different perspectives at the same time. Film each shot at least twice, especially when there is spontaneous movement (animal actors!) important action, or dialog taking place. Film take 1, change the perspective, film take 2, etc...

Oftentimes animals do not take direction well, so film as much as possible to increase options during the editing (post-production) stage.

## Post Production



From a computer workstation with video editing software installed: screen and edit all the footage down to a cohesive 30 second sequence that clearly communicates an effective message to the general viewing public.

6 to 14 clips are suggested for the completed sequence. All footage should be cohesively color-corrected.

Maintain uniform audio levels by screening your work using headphones, external computer speakers, television speakers and by visually monitoring volume levels.

Create a free Vimeo account to upload your video to. This step must be taken before you can submit your video to the group, which is a curated album for all submissions.

All audio must be copyright free. Music and other intellectual property will not be judged, as it cannot be used for promotion.

Questions?

Need more information?

Email contest organizer:

Derek Marshall

[immatureanimals@gmail.com](mailto:immatureanimals@gmail.com)



The contest is open to all residents of Pima County.

All entries must be submitted by TBD date at 11:59pm.

No late entries will be accepted. All audio must be copyright-free.

All content must be "broadcast appropriate."

Do not identify, insinuate or slander retail locations that sell tie-outs.

Contest entries may be eliminated at the discretion of the contest moderator, PACC and/or judges at any time.

Judging of entries will be performed by PACC staff, volunteers and Pima County employees. Entry content becomes the intellectual property of Pima County Health Department and PACC at the time it is submitted online. By uploading content to the contest-entry webpage, users are providing sole usage rights to PACC for future use.

# Donation Activity

Period: 7/1/2015 To: 7/31/2015

Donation Code	Amount
DONATION	\$0.00
DONATION ADOP	\$252.00
DONATION GEN	\$14,592.31
DONATION OUTR	\$87.00
DONATION S/N	\$11,228.50
DONATION SAMS	\$2,206.00
Grand Total	\$28,365.81

## Michael Schlueter

---

**From:** Jose Chavez  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 28, 2015 9:26 AM  
**To:** Michael Schlueter  
**Subject:** RE: Constituent Complaint

We responded to this address on 7-6-15 owner was not home and no dogs seen on the property. We responded again on 7-26-15 and met with the resident who advised the officer the dogs are currently being kept at his mother's house and have been there for a week or more since the last complaint was made, there were no dogs seen at the property at that time. We were not provided with his mother's address the complaint was closed.

Jose

---

**From:** Michael Schlueter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 28, 2015 8:17 AM  
**To:** Jose Chavez  
**Subject:** FW: Constituent Complaint

Complaint attached

---

**From:** Kim Janes  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2015 3:55 PM  
**To:** Michael Schlueter  
**Subject:** RE: Constituent Complaint

Hi Mike, did you get this to PACC?

Sent from my Windows Phone

---

**From:** [Jennifer Eckstrom](#)  
**Sent:** 7/27/2015 2:30 PM  
**To:** [Kim Janes](#); [Michael Schlueter](#)  
**Cc:** [Jan Leshner](#); [Ramon Valadez](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Constituent Complaint

Can I get a response for this constituent?

---

**From:** Jennifer Eckstrom  
**Sent:** Friday, July 24, 2015 2:52 PM  
**To:** Kim Janes; Michael Schlueter  
**Cc:** Jan Leshner; Ramon Valadez  
**Subject:** Constituent Complaint  
**Importance:** High

Michael,

Our office received a phone call regarding 2 unlicensed pit bulls that are attacking and killing cats in a neighborhood. The address where the pit bulls are : I believe that there has been a complaint at the address before but nothing was done about it. Since July 3<sup>rd</sup> these 2 dogs have killed at least 5 cats in the neighborhood. The constituent was very upset.

Do we have a record of someone calling about this?

Jennifer Eckstrom  
Executive Assistant to Hon. Ramón Valadez  
Board of Supervisors, District 2  
130 West Congress, 11th Floor  
Tucson, Arizona 85701  
520-724-8126

YOU WOULDN'T  
MAKE ME LIVE  
LIFE OUTSIDE  
ON A TIE-OUT,  
WOULD YOU?



PSA VIDEO CONTEST. DETAILS:

[WWW.IMMATUREANIMALS.WIX.COM/TIEOUTPSA](http://WWW.IMMATUREANIMALS.WIX.COM/TIEOUTPSA)