Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee
Minutes
November 20, 2014
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745

Approved 12-18-14

1. Call to Order

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:30 pm

- Attendance

Present:
Tamara Barrick, Foundation for Animals in Risk
Nancy Emptage, Vice-Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition (late)
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, ASPCA of AZ, Inc
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health
Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC), Ex-Offico

Absent:
Angela Spencer, City of Tucson

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes

- Adoption of the October 16, 2014 Meeting Minutes

Ms. Schwerin pointed out the October 16, 2014 minutes refer to a typo in the September 18, 2014 minutes being corrected and requested to see the correction. Correction detail will be provided for the next meeting. Ms. Schwerin referred to Item 7 of the October 16, 2014 minutes and requested her citing of code authority to confiscate animals, in response to a question from Mr. Jacobs, be included in the minutes.

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Kaluzniacki) that the October 16, 2014 meeting minutes be adopted as written with the addition requested by Ms. Schwerin. The motion carried (10-0).

3. Call to the Audience

There were three speakers at this call to the audience: Mariana Parker, Tiffany Rosler and Terri Goddard.

Ms. Parker, a volunteer at PACC, first thanked Mr. Ocano for his efforts in getting a large number of confiscated cats spayed and neutered and many placed in homes. Ms. Parker said many rescue

organizations are not happy with PACC. They are not being notified properly. If a pregnant dog comes in In the Arms of Angels wants to be notified and would be happy to take it, given a 72 hour notice. There are seven dogs on the urgent list, but there are empty kennels in the tent. Ms. Parker questioned why dogs have to die when there are empty kennels.

Ms. Rosler said she was misquoted in the October minutes; it was a member of the public trying to adopt who was turned away twice because the dog that was still listed as pregnant, not a rescue partner as the draft minutes stated.

Ms. Rosler then read a letter from Heather Binnie, who is a volunteer at PACC and who has attended a number of PACC meetings focused on reducing euthanasia. The letter was about a white pitbull she observed barking in the kennel on November 5th. Ms. Binnie stopped to talk to the dog, but it didn't stop barking or even look at her. There was a tag that said the dog was named Odie and was deaf. Ms. Binnie thought the dog was probably also blind. She carefully touched Odie and she stopped barking. When she stopped touching her Odie started barking again. Odie needed human contact. Odie came in on the 2nd and was evaluated on the 5th. Ms. Binnie briefly discussed the dog's evaluation with Simmone. Ms. Binnie put a through-the-fence picture of Odie on Facebook and started networking on Odie's behalf. She received lots of interest and Ms. Binnie went to get a better picture of Odie on the 6th, but couldn't find her and was told she was probably getting spayed. The next day Ms. Binnie went back to PACC to get the better picture and Michelle informed her Odie was put down. Michelle said Odie was stressed and would not stop barking. Ms. Binnie asked Michelle about the dog being deaf and blind. Michelle said there was no indication Odie was blind. Ms. Binnie told Michelle that Odie had been networked on Facebook and that this is not going to look good. Michelle said to avoid this, do not pre-network animals that have not been evaluated, but Ms. Binnie said she discussed the evaluation with Simmone. Michelle said not to network animals that have not been approved by her or Justin and that the evaluation staff doesn't decide whether an animal lives or dies. Odie's owner had died and the next of kin could not keep her. Ms. Binnie was in shock and called Terri Goddard of Cold Wet Noses, who spoke with Michelle, who reportedly admitted that Odie was blind. Saving Odie could have garnered praise and support for PACC. Ms. Binnie has attended focus groups on how to save more dogs. Hours are spent in these meetings, but nothing has come from all the input. How hard would it have been to make a few phone calls for a blind and deaf dog?

Ms. Goddard, from Tucson Cold Wet Nose, said she has been rescuing from PACC for nine years. There have been a lot of disappointments lately and Ms. Goddard said she had e-mailed PACC rescue staff five "preventable points" which she believes can save animal lives at PACC. The e-mail was sent over five weeks ago. The five points are requests for: a once a week list of seniors; a once a week list of small special needs dogs; a heads up on dogs in distress at least once a week; 72 hour notice before aborting dogs or cats and immediate notification on possibly pregnant, pregnant and mothers with babies; and when a purebred animal comes into PACC that information gets to the rescue coordinator / rescue staff so they can contact purebred rescue organizations. Since the e-mail was sent, November 12 was the first time any of these requested changes were implemented, and the immediate notifications on possibly pregnant, pregnant and animals with babies still haven't been implemented, nor have the purebred notifications. Ms. Goddard vented frustration regarding these changes taking so long. She said in April 2013 a meeting was held wherein it was agreed upon that there would be immediate notice on possibly pregnant, pregnant and animals with babies and a 72 hour window before pregnant mothers' babies are aborted. Once an agreement was made it should have been adhered to. A new early networking of high risk animals has been implemented; however,

they are placed on a lengthy daily list. Ms. Goddard appreciates the daily list, but feels animals at high risk for euthanasia should be on a separate e-mailed list. She also said she has experienced resistance to change in dealing with PACC rescue staff.

4. Manager's Report

Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center Chief of External Affairs, reported that Proposition 415 to build a modern animal shelter passed at the recent election. He pointed out a September 23, 2014 *Pima Animal Care Center Cost to Municipalities* memorandum from County Administrator Huckelberry in the Committee's packet as a reference for Mr. Huckelberry using the term "policy of non-euthanasia" as was mentioned at the last Committee meeting. Also included in the packet was a sample of Mr. Huckelberry's November 4, 2014 *Board of Supervisors Communication Regarding Pima Animal Care Center Financing and Legal Opinion Regarding County Obligations* letter to the local cities and towns and a copy of Mr. Huckelberry's November 18 *County Obligation for Animal Care Services Inside Cities and Towns* Board of Supervisors Memorandum, wherein Mr. Huckelberry recommended the Board waive privilege regarding the County Attorney's legal opinion regarding County obligations for provision of animal care services inside cities and towns. Mr. Janes reported privilege was waived on November 18 and he would forward the opinion to the Committee. On November 14 County staff met with city and town representatives regarding funding and support and there is a bit of disagreement on what the municipalities are willing and able to fund; these discussions will continue.

Mr. Neuman asked about, on the October monthly report, why the year-to-date euthanasia "other" number was up compared to last year's; and Mr. Janes replied that the number includes DOAs picked up which is the primary reason for the increase. Mr. Neuman also asked about the decrease in enforcement responses; and Mr. Janes replied the decrease has to do with the nature of calls and staff vacancies, which were held up recently due to an automated management system switch over. Mr. Neuman referred to correspondence from Mr. Huckelberry regarding the Committee's request for more staffing, wherein Mr. Huckelberry tied staffing to fiscal support from municipalities; and Mr. Neuman asked if this translate into a similar dynamic with other departments where staffing is tied to funding from cities and towns. Mr. Janes pointed out that discussions with the municipalities are in early stages and then discussed that PACC is largely unique in that services are tied to governmental agreements, in contrast to most other programs that are not because the authorities and/or responsibilities are codified as assigned solely to the County.

5. Old Business

- Update on July 19, 2014 Motion for Resolution for PACC to Remedy Issues Relating to the Care and Welfare of Pets at PACC – Operations

Mr. Neuman referred to the original motion and past discussion on how staffing was cited as the reason why the 22 items haven't been accomplished. He said there is frustration among the volunteers and pointed out that the adoption program is mostly comprised of volunteers. He added that the volunteer influence is high because they are doing most of the work; and one way for staff to have more control is to not have volunteers so entrenched, doing almost everything.

Mr. Neuman asked Shelter Manager Jose Ocano for an update on progress on the 22 item resolution. Mr. Ocano said staffing hasn't changed, but there have been a number of positive changes. The shelter has transitioned from State inmates to female County inmates for sanitation. The new inmate

workers have better attention to detail and are more compassionate. The shelter is cleaner than it has been for years. The County inmates are supervised and trained by a Senior Corrections Custodian. With the State inmates the shelter supervisors were constantly pulled away to train or direct inmates. This news dynamic requires less involvement by shelter supervisors, who are therefore freed up to perform their duties. Mr. Ocano said he now also supervises the clinic and the clinic supervisor is being moved to the shelter. There was a meeting with volunteers on kennel cards, and a new system has just been implemented. He said PACC still has more animals than can be cared for and things still get missed, but things are improving. Kristin Barney, Chief of Operations, added that the clinic supervisor change is an example of staff looking for ways to restructure to be more efficient. She added that things don't typically change immediately, but that is not because management doesn't care; it just takes time to get better which is what everyone wants. Mr. Neuman acknowledged that PACC is definitely short staffed compared to other similarly situated operations.

- Vet Holds and Confiscation Holds – Processes, Procedures and Ways to Shorten Length of Hold Time (Chair Neuman/PACC Management Team)

Ms. Schwerin referred to discussion on this topic during the last Committee meeting wherein Dr. Lilley said felony cases take longer. Ms. Schwerin contended this shouldn't be the case; there are no laws requiring such; and asserted that these animals coming from an abusive situation then ending up in a lengthy hold amounts to double suffering. Mr. Janes replied that animals in felony cases generally have more severe issues which take longer to recover from and it takes longer to build the case. He added that forensically there are issues such as the chain of evidence and credibility of evidence that are better served by keeping the animal at PACC. Ms. Schwerin said she admires PACC's record on court cases and added that the courts trust what PACC officers say and the details they record, so it isn't necessary to keep the animals physically at PACC.

Mr. Neuman said he didn't understand much of why animals are on the holds report. Mr. Janes spent time breaking down what all the numbers mean and some of the sequences of events related to holds. Many of the holds are on animals with enforcement issues being held for the mandatory three to seven days depending on whether or not it is owned. If an owner does not come forth during the mandatory hold time, then the animal is released for adoption. One of the challenges in understanding the report is that the report is just a snapshot of holds when the report was run and does not include what happened since; then once the hold is resolved it is dropped from the report and the Committee doesn't see the outcome. Mr. Janes explained the two vet holds. One was a cat that died and the hold should have been deleted then, and the other is a dead skunk and PACC is waiting on results from a rabies test. In response to a question Mr. Janes indicated that the owner, in a mass impound case on the report, served with a bond on November 13 has until November 23 to pay the bond. Mr. Janes acknowledged that the Committee's interest in the holds has caused staff to look more closely at processes and things are being attended to more closely. In response to a question Mr. Neuman acknowledged the current hold list is noticeably smaller than those in the past.

Ms. Schwerin revisited her concern about animals being held in felony cases and said that officers' testimonies and pictures do a good job in court. Mr. Janes said there is great benefit in showing what it takes to rehabilitate an animal and the current practice was requested by attorneys. However, staff will visit with our attorneys regarding Ms. Schwerin's concerns. Mr. Neuman suggested foster especially in cases where the evidence is the weight of the animal. Mr. Jacobs said if a judge orders PACC to keep an animal, then there is no choice; and if a prosecutor requests such, then in his opinion we should do as requested. Ms. Hubbard said when the detectives say hang onto an animal then her

organization does so; but added that they do have some special fosters who are familiar with special expectations such as bringing the animal to court. There were questions and concerns about the length of time an animal is held in these cases and comments on delays that drag these cases out. Mr. Neuman requested this item be on next month's agenda.

- Committee's Request to Add Additional Field Officers and Shelter Staff

This item was touched on briefly under the Manager's Report, item 4.

6. New Business

- Rescue Program

Ms. Hubbard related an incident that happened about eight years ago wherein the Sheriff's Department, PACC and the Humane Society of Southern Arizona collaborated on a horrible hording case involving over 800 dogs. Most of the dogs were females and most of them were pregnant or lactating. In response to this case veterinary collaborators worked tirelessly to get the pregnant dogs spayed before they could have puppies. Ms. Hubbard stated that she cannot understand why PACC allows pregnant dogs to go out to foster, saying, "Your job is prevention." Ms. Schwerin said she agreed completely. Kristin Barney, Chief of Operations, said there has been considerable discussion on this topic over the past six weeks or so; acknowledged it is tough topic; and acknowledged that many shelters feel the way Ms. Hubbard feels. Ms. Hubbard stressed it's not a feeling; it's a job; it's prevention. Ms. Barney said staff would be open to listen to the Committee's input and is moving toward a decision. Ms. Hubbard said, "There is no decision to make; your job is prevention; your job is to keep these animals from being born." She acknowledged that groups want to save lives, but added that they don't have 24,000 animals to manage every year. Dr. Kaluzniacki added that in her work with the Animal League of Green Valley her policy is to immediately spay any pregnant dog. If the puppies are viable, then fine, if not, then she is in agreement with Ms. Hubbard. Dr. Smith asked how close to term puppies are viable. Dr. Kaluzniacki said pregnancies are about nine weeks and puppies can survive at about eight weeks.

Mr. Ocano interjected that he sometimes goes against his professional opinion to appease rescues and volunteers. Ms. Barney said PACC is trying to be respectful with valuable rescue partners and volunteers, but has recently received a threatening e-mail and has been called names and cursed at for decisions PACC is attempting to make. She requested that a Code of Conduct Agreement be put on next month's agenda; acknowledged that communications have broken down; and said she wants to establish respectful communications from all sides.

Dr. Francisco García, Health Department Director, said there is a struggle to balance input from volunteers, rescue groups, the Advisory Committee and other stakeholders and what limits should be in place needs to be enshrined in policy. Dr. García provided a handout at the meeting and referred to the "big picture." The handout included charts and figures showing since year 2010/2011 adoptions have increased 57 percent and special needs adoptions have increased by 109 percent. The role of rescue has evolved over the same time period. The total number of animals rescued has gone down, but the number of rescue partners working with PACC has increased. Individual rescue groups make decisions on their priorities and what animals they can take and network. Dr. García said that as policy evolves some things will have to be off the table and the pregnant pets issue is likely to be one of those items. There have been struggles with Chameleon (PACC's electronic management system)

and IT to try to give rescues access to information so they can find out what animals are available, within a well-defined set of policies and procedures. He stressed the main priorities as decreasing intake and increasing adoptions. Dr. García has attended meetings facilitated by No Kill Pima County in which a number of ideas were exchanged. Staff now needs to decide what ideas are feasible and impactful for PACC. There was discussion on whom, including PACC staff and/or the Committee, should take what actions to establish a policy. Dr. García said staff has a draft; has been getting stakeholder input; and will have the draft ready for the next meeting.

Ms. Schwerin replied to Dr. García's comment about decreasing intake and increasing adoptions. She said one way intakes are being decreased is by leaving animals with abusive owners and referred to welfare cases in the packet. She indicated a count of 52 citations issued for the ten Committee packet cases, but most of the animals were allowed to remain with the owners. Regarding increasing adoptions, she said new adopters are not screened well enough to ensure animals go to a suitable home and cited a packet welfare case that was an animal acquired from PACC. She recommended massive spay and neutering, specifically requiring all pit bulls be spayed and neutered, and more broadly, that laws require all dogs and cats be spayed and neutered.

The motion was made and seconded (Jacobs/Kaluzniacki) that the rescue discussion be tabled until the next meeting. The motion carried (11-0).

After the vote Mr. Neuman stated that people can send him opinions on the current topic for inclusion in the next meeting's packet.

- Criteria required for PACC to Respond and Investigate a Service/Welfare Issue Wherein an Animal is in Distress

Ms. Emptage related an experience wherein a dog with rectal bleeding was at a veterinary facility and the veterinarian recommended euthanasia. The owner had no money and wanted to take the dog home to say good-by, but the animal was clearly in distress. Ms. Emptage offered to be present for the euthanasia, but the owner said they could handle it without her. Ms. Emptage offered to have the animal cremated at a pet cemetery and the owner agreed. Ms. Emptage filed a report with PACC. The next day she found out the dog was taken home and the owner had given a false address. Per Mr. Janes, PACC staff had followed up with the clinic, but spoke to someone other than the treating veterinarian, who inaccurately indicated that the situation was not very bad. The point of the discussion was, what can be done when someone sees an animal in distress? Mr. Janes indicated that anyone can call in to report an animal in distress and PACC will investigate; he added that getting the information to the supervisor is the best course of action. PACC enforcement is still investigating the case discussed, but does not have an address. In response to questions, Enforcement Supervisor Jose Chavez said that applicable neglect citations would be issued to the animal's owner regardless of where the animal ends up, and that a search warrant could be sought to help bring the dog forward.

7. <u>Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of October and Recent Holds Snapshot</u>

Ms. Schwerin referred to welfare case three, in which there were ten citations for ten animals without water and the owner relinquished all but two of the animals. She asked why the owner was allowed to keep the two and if they were spayed and/or neutered. Mr. Janes said that the owner showed some responsibility and appeared to have too many animals for him to handle so he kept just the two. Mr. Janes also said he would check to confirm on the spay/neuter question. Ms. Schwerin asked about

whether or not redeemed animals are spayed and neutered; and Mr. Janes replied that owners are given an option to redeem their animal intact, but they pay much higher fees. Mr. Neuman said the Committee had in the past recommended that all animals that end up at PACC be spayed or neutered. Mr. Janes acknowledged that direction was recommended, but said it requires an ordinance that he and Ms. Schwerin have been working on. Ms. Schwerin said they hope to have the ordinance done by the end of the year. On welfare case five, which involved three dogs and a duck; two animals were euthanized and two were adopted, Ms. Schwerin asked which animals were adopted. Mr. Janes will check. In welfare case seven a dog was found dead; it was tied out in the sun as punishment. Ms. Schwerin asked why this wasn't a felony case. Mr. Janes said that if it is not a felony now, that option is still open and the County Attorney can take that route. Mr. Neuman questioned why the second dog, Goofy, was left with the owner; and Ms. Emptage contended that the owner in this case should not be allowed to own animals for as long as is legally permitted. Mr. Janes said he would discuss the ownership ban recommendation with the prosecuting attorney and he would have someone check on the second dog. Ms. Schwerin pointed out that the dog in welfare case eight, which was on a tie out and jumped the fence, was adopted from PACC. Ms. Barrick added that tie outs are an on-going problem, are illegal, and there needs to be some type of education. Ms. Emptage pointed out that it is not illegal to sell tie outs, but she hoped to gain some leverage to influence stores to not sell tie outs or at least post information that they are illegal. Mr. Marshall said he had talked to the General Manager of Petco about tie outs and was told they would not change their displays. Ms. Emptage requested an agenda item for next month regarding an ordinance requiring posted signage about tie outs being illegal. Ms. Schwerin referred to welfare case ten as an example of how well the officers document a terrible situation. She asked about the follow up welfare check and Mr. Janes said it was conducted unannounced and the owner was found to be in compliance. Ms. Schwerin asked about how many welfare cases there are and how the ten given to the Committee are selected. Mr. Janes said there were 2,404 enforcement calls last month, but those include waste and noise complaints. Enforcement selects ten cases they feel are representative of what they see in the field.

8. Donations: A total of 1,263 individuals gave $39,086.28 in donations during the month of October.

   Mr. Neuman commented that the donations now are far beyond the few thousands PACC was receiving a few years ago.

9. Complaints and Commendations: There were three complaints and no commendations received by staff during October.

   There was no discussion on this item.

10. Call to the Audience

    There were three speakers at this call to the audience: Karen Pope, Tiffany Rosler and Terri Goddard.

    Ms. Pope said she has been a foster with In the Arms of Angels for the last year and a half. Her family takes pregnant moms from PACC one at a time. Mother and puppies are all spayed or neutered and vaccinated, and then the animals are adopted out by the rescue organization through a strong application process. They only place dogs as indoor pets. Ms. Pope said she agrees that spay abort is better than having puppies born at PACC, but through a home environment healthy spayed and neutered puppies are able to go to households that could have purchased unaltered, unvaccinated pets

from breeders with hundreds of dogs. She said they are asking for 72 hours noticed to give these dogs a chance. So far her family has helped five mothers and 48 puppies and kittens.

Ms. Rosler said she agreed with Ms. Pope. She didn't feel PACC was a safe environment for puppies, but fosters with one mother per home are safe. Ms. Rosler related that she adopted a puppy from PACC in 2009 and it died a month later of distemper. She said she got into rescue specifically to help pregnant animals so someone like her, who doesn't want to purchase from a breeder or puppy mill, has the option to adopt a rescue puppy.

Ms. Goddard said she was responding to Dr. García saying rescue is down. She said, in her opinion, rescue is down because of the attitude of, and lack of communication from, the Rescue Coordinator. Ms. Goddard said she used to only rescue from PACC, but now rescues from Pinal County, Maricopa County and Wilcox because they communicate and go the extra mile. She added that she believes special needs adoptions are up because of efforts by the volunteers and rescues, not rescue staff.

11. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items

Mr. Janes announced that PACC's holiday dog walk is on, beginning at 8:30 on Thanksgiving Day.

There were a number of proposed agenda items requested throughout the meeting.

12. Next Meeting – December 18, 2014

Due to the crowded attendance at the November meeting and interest expressed in the December meeting, the motion was made and seconded (Emptage/Marshall) that the December meeting be held at the Abrams building. The motion carried (11-0).

13. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 8:06 pm

NOTICE
PUBLIC MEETING OF THE
PIMA COUNTY ANIMAL CARE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

November 20, 2014 – 5:30 p.m.
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Road
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Admin Building
(520) 243-7729

Functions of the Committee

1. Serve in an advisory capacity to the Board, and to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center; and
2. Review and evaluate the operations of the Center to make recommendations in writing to the Board for the formulation of guidelines to assure that:
   A. The Center's operations are conducted in the best interest of the public health and safety; and
   B. The Center keeps pace with the most modern practices and procedures of animal care and welfare; and
3. Review complaints from the public concerning policies of the Center and make recommendations for resolution to the proper authority.

AGENDA	
1.	Call to Order • Roll Call • Establishment of Quorum and Pledge of Allegiance
2.	Adoption of Minutes: • October 16, 2014 Meeting
3.	Call to the Audience
4.	Manager's Report
5.	Old Business • Update on July 19, 2014 Motion for Resolution for PACC to Remedy Issues Relating to the Care and Welfare of Pets at PACC - Operations (Chair Neuman/PACC Management Team) • Vet Holds and Confiscation Holds – Processes, Procedures and Ways to Shorten Length of Hold Time (Chair Neuman/PACC Management Team) • Committee's Request to Add Additional Field Officers and Shelter Staff
6.	New Business • Rescue Program • Criteria required for PACC to Respond and Investigate a Service/Welfare Issue Wherein an Animal is in Distress
7.	Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of October and Recent Holds Snapshot

	Welfare		Dangerous Dogs	
	A14-156429	A14-157163	A14-157774	A14-158093
	A14-152111	A14-157854	A14-155981	
	A14-156877	A14-157743	A14-144181	
	A14-158825	A14-157882	A14-143299	
	A14-152716	A14-158735	A14-157134	

8.	Donations: A total of 1,263 individuals gave $39,086.28 in donations during the month of October.
9.	Complaints and Commendations: There were three complaints and no commendations received by staff during October.
10.	Call to the Audience
11.	Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items
12.	Next Meeting – December 18, 2014
13.	Adjournment

Copies of this agenda are available upon request at the Pima County Health Department, 3950 S. Country Club Road, by calling 243-7729 or at www.pima.gov/animalcare. The Committee may discuss and take action on any item on the agenda. At the conclusion of an open call to the public Committee members may only respond to criticism made; ask staff to review the matter raised; or ask to include the matter on a future agenda.

Should you require ADA accommodations, please contact the Pima County Health Department at 724-7729 five (5) days prior to the meeting.

Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee
Minutes
October 16, 2014
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745

Draft

1. Call to Order

Mr. Emptage called the meeting to order at 5:31 pm

- Attendance

Present:
Nancy Emptage, Vice-Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health
Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center, Ex-Offico

Absent:
Tamara Barrick, Foundation for Animals in Risk
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, ASPCA of AZ, Inc
Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
Angela Spencer, City of Tucson

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes

- Adoption of the September 18, 2014 Meeting Minutes

Ms. Emptage pointed out one typo. The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Smith) that the September 18, 2014 meeting minutes be adopted as written, with typo corrected. The motion carried (6-0).

3. Call to the Audience

Kim Silver addressed the Committee regarding the relationship between the Pima Animal Care Center and rescue organizations. Per No Kill Pima County, the 2012 rescue rate was 12 percent of PACC animals, but currently the rate is down to nine percent. PACC's animal numbers are at an all-time high. With increased medical care and a budding foster program PACC staff implies that rescue numbers should be declining. Ms. Silver disagrees and thinks rescue numbers should be increasing. She expressed that PACC should provide job descriptions, as were requested more than once, for positions such as Live Release Manager and Rescue Coordinator, which imply certain functions to the public. She asserted that the current Rescue Coordinator's functions are drastically different that the former's.

Ms. Silver said feedback from rescue partners Tucson Cold Wet Noses (TCWN) and In the Arms of Angels (AOA) indicates the consensus among rescue partners is that it is difficult to work with PACC and receive accurate information regarding PACC animals. The previous Rescue Coordinator sent out categorized e-mails about certain types of shelter animals such as seniors, pregnant dogs or small special needs dogs. She also sent out information on animals not faring well. Her e-mails were very helpful. Recently TCWN and AOA recommended these types of categorized e-mails recommence and that efforts be made to rebuild relationships with rescue organizations. Their suggestions have reportedly been met with a tremendous amount of resistance from PACC's Live Release Manager.

Ms. Silver expressed concern that PACC does not follow through with commitments to stakeholders. Meetings and discussions are held and agreements are made, but PACC does not keep their agreements. She cited an agreement back in April for pregnant dogs to be placed on a 72-hour rescue list before they would be spay aborted; this agreement has not been followed. She added that AOA possibly will no longer be pulling animals from PACC. She reported significant resistance in the rescue community to work with PACC and said that some rescuers are now pulling animals from other shelters outside of Pima County because they are easier to work with.

Ms. Silver relayed the following list of requests from rescues regarding what they are asking from PACC.

1. Weekly list of seniors at PACC
2. Weekly list of small dogs at PACC
3. Weekly notification of animals in distress
4. 72-hour notice before aborting pregnant dogs
5. Immediate notification of a pregnant, possibly pregnant or mom with babies entering PACC

Ms. Silver also expressed concern about rescue alter certificates and the risk of rescues adopting out unaltered animals.

4. <u>Manager's Report</u>

Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center Chief of External Affairs, reported that the trend of fewer intakes continues and more animals are being released alive. The rescue numbers are down compared to last year, but adoptions and to a lesser degree returns to owners are higher than last year.

During discussion Mr. Janes said the County Administrator has adopted a no euthanasia policy by which no adoptable, rehabilitatable or treatable animal is put down; and referred to the Asilomar Accords as a source for this policy and its terminology. Ms. Schwerin asserted that there are more adoptable animals than suitable homes; cautioned against adopting animals out to cruel owners / poor homes; referred to a packet welfare case wherein animals adopted from PACC were emaciated; and said an animal is better off dead than being in a home where it is badly mistreated. Ms. Hubbard added that she has recently spoken to four of the five Board of Supervisors members regarding the PACC bond proposal and was encouraged to hear two of them interject that we can't keep killing animals. Mr. Janes said the Center is using due diligence to make every effort to place animals in suitable homes. Yes there are challenges and returns, but PACC's live release rate has gone from approximately 40 percent to over 80 percent and we cannot go back to a euthanizing policy.

DRAFT

- Community Cat Project

The City of Tucson very recently voted to amend their code to allow for the Community Cat Project to move forward in the City. There are ongoing financial discussions with the cities and towns; however, the County is committed to proceeding with this project. Also PACC is continually seeking grants, donations and funding avenues to fund this project and other PACC objectives.

- Bond Educational Opportunities

Mr. Janes provided two County Animal Care Center bond information sheets and reported that he has been a speaker at bond educational opportunities, including with a Green Valley / Sahuarita council and the Oro Valley Chamber of Commerce.

5. Old Business

- Update on July 19, 2014 Motion for Resolution for PACC to Remedy Issues Relating to the Care and Welfare of Pets at PACC - Operations

This item was deferred to next month's agenda.

- Vet Holds and Confiscation Holds – Processes, Procedures and Ways to Shorten Length of Hold Time (Chair Neuman/PACC Management Team)

Mr. Janes pointed out there were no veterinary holds in the report only enforcement holds. In response to a question, Dr. Bonnie Lilley, Chief of Veterinarian Services, discussed factors influencing the length of a hold. Felonies take more time than misdemeanors; and some health conditions take longer than others. An animal with low weight might not take a long time to recover; in contrast, mange can take one to two months to treat. Dr. Lilley talked about how if an animal improves dramatically at PACC, which she described as a minimum standard of care, then a court can say that the owner was negligent. She described an example where before and after photos resulted in a guilty verdict against a pet owner.

- Post Adoption Medical Care (Chair Neuman/Ms. Emptage)

Ms. Emptage receives numerous calls from pet owners who say they cannot afford veterinary care. She suggested that some individuals hear about a free veterinary visit and think it means free veterinary care, which is not the case. She voiced that being able to obtain medications from PACC at cost or elsewhere at a discount would be helpful. She emphasized that adopters need to be educated on risks and responsibilities of pet ownership going forward. Ms. Hubbard said the Humane Society was told they could not have their veterinarian see pets they adopted out because they are not licensed as a full service public veterinary clinic. Pet insurance, possibly covered for two weeks by PACC, was touched on as an avenue to pursue, but Mr. Janes said pet insurance does not cover pre-existing conditions.

DRAFT

6. New Business

- The dates/name/location of organizations the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) has visited both announced and unannounced to verify accordance with the ordinance passed on August 5, 2014 regarding animals received from PACC.

Animal Care Advocate Justin Gallick said since the code change PACC Staff has visited six rescue foster locations. Five passed and one, Siberian Husky Rescue, failed; and PACC is no longer working with them. The five visited that passed are Big Heads Bigger Hearts, Hope Animal Shelter, Sol Dog Rescue, Pima Paws for Life and Cold Wet Noses. Poor cleanliness was the reason for the one fail. Discussion brought out that, due to lack of resources, PACC is currently only planning to visit one foster per rescue.

7. Animal Welfare, Dangerous Animal Cases and Holds for the Month of August

Ms. Schwerin cited welfare case 2, in which an owner was cited for tie-out, no water, no license and no rabies vaccination, as an example of a poor owner getting her dog back. In response to a question, Dr. Lilley confirmed that law requires the rabies vaccine be given by a veterinarian, not an owner. Ms. Schwerin referred to welfare case 1, in which an owner was cited for tie-outs, no shelter, no water, no license and no vaccination, as an example of an owner she doubts would ever become a good owner. Mr. Jacobs requested information on authority to confiscate and not return an animal. Mr. Janes discussed judgment calls based on physical conditions, the condition of the animal(s) and interactions with owners and said the code says the officer "may" confiscate. Ms. Schwerin cited welfare case 5, in which two dogs were found to be emaciated, as an example of animals adopted out of PACC into a home that was not a suitable home.

8. Donations: A total of 1,269 individuals gave a total of $44,365.53 in donations during the month of September.

There was no discussion on this item.

9. Complaints and Commendations There were four complaints and one commendation, plus a fifth complaint that eventually became a second commendation, received by staff during September.

There was no discussion on this item.

10. Call to the Audience

Tiffany Rosler addressed the Committee regarding PACC interactions with rescue partners. Recently Ms. Rosler was notified by a volunteer, at 9:11 pm, about a pregnant Chihuahua. Ms. Rosler was at PACC at 8:00 am the next morning and had the dog out by 10:29 am. She said this is an example of how quickly rescue personnel can act when given proper information and said the rescue was a result of a volunteer's communication, not the Rescue Coordinator's. She said the nightly rescue list has numerous errors and one recently almost cost a dog being adopted. The dog was pregnant and was spay aborted. A rescue partner was turned away twice because Chameleon was not update and still listed the dog as pregnant. Ms. Rosler also requested name tags for staff and said name tags will promote staff accountability.

DRAFT

11. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items

Mr. Jacobs requested the rescue / PACC relationship concerns brought up by both speakers from the audience be added to the next agenda. His request included copies of the narrative Ms. Silver read from.

Mr. Janes announced that PACC's accomplishments in the ASPCA Challenge over the summer resulted in PACC receiving a check for $5,000.

Mr. Gallick said PACC received an $8,000 grant to facilitate tent events at four different PetSmarts and will be bringing adoptable dogs to these local PetSmarts over the next two days.

12. Next Meeting – November 20, 2014

There was no discussion on this item.

13. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 6:52 pm

DRAFT

PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
ADVISORY COMMITTEE
OCTOBER 2014 OPERATIONAL REPORT

	THIS MONTH			THIS YEAR TO DATE			LAST YEAR TO DATE			YEAR TO YEAR	
	TUCSON	COUNTY	TOTAL	TUCSON	COUNTY	TOTAL	TUCSON	COUNTY	TOTAL	DELTA	%+/-
SHELTER OPERATIONS											
ALL ANIMALS HANDLED											
DOGS	734	644	1,378	2,879	2,656	5,535	2,904	2,354	5,258		
CATS	435	257	692	1,575	1,134	2,709	1,961	1,216	3,177		
OTHERS	23	40	63	134	233	367	123	240	363		
TOTAL ANIMALS HANDLED	1,192	941	2,133	4,588	4,023	8,611	4,988	3,810	8,798	-187	-2%
Live Animals Handled	1,013	822	1,835	3,795	3,431	7,226	4,458	3,431	7,889	-663	-8%
IMPOUNDED ANIMALS											
ADOPTED											
DOGS	277	231	508	1,065	1,047	2,112	881	720	1,601		
CATS	144	113	257	626	528	1,154	422	349	771		
OTHER	1	1	2	2	8	10	18	12	30		
TOTAL ADOPTED	422	345	767	1,693	1,583	3,276	1,321	1,081	2,402	874	36%
RETURNED TO OWNER											
DOGS	97	63	160	340	272	612	305	241	546		
CATS	1	7	8	15	29	44	16	25	41		
OTHER	0	2	2	0	5	5	3	11	14		
TOTAL RETURNED	98	72	170	355	306	661	324	277	601	60	10%
RESCUED											
DOGS	87	93	180	352	387	739	447	490	937		
CATS	63	60	123	241	203	444	506	344	850		
OTHER	1	0	1	9	23	32	12	24	36		
TOTAL RESCUED	151	153	304	602	613	1,215	965	858	1,823	-608	-33%
***TOTAL LIVE RELEASES**	671	570	1,241	2,650	2,502	5,152	2,610	2,216	4,826	326	7%
****TOTAL LIVE RELEASE RATE**	83%	84%	84%	82%	84%	83%			72%		
EUTHANIZED											
DOGS	184	149	333	648	555	1,203	809	659	1,468		
CATS	35	21	56	156	112	268	781	440	1,221		
OTHER	6	6	12	36	49	85	20	42	62		
TOTAL EUTHANIZED	225	176	401	840	716	1,556	1610	1141	2751	-1195	-43%
(-)Owner Requsted Euthanasia	88	70	158	259	229	488			850		
Adjusted Total Euthanasia	137	106	243	581	487	1,068			1,901		
***EUTHANASIA RATE	17%	16%	16%	18%	16%	17%			28%		
OTHER	219	147	366	1,047	771	1,818	631	456	1,087	731	67%
ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS											
Welfare Responses	225	120	345	792	357	1149	847	386	1233	-84	-7%
ENFORCEMENT CALLS FOR SERVICE	1,459	945	2,404	5,737	3,475	9,212	5,950	3,801	9,751	-539	-6%
LICENSING OPERATIONS											
ALTERED	3,286	3,983	7,269	13,702	15,458	29,160	14,154	17,787	31,941		
UNALTERED	159	230	389	822	929	1,751	997	1,394	2,391		
OTHER	50	79	129	247	362	609	325	406	731		
TOTAL SOLD	3,495	4,292	7,787	14,771	16,749	31,520	15,476	19,587	35,063	-3,543	-10%

*Total Live Releases(TLR)=Total Adopted+Total Returned+Total Rescued
**Live Release Rate=TLR/(TLR+Adjusted Total Euthanasia)
***Euthanasia Rate=(Adjusted Total Euthanasia)/(TLR+Adjusted Total Euthanasia)

MEMORANDUM

Date: September 23, 2014

To: Jan Lesher
Deputy County Administrator
for Medical and Health Services

Dr. Francisco Garcia, Director
Health Department

From: C.H. Huckelberry
County Administrator

Re: **Pima Animal Care Center Cost to Municipalities**

As you know, based on Board of Supervisors and staff leadership, the County has invested a significant amount of new resources in the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) to make it a more humane facility, reversing the euthanasia rate within two to three years. This is a result of the investments the Board has been willing to make. I firmly believe our investments have been well made and that our policy of non-euthanasia is the best and most humane response to this issue.

Recently, some jurisdictions have voiced concerns over their share of these increased costs. These increased costs are primarily driven by the County's decision to pursue a non-euthanasia policy for the care of animals. Our decision will remain unchanged and we will continue to incur these costs over and above what has previously been spent by the County on animal care functions.

Municipalities should be given the opportunity to choose a less costly option; therefore, please develop a euthanasia option for municipalities. Such a policy would mean that animals taken or received from a certain municipal jurisdiction would be euthanized at the earliest possible time pursuant to the existing County policy and state law regarding such. This would allow certain costs to be reduced for municipalities for the provision of animal care services. While this is not a policy I would recommend for the County, it should be an option available to municipalities. When you have the basic outline of such a policy, please ask the Animal Care Advisory Committee to review it before we ask the Board of Supervisors for direction.

Choosing a euthanasia policy would allow the municipality to avoid the spay/neuter fees embedded in our operating costs. In addition, kennel space requirements would be reduced, as would medical care expenses, thereby reducing their costs. If the municipality chooses this option, I would ask they train one or more of their staff in euthanasia practices, as I do

not desire to place on our staff the increased emotional burden of carrying out additional euthanasia.

Finally, municipalities do have the option to operate their own independent animal care facilities. We would certainly assist any jurisdiction that would want to be responsible for its own animal care services.

CHH/anc

c: The Honorable Chair and Members, Pima County Board of Supervisors

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S OFFICE
PIMA COUNTY GOVERNMENTAL CENTER
130 W. CONGRESS, FLOOR 10, TUCSON, AZ 85701-1317
(520) 724-8661 FAX (520) 724-8171

C.H. HUCKELBERRY
County Administrator

November 4, 2014

Martha Durkin, Interim City Manager
City of Tucson
P. O. Box 27210
Tucson, Arizona 85726-7210

Re: **Board of Supervisors Communication Regarding Pima Animal Care Center Financing and the Legal Opinion Regarding County Obligations**

Dear Ms. Durkin:

I will be asking the Board of Supervisors to waive Attorney/Client Privilege so the Legal Opinion of the County Attorney can be released to the public. If the Board waives the privilege on November 18, 2014, I will immediately provide you with a copy of the opinion for your information.

In addition, I understand Deputy County Administrator Jan Lesher and Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) staff will meet with you to discuss budget and finance issues as they relates to supporting the PACC facility and program in Pima County. We will be directing our information and correspondence to your attention to avoid potential lapses in communication.

Sincerely,

C.H. Huckelberry
County Administrator

CHH/anc

c: Jan Lesher, Deputy County Administrator for Medical and Health Services
Dr. Francisco Garcia, Director, Health Department
Kim Janes, External Operations Manager, Pima Animal Care Center

Board of Supervisors Memorandum

November 18, 2014

County Obligation for Animal Care Services Inside Cities and Towns

Introduction

Pima County provides animal care services to incorporated cities and towns through intergovernmental agreements (IGAs). The County operates the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) and is the management entity responsible for developing policy and procedures regarding animal care services. The extent to which they are provided and the level of service and all operational aspects of providing animal care services are decided by the County. For your information, attached is a copy of the present IGA with the City of Tucson.

Concerns Over Increased Costs

As you know, cities and towns, including the City of Tucson, have expressed concern over the increased animal care costs being incurred by the jurisdictions. These increased costs are in direct response to a changing management philosophy of how the animal care facility is operated. We have successfully transitioned from a euthanasia model to one of adoption. A few years ago, only 1 in 4 animals going into the PACC was ever adopted. Today, this number is 4 out of 5. This change in operational philosophy, generally demanded by the community at large and supported by the Animal Care Advisory Committee and all animal welfare groups, has resulted in increased costs, primarily in the following areas:

Personnel and Increased Staffing

A number of new personnel have been hired, and these positions all relate to the adoption model. An additional veterinarian has been employed, as has a development director who has dramatically increased donations to PACC; and a number of personnel were added to kennel management due to the increasing number of animals housed each day at the facility. It has grown on average from 700 a few years ago to over 900 today.

Increased Kennel Space, Including Utility Costs

As the Board knows, a temporary solution to the severe overcrowding in the existing kennels was implemented using a tent. This tent provided sufficient additional kennel capacity to facilitate the adoption model; but in implementing the tent, a total of $445,600 of capital cost has been incurred to date. An additional $29,400 in capital funds will be allocated to remaining and related issues such as drainage and electrical requirements. In addition, the tent has a much higher operating cost per kennel based on utilities needed to heat and cool the facility. The tent is approximately 7,200 square feet and costs approximately $8,000 per year more for costs associated with operations and maintenance than kennel space in the existing facility. In addition, the tent costs $38,232 annually to heat, cool and clean (water).

Spay and Neuter Program

The only long-term viable solution to pet overpopulation is an effective spay/neuter program advanced through community education and sufficient funding to carry out the program. Prior to 2008, there were few funds dedicated to spay and neuter. In 2004, the Board designated $20,000 from the Contingency Fund for spay/neuter and did so again in 2006. In 2008, the County budgeted $100,000; in 2009 increased it to $200,000; and in 2010 increased it to $220,000 per year. This year, funding was increased to $600,000.

Recognizing the significant importance of spay/neuter programs, the County increased licensing fees from $12 to $15 in 2009 and dedicated the increased revenue to a spay/neuter program. This increased our spay/neuter investment from $100,000 to $200,000. The County, believing other jurisdictions would also see the benefit of the long-term investment in spay/neuter, asked other jurisdictions to make similar contributions. The only jurisdiction that did so was the Town of Oro Valley. Recognizing that voluntary contributions by jurisdictions would not increase spay/neuter funding, I directed that this cost be embedded as an operational cost of PACC. Hence, it would then be apportioned back to each jurisdiction in proportion to their use of animal care services.

Investing now in spay and neuter programs will, in the relative short term of 5 to 10 years, significantly reduce pet overpopulation, as well as reduce the annual operating and maintenance expenses of the animal care function operated regionally by Pima County on behalf of the County and the cities and towns within the County.

Benefits of the spay/neuter program are obvious when looking at annual intake statistics. Attachment 1 shows that during the last few years, when the program funding was increased, annual intakes decreased from 29,516 in 2010 to 24,332 in 2013.

Legal Obligations of the County to Provide Animal Care Services Inside Cities, Towns and Municipalities

A question was raised by the City of Tucson regarding the County's obligations inside cities and towns, specifically regarding the public health and welfare functions of animal care. Meaning, if the County has statutory obligations inside cities and towns, some costs would be borne by the County as overall operating expenses rather than those expenses being apportioned to the City of Tucson.

To determine this responsibility, I asked the County Attorney to provide a written legal opinion; this opinion is dated September 29, 2014. In order to release this opinion to the public, I will be asking the Board of Supervisors to waive attorney/client privilege so that all parties are aware of the conclusions in this legal opinion regarding the County's obligations to provide animal care services inside cities, towns and municipalities.

Timely Notice to Municipalities, Cities and Towns of Pending Increases in Animal Care Costs

Much has been said about the County's notice of the increasing cost of animal care services due to our transition to an adoption animal care model. The County's correspondence and interaction with municipalities and jurisdictions regarding these costs is extensive and has occurred continuously. They have occurred primarily between the staffs involved in these matters, with limited information directed to Managers or Mayors and Councils. With regard to the City of Tucson, a total of 7 communications were provided to various staff regarding these cost increases. In fact, the City has, on at least two occasions, discussed the increasing cost of spay/neuter services at the Mayor and Council level.

Concerned over these rising increases, the Marana Town Manager called for a special meeting and invited other city and town managers to the meeting. Unfortunately, other than the Marana Town Manager and staff, only the Oro Valley Town Manager attended the meeting. Staff presented the cost information again and made a PowerPoint Presentation that thoroughly identified the costs and their allocation. Notice by the County of these increased costs has obviously been provided to the jurisdictions.

Recommendation

I recommend the Board of Supervisors:

1. Waive privilege regarding the County Attorney's September 29, 2014 Legal Opinion regarding the obligations of the County inside cities, towns and municipalities for the provision of animal care services.

2. Direct staff to continue to negotiate with all cities and towns to reach intergovernmental agreements that fund the increasing cost of animal care services by December 31, 2014.

Respectfully submitted,

C. Huckelberry

C.H. Huckelberry
County Administrator

CHH/mjk – October 31, 2014

Attachment

c: Jan Lesher, Deputy County Administrator for Medical and Health Services
Dr. Francisco Garcia, Director, Health Department

ATTACHMENT 1

Fiscal Year	2013/14	2012/13	2011/12	2010/11	*2009/10	**2008/09	2007/08
County Community Spay/ Neuter Support	$220,000	$220,000	$220,000	$220,000	$220,000	$200,000	$100,000
Intakes	24,332	26,593	28,193	29,516	27,641	27,243	21,446
Euthanasia Rate Percentage	24	36	45	53	51	56	61
Average Number of Pets per Day**	877	761	795	771	735	----	----
Live Releases	13,752	12,404	11,345	10,542	8,918	10,161	8,163

*County increased licensing fees by $3 and applied increase to spay neuter support.

**Humane Society of Southern Arizona implemented a drop-off fee, which may have contributed to a significant increase in PACC intake numbers.

Note: The average number of pets per day was not recorded prior to mid 2008/09.

I am speaking to the advisory committee today because of my growing concern for the breakdown in productive life saving partnerships between PACC and approved rescue partners. When No Kill Pima County first examined the data for PACC for the fiscal year 2012 one of the strongest components of the No Kill equation in Pima County was PACC's partnerships with rescue organizations. In 2012 approved rescue partners rescued 12% of PACC animals. Today that number is 9%.

The number of animals in PACC's care is at an all time high. With increased medical care and a budding foster care program PACC staff imply that rescue numbers should be declining. I disagree. It should be growing. I have spoken to the heads of two of the largest and historically most active PACC rescue partners Tucson Cold Wet Noses (TCWN) and In the Arms of Angels (AOA). I plan to share some of their feedback with you today. In essence they tell me the consensus among the rescue community is that it is very difficult to work with PACC and get ACCURATE information regarding the animals within the facility.

Before I do that I would like to preface that feedback with some of my own. If PACC is going to title positions within the organization such as Live Release Manager (LRM) and Rescue Coordinator (RC), those titles imply certain job functions to the community. More than once PACC has been asked to provide job descriptions for the roles of coordinators and managers at the shelter. If the community has expectations of a person's job responsibilities that are not realistic or accurate based on that position's job description then it's only fair we have a complete understanding of their role both to the PACC staff member AND to the community. For the rescue community in regard to the two positions I have referenced, they can only rely on precedent. In particular the former Rescue Coordinator's job functions were distinctively different than the current coordinator's job functions.

This is leads me to the feedback from TCWN and AOA.

The previous Rescue Coordinator sent out categorized emails on a regular basis. To clarify cate*gorized emails* are things such as "Seniors", "Pregnant dogs", "Families", "Small special needs dogs" etc. She would also send out information on animals that she knew weren't fairing well in the shelter. She knew the animals in the shelter because she interacted with them and therefore could recognize signs of deterioration and start networking BEFORE it was an issue. These categorized e-mails were very helpful in networking animals. She knew her rescue partners and what kind of animals they would take.

Recently and repeatedly TCWN and AOA have recommended to PACC specifically the LRM, that PACC have the current rescue coordinator implement these categorized emails again. Also that she call EVERY partnered rescue and speak with someone over the phone personally to make an effort to build up rescue partner relations that have been broken over the last year. They have received a tremendous amount of resistance from the LRM on these suggested solutions.

Here is one concern of mine. PACC continues to act as if they need support and help from stakeholders such as rescue yet they don't follow through with their commitments to those stakeholders. They have meetings, they have discussions and they come to agreements they do not keep. Specifically, in April at a meeting with PACC rescue partners, PACC agreed that pregnant dogs going forward would be put on their own 72 hour rescue list BEFORE those pregnant moms would be spay aborted and often late term abortions. AOA in particular wants to save these moms and puppies. This has not been done. AOA has been fighting with PACC to implement this and their efforts are producing nothing but excuses. It is a very good possibility that AOA will no longer be pulling any animals from PACC. This will be devastating to the animals at PACC.

There is now A LOT of resistance in the rescue community to work with PACC. Some rescues are now pulling from other shelters outside of Pima County because those shelters are easier to work with.

I have listed the requests from the rescues regarding what they are asking for from PACC staff.

1. Requesting a once of week list of seniors @ Pima Animal Care Center
2. Requesting a once of week list of small dogs @Pima Animal Care Center
3. Requesting heads up once a week of animals that are in distress
4. 72 hour-notice before aborting pregnant dogs.
5. Immediate notification of a pregnant, possible pregnant or moms with babies entering Pima Animal Care

PACC Data for Rescues/SNA's

Overall SNA went up 633 and isn't growing much recently and Rescue went down 1,129 in last 2 years and much bigger drop this year than last year so SNA is not related to rescue decline.

SNA 12 months ending 6/30/14:

1,264 (minor increase this year) and gained 633 since 2012

6/30/13	1.194
6/30/12	631

Rescue 12 months ending 6/30/14

1,862 (major decrease this year) and lost 1,129 since 2012

6/30/13	2,504
6/30/12	2,991

The rescues and I both also have a concern that apparently rescues are no longer required to submit verifications of alter to PACC on a monthly basis. In the past each rescue was emailed an excel spreadsheet of outstanding alter certificates needed to be submitted to PACC. This best practice (also one of the RC tasks) has been eliminated. I think this is setting rescues and PACC up for problems. PACC cannot wait to do home inspections and audit files including alter verifications. At that point it's too late. PACC is running the risk of unaltered animals being adopted out into the community should an approved rescue partner fall out of good standing without PACC even knowing until they decide to do a home inspection.

Kim Silver

Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>

FW: Cats available for Rescue or Special Needs Adoptions

Terri Goddard <terrigoddard@hotmail.com> Wed, Oct 22, 2014 at 11:51 AM
To: Tiffiany the Great! <intheangelsarms@gmail.com>, Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>

From: Justin.Gallick@pima.gov
To: terrigoddard@hotmail.com; Jennifer.Wilcox@pima.gov; Michelle.Lindorff@pima.gov; Jose.Ocano@pima.gov
Subject: RE: Cats available for Rescue or Special Needs Adoptions
Date: Wed, 22 Oct 2014 18:43:49 +0000

Thanks Terri. Michelle is going through both the dog and cat list in the next day or two and will update those that have changes. As you know pets status changes are dependant on our medical teams triage, evaluation and treatment length. With almost 1,000 pets in the shelter this can take some time as they have to prioritize which pets are most crucial. This is why we are placing so many pets on these lists as pre-vet check.

Justin

From: Terri Goddard [mailto:terrigoddard@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 22, 2014 10:35 AM
To: Jennifer Wilcox; Justin Gallick; Michelle Lindorff; Jose Ocano
Subject: FW: Cats available for Rescue or Special Needs Adoptions

good morning is this an updated list. I have highlighted the ones that seem a long time has gone by on test and care. Thanks so much.
Terri

Date: Wed, 22 Oct 2014 10:23:34 -0700
Subject: Fwd: Cats available for Rescue or Special Needs Adoptions
From: intheangelsarms@gmail.com
To: terrigoddard@hotmail.com

---------- Forwarded message ----------

From: <michelle.lindorff@pima.gov>
Date: Sat, Oct 18, 2014 at 7:56 PM
Subject: Cats available for Rescue or Special Needs Adoptions
To: intheangelsarms@gmail.com

Pima Animal Care Center

"Daily Special Needs Adoptions/Rescue Animals"

www.pima.gov/animalcare

The following is a list of animals currently available for Rescue or Special Needs Adoptions at Pima Animal Care Center in Tucson, AZ. For more information or to commit to rescuing any of these animals, please contact the PACC Rescue Line at 520-243-5987

.

Picture Animal Description

SKUNK In Kennel MISSING

A497714 - UNALTERED FEMALE , WHITE DOMESTIC SH

Animal's age 2 YRS **Kennel Comments** thin - T4 sent out 10/13/14

This animal has been at the shelter since 10/8/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 10/13/2014

XOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXXOXOXOX

<

DAISY In Kennel I002

A497297 - UNALTERED FEMALE , WHITE DOMESTIC SH

Animal's age 2 YRS **Kennel Comments** Needs enucleation OS

This animal has been at the shelter since 10/4/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 10/12/2014

XOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXXOXOXOX

<

JEZABEL In Kennel I038

A493879 - UNALTERED FEMALE , BLACK DOMESTIC SH

Animal's age 14 YRS **Kennel Comments** Hairloss on head

This animal has been at the shelter since 10/8/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 10/12/2014

XOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXXOxoxox

<

KYLE BUSH In Kennel MISSING

A497576 - UNALTERED FEMALE , WHITE DOMESTIC SH

Animal's age 1 YR **Kennel Comments** Mom with 5 kittens

This animal has been at the shelter since 10/7/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 10/12/2014

xoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxxoxoxox

<

SWAT In Kennel I023

A497846 - UNALTERED MALE , GRAY TABBY DOMESTIC SH MIX

Animal's age 9 MOS **Kennel Comments** Possible degloved tail pre vet check

This animal has been at the shelter since 10/10/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 10/12/2014

xoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxxoxoxox

<

LEONARDO In Kennel IGR05

A495146 - NEUTERED MALE , ORG TABBY DOMESTIC SH

Animal's age 4 MOS **Kennel Comments** Bite history, URI

This animal has been at the shelter since 9/13/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 10/11/2014

XOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXXOXOXOX

<

TINA In Kennel CE02

A495115 - UNALTERED FEMALE , WHITE DOMESTIC SH

Animal's age 1 YR/1 MO **Kennel Comments** uri/blind/thin body condition - deteriorating in shelter

This animal has been at the shelter since 9/13/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 10/10/2014

XOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXXOXOXOX

<

ZUES In Kennel IGR09

A497097 - NEUTERED MALE , BLACK DOMESTIC SH

Animal's age 2 YRS **Kennel Comments** Possible hematoma/ socialization

This animal has been at the shelter since 10/2/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 10/8/2014

XOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXXOXOXOX

<

DABBY In Kennel I016

A497115 - UNALTERED MALE , BRN TABBY DOMESTIC MH

Animal's age 13 YRS **Kennel Comments** Possible stomatitis, pre vet check

This animal has been at the shelter since 10/2/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 10/8/2014

xoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxxoxoxox

<

JACK In Kennel C018

A496847 - NEUTERED MALE , BLK SMOKE DOMESTIC SH

Animal's age 9 YRS **Kennel Comments** uri/hairloss

This animal has been at the shelter since 9/30/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 10/4/2014

xoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxxoxoxox

<

BUDDY In Kennel C013

A246481 - NEUTERED MALE , BLACK DOMESTIC MH

Animal's age 9 YRS **Kennel Comments** waiting on bloodwork

This animal has been at the shelter since 9/26/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 10/2/2014

XOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXXOXOXOX

<

GILBERT In Kennel C051

A496569 - NEUTERED MALE , GRAY DOMESTIC SH

Animal's age 8 YRS **Kennel Comments** Pre-vet dental issues (severe tarter/missing teeth

This animal has been at the shelter since 9/27/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 9/30/2014

XOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXoxoxxoxoxox

<

CNS In Kennel C019

A496571 - NEUTERED MALE , BLACK DOMESTIC SH

Animal's age 14 YRS **Kennel Comments** dental - prevet

This animal has been at the shelter since 9/27/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 9/29/2014

XOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXoxxoxoxox

<

MEOWMEOW In Kennel C009

A494290 - NEUTERED MALE , LYNX PT SIAMESE MIX

Animal's age 7 YRS **Kennel Comments** wound/history of litterbox issues

This animal has been at the shelter since 9/7/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 9/28/2014

xoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxxoxoxox

<

PAT In Kennel MISSING

A494434 - SPAYED FEMLE , WHITE DOMESTIC LH

Animal's age AGE UNKNOWN **Kennel Comments** possible neurological problems

This animal has been at the shelter since 9/8/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 9/26/2014

xoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxxoxoxox

<

BENNY In Kennel C011

A491751 - UNALTERED MALE , BRN TABBY DOMESTIC LH

Animal's age 8 YRS **Kennel Comments** age

This animal has been at the shelter since 8/14/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 9/18/2014

XOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXXOXOXOX

<

MASON In Kennel CR024

A494773 - NEUTERED MALE , BLACK DOMESTIC SH

Animal's age 1 YR/1 MO **Kennel Comments** Dental

This animal has been at the shelter since 9/10/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 9/15/2014

XOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOxxxoxoxox

<

JERRY In Kennel C024

A466059 - SPAYED FEMLE , BLACK DOMESTIC SH

Animal's age 1 YR/5 MOS **Kennel Comments** Dental

This animal has been at the shelter since 9/8/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 9/15/2014

XOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXXXOXOxox

<

CHUB In Kennel C022

A493981 - UNALTERED MALE , WHITE DOMESTIC SH

Animal's age 2 YRS/ 7 MOS **Kennel Comments** distended abdomen

This animal has been at the shelter since 9/4/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 9/15/2014

XOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXXOXOXOX

<

FLAME In Kennel CE03

A490604 - SPAYED FEMLE , TORTIE DOMESTIC SH

Animal's age 2 YRS/ 2 MOS **Kennel Comments** Socialization

This animal has been at the shelter since 8/5/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 9/10/2014

XOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXXOXOXOX

<

LUCINDA In Kennel CE05

A405386 - SPAYED FEMLE , BRN TABBY DOMESTIC SH

Animal's age 2 YRS/ 8 MOS **Kennel Comments** previous bite

This animal has been at the shelter since 8/24/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 9/6/2014

xoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxxoxoxox

<

KOKO In Kennel CE05

A491998 - NEUTERED MALE , CRM TABBY DOMESTIC SH

Animal's age 11 YRS **Kennel Comments** Behavior- previous biter- vision impaired

This animal has been at the shelter since 8/20/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 8/29/2014

xoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxoxxoxoxox

<

JASMINE In Kennel CE05

A489598 - SPAYED FEMLE , BLACK DOMESTIC MH

Animal's age 11 YRS **Kennel Comments** Age, dental,

This animal has been at the shelter since 7/26/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 7/30/2014

XOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXXOXOXOX

<

SUGAR In Kennel C042

A488983 - UNALTERED FEMALE , LYNX PT SNOWSHOE MIX

Animal's age 1 YR **Kennel Comments** mom w/ 4 kittens

This animal has been at the shelter since 7/21/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 7/24/2014

XOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXXOXOXOX

<

SPRINKLE In Kennel CE04

A487781 - SPAYED FEMLE , ORG TABBY DOMESTIC MH

Animal's age 11 MOS **Kennel Comments** socialization

This animal has been at the shelter since 7/10/2014

This animal has been on the Rescue List since 7/15/2014

XOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXOXXOXOXOX

Contact Numbers

PACC Rescue Line 520-243-5987
Michelle Lindorff, Rescue Coordinator, 520-243-5936

--

www.inthearmsofangels.rescuegroups.org

http://shelter.thundershirt.com/shelterDefault.aspx?sid=AZ424

Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>

FW: Lovely Seniors in Shelter

2 messages

Terri Goddard <terrigoddard@hotmail.com> Sat, Oct 18, 2014 at 9:42 AM
To: Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>, Tiffany the Great In the Arms of the Angels <intheangelsarms@gmail.com>

From: Justin.Gallick@pima.gov
To: Christy.Holliger@etest.com; terrigoddard@hotmail.com; angelboo@aol.com
Subject: RE: Lovely Seniors in Shelter
Date: Thu, 16 Oct 2014 21:27:09 +0000

Hi Christy,

Unfortunately Deebo was already euthanized for fighting with other dogs and hip dysplacia.

Justin

From: Holliger, Christy [mailto:Christy.Holliger@etest.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 16, 2014 2:17 PM
To: Terri Goddard; Justin Gallick; angelboo@aol.com
Subject: RE: Lovely Seniors in Shelter

Deebo was O/S on Tues night (moving/can't take all dogs). I don't know what his fate is but since this man is seriously interested can we hold until the weekend at least?

From: Terri Goddard [mailto:terrigoddard@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 16, 2014 10:10 AM
To: Holliger, Christy; justin.gallick@pima.gov
Subject: FW: Lovely Seniors in Shelter

From: 5cb4d3707e833e4e9c5504c739eba548@reply.craigslist.org
To: qbtjr-4714506972@comm.craigslist.org
Subject: RE: Lovely Seniors in Shelter
Date: Thu, 16 Oct 2014 10:00:18 -0700

I'm sorry. I meant Rocky, not Titan. I will come by this weekend to meet them all. Will Deebo be available to meet? Thank you for all of your help. If any other seniors come up let me know ASAP - I would really like to help an old blue.

I will consider Porky when I come in. He deserves a little peace!

From: craigslist 4714506972 [mailto:qbtjr-4714506972@comm.craigslist.org]
Sent: Thursday, October 16, 2014 9:42 AM
To: 5cb4d3707e833e4e9c5504c739eba548@reply.craigslist.org
Subject: RE: Lovely Seniors in Shelter

Hi Josh,

No bite history on Deebo. He is a good boy and got along with everyone. Very sad that his owner just turned him in after all this time but they have nothing negative to say about him on the owner surrender form. Just that they were moving and the landlord would not allow all 4 dogs. The owners even asked for his collar back when they left him :(

I used to take him out for runs when he was our longest resident. He is pretty chill but very strong and pulled on his walks so the runs helped him get the kennel energy out. I never really noticed his hip issues although I'm sure the concrete kennel made things worse. He was at the shelter for quite a few months (black pits are the last to get adopted, especially if they are not young) and had the distinction of longest resident.

Porky is more of a squatty boxer and although you are right that they are adopted faster typically, because of his age and his health (he just got out of sickbay being treated for an upper respiratory infection and ear issues caused by ticks/mites) he has been there for several months. He is doing much better but seniors deteriorate quickly in the shelter so I would love to see him get out.

Is this the Diesel you are interested in? https://www.facebook.com/the.pacc.pets/photos/a.308470405915701.70973.308454882583920/654720287957376/?type=3&theater

If so he is a young pup and you can see a bit of his history on the thread. If it is the same guy he has been a good boy in the shelter. I believe he is now in the tent and has stayed out of trouble. He is currently housed alone but at that age would probably do better with a companion.

Titan (brown pit?) has been rescued and is undergoing training to be a polite boy, then will be up for adoption through Only Hope Rescue. There may be another Titan though. If so, send me the A# or link if you still have it.

Christy

From: Terri Goddard [mailto:terrigoddard@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 15, 2014 9:08 PM
To: 5cb4d3707e833e4e9c5504c739eba548@reply.craigslist.org; qbtjr-4714506972@comm.craigslist.org; angelboo@aol.com; Holliger, Christy
Subject: RE: Lovely Seniors in Shelter

Hi all can u answer Josh'questions. Thanks so much.

From: 5cb4d3707e833e4e9c5504c739eba548@reply.craigslist.org
To: qbtjr-4714506972@comm.craigslist.org
Subject: RE: Lovely Seniors in Shelter
Date: Wed, 15 Oct 2014 20:22:41 -0700

Hi Terri,

I am interested in more information on Deebo. Does he have any bite history at all? I would love to help Porky out but I really like pits and I know they are harder to adopt out. If he was a pit I would take him right now. Titan is a possibility as well. A photo and a little bit more background info on Deebo if you have it please.

What do we know about Diesal? I can come down and meet him but what has his behavior been?

This listing on the PAC website does not display a photo until you click on the listing. He is cute. You need to get him in the competition :)

ID#A299108

Thank you!

Josh

From: craigslist 4714506972 [mailto:qbtjr-4714506972@comm.craigslist.org]
Sent: Wednesday, October 15, 2014 1:36 PM
To: 5cb4d3707e833e4e9c5504c739eba548@reply.craigslist.org
Subject: RE: Lovely Seniors in Shelter

Hi Josh some great recommendations! all of these amazing guys are at Pima Animal Care Center 4000 N Silverbell Road. Open 12-7PM M-F and Saturday and Sunday from 10-5PM. I think they are having some adoption specials as well.

Can you confirm you got this email?

Thanks so much Josh.

Terri

From: AngelBoo@aol.com
Date: Wed, 15 Oct 2014 16:26:27 -0400
Subject: Re: Lovely Seniors in Shelter
To: Christy.Holliger@etest.com; terrigoddard@hotmail.com; justin.gallick@pima.gov; deranitz@email.arizona.edu

Also Rocky https://www.facebook.com/the.pacc.pets/photos/a.629722567123815.1073741886.308454882583920/657973864298685/?type=3&theater

In a message dated 10/15/2014 1:21:28 P.M. US Mountain Standard Time, Christy.Holliger@etest.com writes:

> Deebo just got returned last night. He is not on the adoption line yet. He is a strong but sweet and well-behaved black pit who was our long-timer early this year (Jan/Feb). Returned for "moving, no space, landlord says no". Reportedly gets along great with the 3 other dogs and also cats. Has some hip problems but did not seem to bother him much. I think he is maybe 5-6 though, so not really a senior and probably still has lots of energy.
>
> There is also a sweet (short) boxer named Porky who I believe is 9 although his card has been changed several times and currently says "5". He just got out of sick bay with a URI and ear problems (previously had a lot of ticks and I think mites). Super sweet. Needs to be dog tested.

Porky might be perfect.

https://www.facebook.com/the.pacc.pets/photos/a.670151743080897.1073741890.308454882583920/673781779384560/?type=1&theater

---

From: Terri Goddard [mailto:terrigoddard@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 15, 2014 12:32 PM
To: Holliger, Christy; justin.gallick@pima.gov; de Ranitz, Mary A - deranitz; Pacc rescue site on facebook
Subject: FW: Lovely Seniors in Shelter

I posted the senior dogs at Pacc (with the help of Kelly Comstock) and i got a hit :) Know any dogs that might work for Josh. Thanks so much.

Terri Goddard

TCWN

From: 5cb4d3707e833e4e9c5504c739eba548@reply.craigslist.org
To: qbtjr-4714506972@comm.craigslist.org
Subject: Lovely Seniors in Shelter
Date: Wed, 15 Oct 2014 12:22:28 -0700

Do you have any older gentlemen Pit Bulls that need a home? I have a 13 year old fixed female pit who lost her brother a couple of years ago. I want to get her a partner to enjoy the "retirement years" with. She is super sweet but slow so I need a lower energy sweetie that wants to sleep with her all day. I have a fenced yard, dogs get their own room with dog door to their own yard.

Let me know if you have any well behaved good old boys that need a great home for the last bit of their life.

Thank you,

Josh

247-9130

http://tucson.craigslist.org/pet/4714506972.html

Original craigslist post:
http://tucson.craigslist.org/pet/4714506972.html
About craigslist mail:

http://craigslist.org/about/help/email-relay
Please flag unwanted messages (spam, scam, other):
http://craigslist.org/mf/941de61318673f6589b64449b16cfe739feeff64.1

Original craigslist post:
http://tucson.craigslist.org/pet/4714506972.html
About craigslist mail:
http://craigslist.org/about/help/email-relay
Please flag unwanted messages (spam, scam, other):
http://craigslist.org/mf/9725cda31283b25b95f64a4e9e2500eea142e48a.1

Original craigslist post:
http://tucson.craigslist.org/pet/4714506972.html
About craigslist mail:
http://craigslist.org/about/help/email-relay
Please flag unwanted messages (spam, scam, other):
http://craigslist.org/mf/9a3fe181e3895e6c2131460d9f801e90a60a2c50.1

Original craigslist post:
http://tucson.craigslist.org/pet/4714506972.html
About craigslist mail:
http://craigslist.org/about/help/email-relay
Please flag unwanted messages (spam, scam, other):
http://craigslist.org/mf/fc79d98697b61012913545469764c7bce5f7246c.1

Original craigslist post:
http://tucson.craigslist.org/pet/4714506972.html
About craigslist mail:
http://craigslist.org/about/help/email-relay
Please flag unwanted messages (spam, scam, other):
http://craigslist.org/mf/6bc40f1e8866197564a7424cbdacb4d9ecb7b85f.1

Terri Goddard <terrigoddard@hotmail.com> Sat, Oct 18, 2014 at 9:48 AM
To: Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>, Tiffiany the Great! <intheangelsarms@gmail.com>

From: Christy.Holliger@etest.com
To: terrigoddard@hotmail.com; justin.gallick@pima.gov; deranitz@email.arizona.edu; angelboo@aol.com
Subject: RE: Lovely Seniors in Shelter
Date: Wed, 15 Oct 2014 20:21:23 +0000
[Quoted text hidden]

Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>

Fwd: Slick

In The Arms Of Angels Rescue <intheangelsarms@gmail.com> Sun, Oct 26, 2014 at 9:19 PM
Reply-To: In The Arms Of Angels Rescue <intheangelsarms@gmail.com>
To: kim@kimscaninecollege.com, terrigoddard@hotmail.com

Please read from the bottom up. I want you both aware of this.

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

-------- Original message --------
From: Marcie Pearson <msuepearson@gmail.com>
Date: 10/26/2014 8:50 PM (GMT-07:00)
To: Tiffany Rosler <intheangelsarms@gmail.com>
Subject: Fwd: Slick

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Jeff Pearson** <jeffppearson@gmail.com>
Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014
Subject: Fwd: Slick
To: Marcie Pearson <msuepearson@gmail.com>

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

> **From:** "Holliger, Christy" <Christy.Holliger@etest.com>
> **Date:** October 26, 2014 at 8:39:15 PM MST
> **To:** "jeffppearson@gmail.com" <jeffppearson@gmail.com>
> **Subject: Fwd: Slick**
>
> Begin forwarded message:
>
> > **From:** "Holliger, Christy" <Christy.Holliger@etest.com>
> > **Date:** October 26, 2014, 1:37:27 PM MST
> > **To:** Michelle Lindorff <Michelle.Lindorff@pima.gov>, "<jose.ocano@pima.gov>" <jose.ocano@pima.gov>, Justin Gallick <Justin.Gallick@pima.gov>, "kristen.barney@pima.gov" <kristen.barney@pima.gov>

Cc: Jenifer L <jeniferlyne18@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Slick

I honestly don't understand how this is even possible. This dog has been suffering in the shelter for 5 months.
Unbelievable.

On Oct 26, 2014, at 1:19 PM, "Michelle Lindorff" <Michelle.Lindorff@pima.gov> wrote:

> Right. The activity memos from his previous impoundment were never read. If they had been, he likely would not have been placed for adoption in the first place.
>
> We are aware of at least one other incident in which he has attempted to redirect on a volunteer when on a walk. His behavior has definitely deteriorated significantly in the last week or two.
>
> Michelle Lindorff
>
> Rescue Program Coordinator
>
> Pima Animal Care Center
>
> Email: michelle.lindorff@pima.gov
>
> Phone: 520.243.5936
>
> Fax: 520.791.6589
>
> -----Original Message-----
>
> From: Holliger, Christy [mailto:Christy.Holliger@etest.com]
>
> Sent: Sunday, October 26, 2014 12:42 PM
>
> To: Michelle Lindorff
>
> Cc: Jenifer L
>
> Subject: Re: Slick
>
> So a dog with an A who has recently been on the "watch" list has a previous aggressive history prior to coming to PACC and it was never noticed before now?
>
> Bonny told me yesterday she had trouble with him (I believe it was a full week ago?) but I have not heard of him attempting to bite other volunteers.

Has this all happened in the last couple of days?

On Oct 26, 2014, at 12:18 PM, "Michelle Lindorff" <Michelle.Lindorff@pima.gov> wrote:

Upon reviewing his record in preparation for sending out his short term listing, we discovered that Slick Rick has several documented instances of behaving aggressively and trying to bite in the field.

Because of this, coupled with the incidents with volunteers, we are reviewing our decision to place him with the health department. In the meantime, he is not able to be walked as we do not want to risk our volunteers' safety - as you probably know, one of the incidents in which he tried to bite was with somebody who has worked closely with him for many months.

We're hoping to have resolution on this tomorrow, and we'll let you know as soon as we know.

Thanks,

Michelle Lindorff

Rescue Program Coordinator

Pima Animal Care Center

Email: michelle.lindorff@pima.gov

Phone: 520.243.5936

Fax: 520.791.6589

-----Original Message-----

From: Holliger, Christy [mailto:Christy.Holliger@etest.com]

Sent: Sunday, October 26, 2014 11:02 AM

To: Michelle Lindorff

Cc: Jenifer L

Subject: Re: Slick

Who currently has permission to walk him?

Can we get permission for Jen, myself, Cindy, Becky, Kricket, Terra? All of us have been working with him extensively and feel comfortable handling him. That will ensure he gets out daily, which I feel is important for him.

Thanks,

Christy

On Oct 26, 2014, at 10:47 AM, "Michelle Lindorff" <Michelle.Lindorff@pima.gov> wrote:

> I'm CC-ing Jenifer here because she had asked Matt what was going on with Slick Rick.
>
> Slick Rick is being placed for short term rescue and will be sent out today. He has tried to bite multiple volunteers and is clearly deteriorating to the point where he can no longer be safely or humanely maintained. He is not currently allowed to be walked by anybody but staff and volunteers who have worked with him previously due to the severity of his behavior.
>
> There may be others included on the list for behavioral reasons as we had a couple of pretty severe issues arise yesterday - Sponge severely attacked and injured her kennel mate, and Zeena had to be moved to a covered kennel as she was climbing the kennel to attack every dog that walked by her. I'm waiting on a call back from Jose to discuss these cases.
>
> As Jose has been away, we have been trying to limit the number of behavioral short term dogs so that we have as many hands on deck as possible. We'll likely be restructuring the list in

the upcoming week and then we can set up a regular time for us to get together each week and discuss dogs who are at risk, how they are responding, and who is being placed for short term rescue.

Thanks!

Michelle Lindorff

Rescue Program Coordinator

Pima Animal Care Center

Email: michelle.lindorff@pima.gov

Phone: 520.243.5936

Fax: 520.791.6589

-----Original Message-----

From: Holliger, Christy [mailto:Christy.Holliger@etest.com]

Sent: Sunday, October 26, 2014 10:06 AM

To: Michelle Lindorff

Subject: Slick

Hi Michelle,

I heard that Slick has new restrictions on his kennel from you and Dr. Wilcox. He is only allowed out for potty breaks. Is he being put up for STR?

Are there any others coming? I haven't seen any new dogs named lately nor further discussion on the STR list.

Thanks for any notice and info you can share.

Christy

Subject **Fw: letter**
From Chanel Willis <chanelwillis83@yahoo.com>
To kimsilver@nokillpimacounty.org <kimsilver@nokillpimacounty.org>
Date 2014-10-31 08:34

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

From:"Michele Oboyski" <moboyski@hotmail.com>
Date:Fri, Oct 31, 2014 at 8:10 AM
Subject:letter

October 30, 2014

To whom it may concern,

I am writing this letter to share with you my experiences with Pima Animal Care Center (PACC). I am currently a medical foster for a dog from PACC and in addition, I'm also fostering another dog from PACC that was pulled from the Short Term Rescue List. In no way is this letter intended to bash PACC it's clearly so that people can see what improvements need to be made to save more lives and improve the medical services for the foster families that support PACC.

After having my foster dog for 6 weeks I was finally able to get someone to schedule a vet appointment. Our appointment was set for 3:30 p.m. and took 4 hours. I brought my foster dog, my two children who also volunteer with me at PACC as dog walkers, and a friend. We were asked to wait in the hallway by the veterinarian office where we stood for over one hour. In that time we saw many incidents that were inappropriate for my children and the general public. During our wait in the hallway, Dr. Wilcox, came out to listen to my dog's lungs and said she was concerned about his pneumonia but the x-ray machine was still down and not working. Then an employee/vet tech and one Carrington College student came out to the hallway to draw blood from my dog using his leash as a restraint. After many unsuccessful attempts to draw blood by a method that I can only describe as looking like he was being stabbed repeatedly in his front legs, they decided to clear out the intake room and drag my dog inside. We waited in the hallway for roughly 15 minutes and when they returned my dog to me he was bleeding from both legs. I had to ask the vet tech to please help me stop the bleeding. While the vet tech worked on my dog, a Carrington College student was holding a lifeless kitten in the hallway. My 6 year old son asked "What's wrong with that kitten?" The vet tech cold-heartedly told my son that "the kitten is going to die cuz it is sick." A simple response like "The kitten is sick and we are going to help it" would have sufficed and taught a lesson on helping animals. Her attitude was not only unprofessional but inappropriate toward a young and impressionable child.

Still waiting in the hallway, two Carrington College students proceeded to drag a dog that was soaking wet, covered in blood, by me and my children and our foster dog. Two, young, female Carrington College students, without name tags, then followed the dog that had been in a fight. The two Carrington College female students stop what they were doing to talk to another male student and tell him that they had put two new dogs improperly into a kennel, not using leashes and they got into a horrible fight. The fight went on for 15 minutes and then proceeded to tell everyone that an inmate broke his hand beating on the dogs. One student kept repeating loudly so all customers, children and employees in the area could hear him "It's because pitbulls have locking jaws that's the problem it's not the fault of the girls who didn't know what they were doing it's because pitbulls have locking jaws." I told my friend who had accompanied me and my children to PACC to please take my children outside. So disgusted, I could no longer sit there and be quiet I felt that I had to inform the student, who was not wearing a name tag, that this information is not true. Pitbulls have very strong

muscles but they do not have locking jaws and to stop making those claims around adopters. I started to become very frustrated because I'm still waiting in the hall. At that point they allow me and my foster dog to come sit in a corner of the vet's office. As we walked inside there was a pile of vomit on the floor. I had to pull on my dog's leash to keep him from sniffing it or licking it up. At that point the Carrington College student walks by and says "Gross that's probably contaminated vomit, it probably has something contagious. Nasty!" She didn't bother to clean it up and it remained on the floor the entire time I was in the veterinarian's office. At that point Dr. Wilcox had left the office because she had a meeting. When the doctor left the vet techs and all the students stopped working and started eating. No one washed their hands between handling dogs and eating their personal food. They then started talking on their phones and speaking about their sex lives. Then a Carrington College student stood there holding a dog refusing to take it back to his kennel because she didn't know where it went and couldn't be bothered to look it up. Instead of working she stood there holding a dog. She stated several times it wasn't her job to take the dog back which would only took 5 minutes so she could have been productive helping another animal in need. The whole time all I wanted to do is get my dog taken care of and leave as quickly as possible. I was afraid he would catch something contagious just sitting in the office as we waited. At that point the vet tech said they needed to draw more blood and once again they pulled my dog into another room and again he was returned bleeding from his front legs. When I mentioned to the vet tech to please help me get my dog to stop bleeding he callously told me it's probably because he has ticker fever or even valley fever (both of those test later came back negative). At this point I just wanted to get his test results and get out of PACC because I was very upset. I'm very committed to my foster dog and want the best for him. Unfortunately, none of the staff there wanted to show any compassion or kindness toward my foster dog or respect that I volunteer there and foster dogs for PACC. After another long wait, I was told that he needs to go back on his medications and it took them 30 minutes to count two prescriptions (which I counted at home and discovered they were dispensed incorrectly). While I was waiting 30 minutes for them to fill two prescriptions, the doctor was still at her meeting, and the female vet tech and students took this time to stand around laughing, joking and saying things like "that dog is ugly if you don't take it home we will put it down." While another Carrington College student, without a name tag, was complaining that a dog with giardia had licked her face and how she was "so tired of the dogs and she better not get sick from this dog." I believe it's not only unprofessional but unethical to speak this way in front of fosters and adopters. The attitude that was presented by the Carrington College students as well as the two paid vet technicians was inappropriate I feel like they do not care for animals and they are in the wrong line of work or career. I also feel the Carrington College students are not monitored closely and are doing inappropriate things with the animals that are causing them to become injured, hurt or to become more ill. I would also like to mention the fact that they were holding needles in their mouths then giving shots and drawing blood.

While I'm still waiting for my dog's medication the Carrington College student that had said inappropriate comments about pitbulls approaches me and my foster dog and says "Wow you love chows?" I responded with "Yes, I'm a chow person, I've raised chows my whole life." At that point he says "Wow I always thought they were super aggressive." I informed him that his breed information was incorrect. You would think as a vet tech student that he would not be in the practice of generalizing breeds and know the importance of getting to know a dog on a case by case basis. I was very disappointed with the Carrington College students and PACC's failure to properly train them.

I would also like to remind whoever is reading this letter these things occurred when Dr. Wilcox was out of the office. Upon her return the students and vet techs acted completely different. Not by choice, I had to endure four, yes 4 hours, of observing the medical staff and their inefficiency.

The fact of the matter is I've had my foster for 8 weeks. Every time I go to PACC or call PACC there is no staff available to help me. The foster program is flawed no-one calls to check up on my dog, no-one calls to schedule appointments, no-one calls to see if my foster dog needs more medicine. I struggle to get in contact with people and feel it's been a horrible experience. It shouldn't take me weeks to get ahold of the foster coordinator or anyone to give me information on what I need to do to keep my foster dog with pneumonia healthy. While I was there for the appointment I tried numerous times to find out what I needed to do to adopt my foster dog. I even informed them that I would pay for all medical costs incurred after the adoption but again no-one seemed very interested in helping me with finalizing the paperwork.

I am currently starting a second job so I have the funds to provide my dog the medical care he so desperately deserves. These issues need to be brought to light and addressed. This is our only open admission shelter and if Pima Animal

Care wants to continue to get people to foster and keep their current foster homes there needs to be professional communication, support and compassionate help. It makes me very sad that it had to write this letter. I want the best for our homeless animals I spend countless days volunteering, fostering and campaigning for Proposition 415. I do all of these things for the homeless animals of Pima County because I care. I do not receive a paycheck and feel my reward is saving lives. However, the staff that is paid to be there and this requires them to be ethical, professional and compassionate. I hope the information I provided can help with improvements to PACC.

Sincerely,

A devoted foster mom.

Subject **FW: Rescue Coordinator**
From Mariana & Jerry <dogsheaven@comcast.net>
To 'Kim Silver' <kimsilver@nokillpimacounty.org>
Date 2014-10-25 23:37

roundcube
open source webmail software

This is the letter I sent to Justin…Of course he replied with blah, blah, blah…

From: Mariana & Jerry [mailto:dogsheaven@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, August 26, 2014 9:00 AM
To: Justin Gallick
Cc: 'Francisco Garcia'; 'Jack Neuman'; Jose Ocano; Terri Goddard
Subject: Rescue Coordinator

Hi Justin,

Within the last week, two very good homes who were interested in saving Special Needs Adoption ("SNA") dogs, both dogs having medical issues, were not only discouraged but turned away by the Rescue Coordinator, Michelle Lindorff, of PACC. Here are the facts in both of those cases.

- Pat Breyfogle, a close friend of mine, saw Adrian (A490242) on the Team Up for Tucson Facebook page. On Wednesday, 08/20, Pat made a trip to PACC to meet Adrian. The volunteer, who took her into sickbay where Adrian was being treated for an upper-respiratory infection ("URI"), suggested that she look at other dogs because Adrian was so sick. Pat told the volunteer that she was not interested in another dog and that she was there to save Adrian. On Thursday 08/21, Pat and her husband returned to PACC to see Adrian together. This time, a volunteer named Fred took Adrian out of sickbay and into the meet and greet area so they could have more interaction with him. At that time, Pat and Mike filled out the adoption survey form and because Adrian was so sick, they decided to go home and think about adopting him. On Friday, 08/22, they returned to PACC again in hopes of adopting Adrian or taking him out as a foster. They met with Michelle Lindorff and she said that this dog is very sick and if they adopted him, they would need to sign a form stating Adrian would see a vet within 72 hours. Due to the weekend, this would only give Pat and Mike until Monday to get an appointment with their veterinarian. Pat and Mike had already made an appointment with their vet for Wednesday, and that was not within the 72 hour time limit. Michelle, the Rescue Coordinator, did not offer to make Adrian a foster candidate or propose PACC provide the necessary dosages of his medication until they could get Adrian to their veterinarian on Wednesday. Sadly, no help or assistance was offered by the Rescue Coordinator that would allow this dog to leave PACC and be on his way to loving environment. Pat called me after they had left PACC on Friday saying how disappointed she and Mike where that they were unable to help Adrian and take him home. I contacted Michele Ochoaski with Team Up for Tucson to see if she could help get Adrian out of PACC. Michele contacted to Dr. Wilcox asking if she would approve Adrian leaving PACC as a foster, and Dr. Wilcox approved this arrangement immediately. I then called Justin Gallick to tell him that Dr. Wilcox had approved the foster for Adrian and asked if he could do the paperwork. He said he would contact Michelle Lindorff and ask her do to the paperwork first

thing Saturday morning. When Pat and Mike arrived at PACC on Saturday at 10:00, Pat said Michelle's productivity level and professionalism had improved. Dr. Wilcox was very helpful and gave them 3 days of medicine for Adrian until they could get him to their vet. They arrived at PACC at 10:00 and were able to leave with the dog and meds in hand by 11:00.

Adrian had a vet visit yesterday (08/25) with their veterinarian and he's doing much, much better. His appetite is good, he wants to play, goes for walks, and their vet had no concerns about him getting better. Adrian would still be sitting in sickbay if it was not for Michele Ochoaski, Dr. Wilcox and Justin.

- Tamra and Jason sent me an email regarding Allie (A476010) who has been at PACC since December 2013. They had seen a flyer I had forwarded to Raytheon and were interested in meeting Allie at PACC. They said they had a male dog at home that looked just like her and they had adopted him from PACC in October 2013. I did tell them at that time that Allie had valley fever but that she would be eligible for the foster to adopt program through PACC. After careful consideration, they talked about that issue, and sent me another email saying they would like to meet her. I asked Christy Holliger to do the meet and greet and she agreed to take time out of her Saturday in hopes of finding a good home for Allie. The appointment was set for 2:00 on Saturday, 08/23. Christy explained everything about Allie, the fact that she still had valley fever and had been in a fight with a female dog in a foster home. Christy said Allie really liked Tamra and Jason's two teenage girls of 12 and 13, but was a little shy with Jason. Tamra and Jason were super patient and did not push her. She just gravitated towards the kids really enjoying their company and came around Jason and Tamra too. After the meet and greet, Christy had a previous engagement so turned Tamra and her family over to Michelle Lindorff for further information about taking Allie home. They liked Allie and were very interested in taking her home under the PACC foster to adopt program. When they mentioned that to Michelle, she discouraged them from fostering Allie and said she would not be a good candidate for foster. Her reasoning was if they did take her to foster, then Allie would miss an opportunity for permanent adoption through PACC. Please remember Allie has been sitting in a kennel in the PACC system since December of last year with 3 months away in a foster home. In that foster home Allie did extremely well with the other dog until Allie went into heat and a fight occurred. This family was well aware of her time in a foster home, the altercation with the foster dog, and her valley fever and yet they still wanted to foster Allie. Michelle told them she could not help with that and that they needed to come back again on Sunday when the foster coordinator was working. Again, no offers or assistance was provided to help facilitate a foster or work with the family to help get Allie out of PACC. Tamra and her family drove 40 miles to come to meet Allie, spent several hours out of their day, only to be told you'll have to come back tomorrow if you are interested in fostering this dog.

  After learning they were basically turned away, I contacted Michele Ochoaski to ask for help. She again contacted Dr. Wilcox to ask her if Allie would be eligible for the foster program. Dr. Wilcox immediately said yes and that she would work with this family and do whatever it took to get this animal into a good home. Dr. Wilcox indicated she wanted this family to contact her directly so she could speak with them regarding Allie's history and medical needs and the care Allie would need in her new home. I have tried contacting Tamra via email and cell phone, but have not been able to reach them.

As a volunteer, I'm constantly marketing the animals at PACC hoping that through my efforts some will find good homes. I'm an active retired member of the Animal House Club at Raytheon. It was through this club, that Tamra found out about Allie and my friend Pat contacted me about Adrian. People talk and the word will get out about their unhappy experiences at PACC and the difficulty they had in trying to do the right thing and save a shelter pet. The goal of all PACC employees should be to go the extra

mile to help an animal move into a caring home. But, obviously, that is not the case in these two instances. How many more have missed their chance at a home because of the lack of knowledge and concern from Michelle Lindorff.

The Rescue Coordinator and Foster Coordinator are two people in working in the same building who should have the same goal, getting animals out of PACC and into safe environments. They do not work for different organizations or miles away from each other. If one is not available, then the other one should fill in without being told to or asked. Each employee should have the forethought to refer prospective homes to the other employee when certain cases might apply.

I'm extremely disappointed and embarrassed that these two families had such a difficult time trying to take animals out of PACC especially because I'm a PACC advocate at Raytheon. Both families are good homes for these dogs. How many other SNA animals have missed a chance for a good home because the Rescue Coordinator is not willing to suggest or allow alternative solutions like the PACC foster program, or to check with Dr. Wilcox to see if medications can be given to an adopter until they can meet with their veterinarian?

It should not be necessary for the volunteers and rescue groups to spend countless hours texting, sending messages, making phone calls, and thinking out of the box, to get an animal out of PACC. This should not even be a concern to a volunteer or rescue group, but lately they are the ones working to save the lives of the animals at PACC who are labeled SNA's.

It takes wisdom, knowledge, problem solving skills and a personal desire to save animals to be a rescue coordinator. So far, I have not witnessed this and as you know from previous complaints, I'm far from alone with this observation.

Mariana Parker
PACC Volunteer

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.
www.avast.com

Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>

very pregnant dog

4 messages

Terri Goddard <terrigoddard@hotmail.com> Wed, Oct 22, 2014 at 12:25 PM
To: "justin.gallick@pima.gov" <justin.gallick@pima.gov>, "jennifer.wilcox@pima.gov" <jennifer.wilcox@pima.gov>, Tiffiany the Great! <intheangelsarms@gmail.com>, Jose PACC <jose.ocano@pima.gov>, Michelle Lindorff <michelle.lindorff@pima.gov>, "barkntucson@aol.com" <barkntucson@aol.com>, Tammi with FAIR Barrick <tammibarrick@msn.com>, Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>

Good morning, I was just informed that there is a very pregnant dog at pacc. Why havent the rescues that agreed to pull these dogs been notified of this and mothers and babies as of late? Thank you so much.
Terri Goddard
TCWN

very pregnant dog.jpg
67K

Justin Gallick <Justin.Gallick@pima.gov> Wed, Oct 22, 2014 at 12:35 PM
To: Terri Goddard <terrigoddard@hotmail.com>, Jennifer Wilcox <Jennifer.Wilcox@pima.gov>, Tiffiany the Great! <intheangelsarms@gmail.com>, Jose Ocano <Jose.Ocano@pima.gov>, Michelle Lindorff <Michelle.Lindorff@pima.gov>, "barkntucson@aol.com" <barkntucson@aol.com>, Tammi with FAIR Barrick <tammibarrick@msn.com>, Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>

This dog is currently on an enforcement hold for the next 4-5 days and can't be release until that hold is cleared. Other than that the only mother and puppies that I am aware of is the semi feral Shepherd x (2x biter) with her single 5 week old pup.

Thanks,

Justin

From: Terri Goddard [mailto:terrigoddard@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 22, 2014 12:26 PM

To: Justin Gallick; Jennifer Wilcox; Tiffiany the Great!; Jose Ocano; Michelle Lindorff; barkntucson@aol.com; Tammi with FAIR Barrick; Kim Silver
Subject: very pregnant dog

Good morning, I was just informed that there is a very pregnant dog at pacc. Why havent the rescues that agreed to pull these dogs been notified of this and mothers and babies as of late? Thank you so much.

Terri Goddard

TCWN

Terri Goddard <terrigoddard@hotmail.com> Wed, Oct 22, 2014 at 12:36 PM
To: "justin.gallick@pima.gov" <justin.gallick@pima.gov>, Jennifer Wilcox <jennifer.wilcox@pima.gov>, Tiffiany the Great! <intheangelsarms@gmail.com>, Jose PACC <jose.ocano@pima.gov>, Michelle Lindorff <michelle.lindorff@pima.gov>, "barkntucson@aol.com" <barkntucson@aol.com>, Tammi with FAIR Barrick <tammibarrick@msn.com>, Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>

can you please let us know when that hold is released and also please send the picture of the mom with the one puppy. Thank you so much.

Terri

From: Justin.Gallick@pima.gov
To: terrigoddard@hotmail.com; Jennifer.Wilcox@pima.gov; intheangelsarms@gmail.com; Jose.Ocano@pima.gov; Michelle.Lindorff@pima.gov; barkntucson@aol.com; tammibarrick@msn.com; kim@kimscaninecollege.com
Subject: RE: very pregnant dog
Date: Wed, 22 Oct 2014 19:35:33 +0000
[Quoted text hidden]

Tiffany Rosler <intheangelsarms@gmail.com> Wed, Oct 22, 2014 at 12:53 PM
To: Terri Goddard <terrigoddard@hotmail.com>
Cc: "justin.gallick@pima.gov" <justin.gallick@pima.gov>, Jennifer Wilcox <jennifer.wilcox@pima.gov>, Jose PACC <jose.ocano@pima.gov>, Michelle Lindorff <michelle.lindorff@pima.gov>, "barkntucson@aol.com" <barkntucson@aol.com>, Tammi with FAIR Barrick <tammibarrick@msn.com>, Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>, missmaggiemay@cox.net

When dogs come in that are on hold, notifying rescues in advance gives us time to network and find proper foster homes and avoid scrambling and not getting the best placement.

Thank you,

Tiffany
[Quoted text hidden]
--
www.inthearmsofangels.rescuegroups.org

http://shelter.thundershirt.com/shelterDefault.aspx?sid=AZ424

Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>

justin corp

2 messages

Terri Goddard <terrigoddard@hotmail.com> Sat, Oct 18, 2014 at 9:29 AM
To: Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>, Tiffiany the Great! <intheangelsarms@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, 9 Oct 2014 15:20:12 -0700
Subject: 496921
From: intheangelsarms@gmail.com
To: justin.gallick@pima.gov

When was this dog spayed? Not only was she not on the non-existent, yet agreed to 72 hour list for pregnant animals, she wasn't even on the regular rescue list...Which btw is still plagued with kc/uri dogs. When are those coming off? Who is in charge of rescue these lists? Clearly, whoever it is can't handle them.

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

Screenshot_2014-10-09-15-12-54-1.png
371K

Terri Goddard <terrigoddard@hotmail.com> Sat, Oct 18, 2014 at 9:38 AM
To: Tiffiany the Great! <intheangelsarms@gmail.com>, Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>

never got an answer

From: terrigoddard@hotmail.com

To: justin.gallick@pima.gov; jose.ocano@pima.gov
Subject: suggestion
Date: Thu, 2 Oct 2014 15:44:58 +0000

Hi Justin, I saw the great work Christy Hollinger is doing with the training classes on Monday And Wednesday. She seems to really know some of these at risk dogs. May i suggest on the days the intern for the rescue coordinator is there (so they can manage the helm) that Michelle Lindorff attend these classes to meet and really experience these dogs out of the kennels.
The classes sound so good i think i will start helping when i get back in town.

I think it also builds a good foundation with volunteers and staff to work together in a different setting. And I think it will be a good experience for Michelle, more hands on with the dogs.

Terri Goddard
TCWN

Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>

Robin dean facebook

Terri Goddard <terrigoddard@hotmail.com> Sat, Oct 18, 2014 at 9:50 AM
To: Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>

Robin M. Dean
October 15 at 9:32pm · Tucson, AZ

Does anyone else have trouble receiving the "Dogs" rescue list via email each night? I will get it for several days, and then suddenly I only get "Cats" - sometimes for a week or two. Then I will get the dogs list again for a few days - then nothing - then back to only cats. I have asked Michelle about it and she said they were having some trouble and thought it was related to the receivers email systems. But, then why do cats come through faithfully each night? Anyone able to email me the dogs list? I have a few open fosters and would love to see the list. Having trouble getting down there often to physically look at the dog inventory since my surgery, but, if I had the list of what is smaller and needs out, it would be great. My email - robin@mostlycockersandpoms.com .

LikeLike · Comment · Share

Robin M. Dean Ok, must be only me I guess.
October 16 at 9:16pm · Like

Dolly Fernandes No Robin, last time I checked my rescue email account there were no PACC emails in there over what had to be a span of a few weeks
October 16 at 9:58pm · Like

Robin M. Dean Ok - so not just me Dolly Fernandes? It makes it really, really hard to try to get info on dogs that need help when I can't physically get down there much right now.
October 16 at 10:00pm · Like · 1

Dolly Fernandes I don't even know who to tag for this convo so they can give you a heads up on what dogs are there. I'm working on the yards today otherwise Id gladly go find you some candidates.
October 16 at 10:34pm · Like

Terri Goddard Please email me this robin i will brong up to the higher ups
2 hrs · Like · 1

Robin M. Dean Will do Terri Goddard.
30 mins · Like

Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>

RE: Short Term Rescue List 10/01/14

Terri Goddard <terrigoddard@hotmail.com> Wed, Oct 1, 2014 at 3:00 PM
To: "justin.gallick@pima.gov" <justin.gallick@pima.gov>, Michelle Lindorff <michelle.lindorff@pima.gov>, Jennifer Wilcox <jennifer.wilcox@pima.gov>, Signe Razzi <coldwetnoses@msn.com>, Tiffiany the Great! <intheangelsarms@gmail.com>, Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>, "cathydogneuman@gmail.com" <cathydogneuman@gmail.com>, "jackdogneuman@gmail.com" <jackdogneuman@gmail.com>
Cc: Jose PACC <jose.ocano@pima.gov>, Patricia Cheriton <patricia.cheriton@pima.gov>, elle at pacc <ellie.beaubien@pima.gov>

Thank you for your prompt response Justin. I will however again request that Medical dogs that are Not suffering be given a weeks notice so that the rescues that do take the majority in to their rescue have enough time to sort out what the animal will need, find a foster and of course raise funds if applicable.

Also i am requesting that from this date set forth October 1, 2014 that either your rescue coordinator or a volunteer send a separate senior list weekly to your rescue and volunteer partners so we can advocate for them . Also we would like to see this for your small special needs dogs and large special needs.

Weights and breeds are of course important in this information but in layman terms what is ailing them would be most helpful. Thank you so very much.

Terri Goddard
TCWN
www.tucsoncoldwetnoses.com

From: Justin.Gallick@pima.gov
To: terrigoddard@hotmail.com; Michelle.Lindorff@pima.gov; Jennifer.Wilcox@pima.gov; coldwetnoses@msn.com; intheangelsarms@gmail.com; kim@kimscaninecollege.com; cathydogneuman@gmail.com; jackdogneuman@gmail.com
CC: Jose.Ocano@pima.gov; Patricia.Cheriton@pima.gov; Ellie.Beaubien@pima.gov
Subject: RE: Short Term Rescue List 10/01/14
Date: Wed, 1 Oct 2014 21:33:47 +0000

Hi Terri,

The questions that you brought up are important ones. Mainly, I would like to say that we are not going back to doing things the old way. Several of the dogs that we are discussing here are owner requested euthanasia's that before wouldn't have even been evaluated or considered for adoption or rescue.

Progress is happening, although I understand that many times it does not seem fast enough. When we are making decisions about rescue options and short term lists, we have to consider our capacity for care and the animal's well-being in the shelter environment. This is not because of any burden an animal may or may not place on the staff or the shelter; the concern is the well-being of that particular animal as well as the other animals within our care. We are trying to make decisions that do the best for the most, while not losing sight of

each animal as an individual. Currently offering these animals to rescue and offering to perform the medical procedures if a rescue group can commit on the animal is the most realistic means to accomplish this.

Many hate to hear this and even see this as an excuse- we do not have enough people to do all of the work that is expected of us or that we want to do ourselves. We want to see more time put in to recruiting more rescues and fosters. We want to have staff spend more time advocating for individual animals. We want to provide better care for the animals we have in house. We would like to improve our availability for medical care and our ability to address behavioral issues. The reality is right now we do not have the capacity to do all of these things.

We are grateful that our Advisory Committee, in particular the Chair, Jack Neumann as well as members Dr. Gail Smith and Dr. Erin O'Donnell are advocating for more staff so we can improve the work we do. Until that happens we will continue to do as much as we can and to push ourselves to do what needs to be done. Also until that happens, we will likely fall short of other's expectations and of our own expectations from time to time.

Justin

From: Terri Goddard [mailto:terrigoddard@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 01, 2014 11:23 AM
To: Michelle Lindorff; Jennifer Wilcox; Signe Razzi; Tiffiany the Great!; Kim Silver; cathydogneuman@gmail.com; jackdogneuman@gmail.com
Cc: Justin Gallick; Jose Ocano; Patricia Cheriton; Ellie Beaubien
Subject: RE: Short Term Rescue List 10/01/14

Is Pacc going to go back to the old way where the old dogs either get put down or they get put on short notice ??? What a huge step back for Pacc. Do you think that Rescues should be sanctuaries then instead because if that is the case than sadly your looking at alot more deaths and dogs being put down simply because we as rescues don't have these kind of fosters in general either.

Pacc needs to help the seniors just like any other dog, put a bed in there , walk them daily and rotate them to the front to get them more exposure.

Tucson Cold Wet Noses takes in the majority of your seniors and dogs that need surgery and never once would we consider them a burden which is what you all are conveying at this moment.

I am so sadden to see Dr Wilcox take this attitude. When we can we take in the seniors when room is available and good fosters are found. Putting a senior out to the rescues is fine and dandy i get it. I have

requested more than once and frankly when the old rescue coordinator was in the rescue position once a week at least they would send out emails of all of the seniors , small dogs, large dogs that were in much need of getting out.

The list that you send out daily is too long for at-least me to go thru and i think this is a much better option on top of your long list.

From now on i strongly suggest you put out a weekly list of seniors in need so we can help you get the word out and network them better.

I don't ever expect Pacc to be perfect but it really makes me so very sad to see such attitudes about your senior population. Its time for the rescue staff to think outside the box and push themselves hard to get these dogs out.

I can only speak for myself but putting out emails is only half the work , contact each rescue, looking for new avenues, looking for new rescues is more work but you will get more out. I dont see that being done by your rescue staff.

I will take your pittance of giving Jackie till the 8th but again i suggest Pacc think more about what they can do to make it more comfortable for the seniors till they get a home instead of thinking that they are a burden in their shelter.

Terri Goddard

Tucson Cold Wet Noses

From: Michelle.Lindorff@pima.gov
To: terrigoddard@hotmail.com; Jennifer.Wilcox@pima.gov; coldwetnoses@msn.com; intheangelsarms@gmail.com
CC: Justin.Gallick@pima.gov; Jose.Ocano@pima.gov; Patricia.Cheriton@pima.gov; Ellie.Beaubien@pima.gov
Subject: RE: Short Term Rescue List 10/01/14
Date: Wed, 1 Oct 2014 18:02:01 +0000

Dr. Wilcox is in surgery today, so she might not be able to be reached by phone or email. However, I can tell you what she just told me, which is that she thinks that short term rescue is in the best interest of both of these pets as their adoptability through our long-term rescue/SNA model is virtually zero and we've lot a lot of older pets to deterioration in the shelter**. She said that we can't continue to pretend that we're a sanctuary for these pets because we aren't set up for it.**

The recommendation to list both Saturn and Jackie for short term rescue was made by Dr. Wilcox, and she offered to do the surgeries in an effort to entice rescues to pull them. Doing the surgeries, however, wouldn't change the fact that they are senior pets with low adoptability and in Jackie's case, wouldn't change the fact that she is a senior dog with severe hip problems in an incredibly uncomfortable environment.

You are welcome to take Jackie to get x-rays of her hips and we can hold her through 7 PM on 10/08 to explore rescue/treatment options. However, please remember that her adoptability is extremely low in the shelter and that she is living on concrete, which is less than ideal. Dr. Wilcox said that the most humane thing to do in these cases is to either get them out into a home or euthanize them given how we've seen them deteriorate in the past.

Thank you for all that you're doing to help Jackie and our other medical cases!

Michelle Lindorff

Rescue Program Coordinator

Pima Animal Care Center

Email: michelle.lindorff@pima.gov

Phone: 520.243.5936

Fax: 520.791.6589

From: Terri Goddard [mailto:terrigoddard@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 01, 2014 10:35 AM
To: Jennifer Wilcox; Signe Razzi; Tiffiany the Great!
Cc: Justin Gallick; Jose Ocano; Patricia Cheriton; Ellie Beaubien; Michelle Lindorff
Subject: RE: Short Term Rescue List 10/01/14

IF DR WILCOX IS WILLING TO REMOVE THE MASS WHY IS THE CAT ON 72 HOURS??? RIDICULAS

Also TCWN can take the shepherd Jackie to get xrays on the hips to see if they are even repairable. I suggest a weeks notice on Jackie instead of a 72 hours as it takes time first to find out what is really wrong with her, secondly what are her options if any and thirdly to raise the $ if fixable.

Terri Goddard

Tucson Cold Wet Noses

From: Michelle.Lindorff@pima.gov
To: Michelle.Lindorff@pima.gov
CC: Justin.Gallick@pima.gov; Jose.Ocano@pima.gov; Patricia.Cheriton@pima.gov; Ellie.Beaubien@pima.gov
Subject: Short Term Rescue List 10/01/14
Date: Wed, 1 Oct 2014 17:14:13 +0000

Hudson (A485920) is an approximately 2 year old neutered male pit bull mix who was brought to us as a stray. He has

become very reactive to people approaching his kennel, and will lunge, bark aggressively, and bite at the kennel bars. Once out of the kennel, he calms down and is people social, although a little nervous. He will be held through 7 PM on 10/03/14 for rescue efforts. He does not have any issues being kenneled with other dogs and does well with them when on leash, too. He is not recommended to a home with young children given his behavior, and is interested in chasing cats. He weighs approximately 50 pounds.

Noki (A485320) is an approximately 8 year old spayed German shepherd mix who originally came to us as a stray in June. She was adopted and returned shortly after for not getting along with the smaller animals in the home. Noki was originally able to be kenneled with large dogs here, but has since become intolerant of them and is unable to share a kennel. She has also become reactive toward dogs in the kennel and while on leash. She will be held through 7 PM on 10/03/14 for rescue efforts. One-on-one, Noki is a very sweet and mellow girl who loves attention. She weighs approximately 85 pounds.

Jackie (A496236) is an approximately 10 year old female German shepherd who was surrendered to us as she was “too old.” She is very sweet with people and tolerant of other dogs. She has hindlimb muscle wasting bilaterally and very limited hip extension due to pain. She is unable to be maintained in the shelter and will be held through 10/03/14 for rescue efforts. Jackie also needs a dental cleaning and has mammary masses that need to be removed. Dr. Wilcox will do both procedures if a rescue or adopter commits to taking her. She weighs 78 pounds.

Caramel (A489511) is a 2 year old spayed pit bull mix who came to us as a stray in July. She was picked up with another dog and was originally able to be kenneled with dogs here. However, she has since become very reactive to them both in kennel and on leash. She will be held through 7 PM on 10/03/14 for rescue efforts. Volunteers report that she is a very sweet girl who likes her belly and butt rubbed. Caramel is not recommended to a home with cats or small children, and needs a dog introduction done before going to a home with other dogs. She weighs around 50 pounds.

Raider (A398429) is a 2 year old neutered pit bull mix who was picked up in the field after attempting to dig under the caller's fence and acting aggressively with her dogs. He was originally able to be kenneled with other dogs, so he was placed for adoption with the recommendation that adopters bring their dogs in to meet him before adopting. However, he has been housed alone for the majority of his time here and is now deteriorating and becoming increasingly reactive in the kennel toward people and dogs. Volunteers report that "he's an idiot in his kennel but a total gentleman outside" and is a "very good boy who loves belly rubs." Raider will be held through 7 PM on 10/03/14 for rescue efforts. He weighs 55 pounds.

Saturn (A496297) is a 10+ year old female DSH who came to us as a stray. She is a friendly, chatty girl who has an ~3cm ulcerated alopecic mass mid-caudal trunk. She also has moderate dental disease. Saturn is being placed on a hold for rescue efforts ending at 7 PM on 10/03/14. If committed on by a rescue or adopter, Dr. Wilcox will remove the mass and clean her teeth.

Thank you for helping us to network these pets! Please “reply all” to this email if your rescue is able to commit to any of them.

Michelle Lindorff

Rescue Program Coordinator

Pima Animal Care Center

Email: michelle.lindorff@pima.gov

Phone: 520.243.5936

Fax: 520.791.6589

FW: Rescue List

Terri Goddard <terrigoddard@hotmail.com> Mon, Oct 13, 2014 at 10:45 AM
To: Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>

Date: Mon, 13 Oct 2014 10:22:40 -0700
Subject: Rescue List
From: intheangelsarms@gmail.com
To: justin.gallick@pima.gov; terrigoddard@hotmail.com

Good Morning,

Dreamweaver 497352 and Ainsley 497351 were put on the rescue list as pregnant. How much more difficult is it to have put on a separate "Urgent List" such as the 72 hour one for behavior and medical? When your previous Rescue Coordinator worked there, she sent out categorized emails on a regular basis. To clarify cate*gorized emails* such as "Seniors", "Pregnant dogs", "Families", "Small special needs dogs", and she would also send out information on dogs that she knew weren't fairing well in the shelter. She knew the animals in the shelter because she interacted with them and therefore could recognize signs of deterioration and start networking BEFORE it was an issue. I feel these categorized e-mails were very helpful in networking dogs. She knew her rescue partners and what they would take, much like you do. I have spoken with several rescues lately and the consensus seems to be it is very difficult to work with PACC and get ACCURATE information regarding the animals with in the facility. While I realize your rebuttal will likely be (assuming you don't ignore this email like you do the rest I send) "at the meeting in March we handed out a form"... the problem with that is people are tired of filling out forms or sending emails that go in a pile and don't lead to anything productive. My recommended solution to that is you have the new rescue coordinator call EVERY partnered rescue and speak with someone over the phone personally to A) introduce herself (something that really should have been done when she was hired) and B) make an effort to build up rescue partner relations that have been broken over the last year....I've seen the numbers....rescues numbers are way down. I wonder what changed from last year to this year...I'm certain it is more than just treating kennel cough. Where the resistance will be is that rescue partners are so used to be lied to and not utilized they don't want to put the effort into working with PACC that they used to. The solution to that is something you will need to work on.

Since, I am almost positive you will not have the current rescue coordinator make those calls, perhaps at minimum you can teach her how to make those *life saving categorized* emails. I'm positive it is easy. Diego is doing online school so he emails his teachers and groups of kids for projects. I'm sure if there are no employees available to teach your rescue coordinator how to do this I can have Diego come and show her. Just let me know if that is needed.

Thank you,

Tiffany
--
www.inthearmsofangels.rescuegroups.org

http://shelter.thundershirt.com/shelterDefault.aspx?sid=AZ424

Terri Goddard <terrigoddard@hotmail.com> Tue, Oct 14, 2014 at 12:14 PM
To: "justin.gallick@pima.gov" <justin.gallick@pima.gov>, Tiffany the Great In the Arms of the Angels

<intheangelsarms@gmail.com>

I am not sure that Rachel had an intern the whole time she was there but what ever. I am not asking you to justify anyone's job, things are pretty clear without you doing that. I am just stating actual facts. Again no alter list since august. With more interns and staff then ever i am just not getting it. More dogs and cats absolutely but doesn't it equal out? at all? If you choose to always put the blame on shortage of staff and not enough time in the day so be it. I have listened to that well over a year and at this point expect it. I expect that the volunteers will be your saving grace until we decide we are done. I get that . I just don't think we are asking for a lot yet you insinuate that we are. One can not help if one doesn't get cooperation on the other end. I have never used the time as an excuse not to work my ass off to save a life , to help empty a shelter. I certainly hope this wont be the excuse for years to come.

Terri Goddard
TCWN

From: Justin.Gallick@pima.gov
To: terrigoddard@hotmail.com; intheangelsarms@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Rescue List
Date: Tue, 14 Oct 2014 19:01:18 +0000

I hear you both loud and clear. When Rachel was here it was Michelle who wrote and did a lot of the work that you are referring to. I really don't have the time to justify Michelle's job on a regular basis but she is doing it and doing it well. I understand that there are things that none of us get to and hope for the correct amount of staff soon so that we don't have to rely on our volunteers a so much as I am seeing the toll that it is taking on a lot of them on a daily basis.

Justin

From: Terri Goddard [mailto:terrigoddard@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 14, 2014 11:42 AM
To: Justin Gallick; Tiffiany the Great!
Subject: RE: Rescue List

Justin I haven't seen an alter list since August nor do I believe anyone has for quite sometime. so not sure what your talking about having to track. I don't understand why Rachel was able to accommodate but your rescue coordinator with an intern cannot. Sad. As the animals should ALWAYS BE PRIORITY. Also being to busy really appears to be the only excuse that we get as of late. I cannot believe that it would take much time to gather the seniors with pictures once a week and send out , same with your little special needs dogs as well. When it was just Rachel things were not needed to be asked for quite as much as we have had to ask for . I know you dont agree nor do you want to hear this but it is what it is. Thank goodness Kelly Comstock a volunteer is able to handle this right now. I certainly feel like Pacc relies more on their volunteers than they do on the paid staff you are so quick to defend.

Terri Goddard

TCWN

From: Justin.Gallick@pima.gov
To: intheangelsarms@gmail.com; terrigoddard@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Rescue List
Date: Tue, 14 Oct 2014 18:34:45 +0000

Thanks. I have heard this request and we are working on figuring out the SOP for Pregnant pets and should have an answer soon. As to the other lists, they take time that at this point none of have but I am confident that we will get there with the new ordinance and us not having to track compliance of S/N once rescues have their home visits completed.

Justin

From: Tiffany Rosler [mailto:intheangelsarms@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, October 13, 2014 10:23 AM
To: Justin Gallick; Terri Goddard
Subject: Rescue List

[Quoted text hidden]

Terri Goddard <terrigoddard@hotmail.com> Tue, Oct 14, 2014 at 1:20 PM
To: Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>

From: terrigoddard@hotmail.com
To: justin.gallick@pima.gov; intheangelsarms@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Rescue List
Date: Tue, 14 Oct 2014 19:52:24 +0000

I am so disappointed by your response. Do you understand how little we are requesting?
If we can assist why cant you partner up to help?
1. Requesting a once of week list of seniors @ Pima Animal Care Center
2. Requesting a once of week list of small dogs @Pima Animal Care Center
3. Requesting heads up once a week of animals that are in distress
4. 72 hours notice before you abort pregnant dogs.
5. Immediate notification of a pregnant, possible pregnant or moms with babies entering Pima Animal Care Center.

These should go out to every rescue partner involved with Pima Animal Care Center not just to the chosen few.

Thank you so much.
[Quoted text hidden]

Terri Goddard <terrigoddard@hotmail.com> Sat, Oct 18, 2014 at 9:30 AM
To: Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>, Tiffany the Great In the Arms of the Angels <intheangelsarms@gmail.com>

Thanks. I have heard this request and we are working on figuring out the SOP for Pregnant pets and should have an answer soon. As to the other lists, they take time that at this point none of have but I am confident that we will get there with the new ordinance and us not having to track compliance of S/N once rescues have their home visits completed.

Justin

From: Tiffany Rosler [mailto:intheangelsarms@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, October 13, 2014 10:23 AM
To: Justin Gallick; Terri Goddard
Subject: Rescue List

Good Morning,

Dreamweaver 497352 and Ainsley 497351 were put on the rescue list as pregnant. How much more difficult is it to have put on a separate "Urgent List" such as the 72 hour one for behavior and medical? When your previous Rescue Coordinator worked there, she sent out categorized emails on a regular basis. To clarify cate*gorized emails* such as "Seniors", "Pregnant dogs", "Families", "Small special needs dogs", and she would also send out information on dogs that she knew weren't fairing well in the shelter. She knew the animals in the shelter because she interacted with them and therefore could recognize signs of deterioration and start networking BEFORE it was an issue. I feel these categorized e-mails were very helpful in networking dogs. She knew her rescue partners and what they would take, much like you do. I have spoken with several rescues lately and the consensus seems to be it is very difficult to work with PACC and get ACCURATE information regarding the animals with in the facility. While I realize your rebuttal will likely be (assuming you don't ignore this email like you do the rest I send) "at the meeting in March we handed out a form"... the problem with that is people are tired of filling out forms or sending emails that go in a pile and don't lead to anything productive. My recommended solution to that is you have the new rescue coordinator call EVERY partnered rescue and speak with someone over the phone personally to A) introduce herself (something that really should have been done when she was hired) and B) make an effort to build up rescue partner relations that have been broken over the last year....I've seen the numbers....rescues numbers are way down. I wonder what changed from last year to this year...I'm certain it is more than just treating kennel cough. Where the resistance will be is that rescue partners are so used to be lied to and not utilized they don't want to put the effort into working with PACC that they used to. The solution to that is something you will need to work on.

Since, I am almost positive you will not have the current rescue coordinator make those calls, perhaps at minimum you can teach her how to make those *life saving categorized* emails. I'm positive it is easy. Diego is doing online school so he emails his teachers and groups of kids for projects. I'm sure if there are no employees available to teach your rescue coordinator how to do this I can have Diego come and show her. Just let me know if that is needed.

Thank you,

Tiffany
--
www.inthearmsofangels.rescuegroups.org

http://shelter.thundershirt.com/shelterDefault.aspx?sid=AZ424

--
www.inthearmsofangels.rescuegroups.org

http://shelter.thundershirt.com/shelterDefault.aspx?sid=AZ424

Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>

St. Bernards at PACC

Terri Goddard <terrigoddard@hotmail.com> Wed, Oct 22, 2014 at 1:52 PM
To: "justin.gallick@pima.gov" <justin.gallick@pima.gov>, Michelle Lindorff <michelle.lindorff@pima.gov>, Jose PACC <jose.ocano@pima.gov>
Cc: "st. bernard rescue" <jcroy2@cox.net>

I had taken the time a few days ago to speak with Janel @ St. Bernard Rescue about 2 St. Bernards @ Pacc. As of today Janel said she had left a message yesterday at noonish on Michelle's phone (i am aware she was out yesterday} and then an email was sent to Justin @1:30 yesterday and then a message was left today again on Michelle's phone. Janel's number is 480-747-2166. She is awaiting a phone call back.

Just a reminder for me to assist you your mindset really should be as the rescue staff on getting these animals out. I am all for helping and networking and will continue to do that but if I refer a rescue to you all its very important that we all expedite the animal getting out as quickly as possible. May i make a suggestion to maybe check voice-mails upon your arrival first thing and return phone calls and emails as top priority so that the rescues can get everything organized , transport, foster homes... We all have the same mission. That is to get the animals out to rescue and homes so that it creates more room for the animals constantly coming in. Thank you so very much.'
Terri Goddard
TCWN

Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>

The Rescue nightly list

3 messages

Terri Goddard <terrigoddard@hotmail.com> Sat, Oct 18, 2014 at 8:20 PM
To: Michelle Lindorff <michelle.lindorff@pima.gov>, "justin.gallick@pima.gov" <justin.gallick@pima.gov>, Tiffiany the Great! <intheangelsarms@gmail.com>, Jose PACC <jose.ocano@pima.gov>, Signe Razzi <coldwetnoses@msn.com>, Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>

Good evening,

Though i appreciate the effort of separating the rescue list by gender i do believe if you could separate by seniors, small dogs, large dogs, moms and puppies including possible pregnant and pregnant moms instead it would be easier (and more productive) for your rescue partners to net work these animals for PACC . Separating by gender that i am aware of is not as helpful when networking the vast amount of special needs dogs and cats .
Thank you so much.
Terri Goddard
Tucson Cold Wet Noses

Michelle Lindorff <Michelle.Lindorff@pima.gov> Sun, Oct 19, 2014 at 11:39 AM
To: Terri Goddard <terrigoddard@hotmail.com>, Justin Gallick <Justin.Gallick@pima.gov>, Tiffiany the Great! <intheangelsarms@gmail.com>, Jose Ocano <Jose.Ocano@pima.gov>, Signe Razzi <coldwetnoses@msn.com>, Kim Silver <kim@kimscaninecollege.com>

Thank you for the feedback, Terri. The list was split into male/female lists because Comcast and several other servers began bouncing back the comprehensive list as undeliverable as the file size was too large.

We are currently looking into finding a way to auto-generate the lists you are requesting or recruiting a volunteer willing to donate 6-8 hours a week to manually generate them for us.

Thanks again,

Michelle Lindorff

Rescue Program Coordinator

Pima Animal Care Center

Email: michelle.lindorff@pima.gov

Phone: 520.243.5936

Fax: 520.791.6589

From: Terri Goddard [mailto:terrigoddard@hotmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, October 18, 2014 8:20 PM
To: Michelle Lindorff; Justin Gallick; Tiffiany the Great!; Jose Ocano; Signe Razzi; Kim Silver
Subject: The Rescue nightly list

[Quoted text hidden]

Terri Goddard <terrigoddard@hotmail.com> Sun, Oct 19, 2014 at 7:59 PM
To: michelle.lindorff@pima.gov, justin.gallick@pima.gov, intheangelsarms@gmail.com, jose.ocano@pima.gov, coldwetnoses@msn.com, kim@kimscaninecollege.com

I honestly don't think that will take 6 to 8 hours so I think that you need to maybe do it yourself to see how long it will take also chameleon should have some of those options in there I spoke with Maricopa shelter and she says its a check list and that chameleon should be able to pull by small dogs cuz you check that on there by senior dogs maybe we can go by 7 years or above but its there's no way that it should take 6 to 8 hours to do

---

From: Michelle.Lindorff@pima.gov
To: terrigoddard@hotmail.com; Justin.Gallick@pima.gov; intheangelsarms@gmail.com; Jose.Ocano@pima.gov; coldwetnoses@msn.com; kim@kimscaninecollege.com
Subject: RE: The Rescue nightly list
Date: Sun, 19 Oct 2014 18:39:37 +0000
[Quoted text hidden]

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD • TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 243-5900 FAX (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

MEMORANDUM

TO: **Kim Janes, Chief of External Operations**

FROM: **Jose Chavez, Enforcement Operations Manager**

DATE: **10-30-14 2014**

SUBJECT: **Welfare report for October 2014**

---

1. A14-156429 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

2. A14-15211 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

3. A14-156877 Ten animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at PACC. The owner redeemed two dogs and relinquished the rest to Pima Animal Care Center and all were adopted. This complaint is closed

4. A14-158825 One animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at PACC. The owner redeemed the dog. This complaint is closed.

5. A14-152716 Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. Four animals were relinquished to Pima Animal Care Center. Two were euthanized due to their aggression and two were adopted. This complaint is closed.

6. A14-157163 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

7. A14-157854 One deceased animal impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

8. A14-157743 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

9. A14-157882 Three animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at PACC. The owner redeemed the animals. This complaint is closed.

10. A14-158735 No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the caretaker and cited at the scene. This complaint is pending a welfare recheck after 11-5-14.

WC 1

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT					ACO NAME / BADGE # A. Kirby #2057	COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-156429	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS					BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP 85757	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS REFUSED					CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT 180	HEIGHT 5'09"	EYES BLU	HAIR COLOR BN	ORIGIN 5	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

LOCATION OF INCIDENT | DATE AND TIME REPORTED 09/22/14 / 1610 hrs | DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 09/22/14 / 1644 hrs

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME PACC Officer A. Kirby #2057	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.	
VICTIM'S ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbel Rd.	ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE	

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	CITING ACO A. Kirby #2057	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.110 (B)(5), 6.04.070, 11-1010(A) | REVIEWED BY 9-29-14 DTK1911

CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73383, 73384 | BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Shepherd/ Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Bagel	BR Brindle	M	A				N	A496061
Husky/ Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Smokey	Blk / Wht	M	A				N	A496063
Pit Bull/ Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Polly	Blk	M	A				N	A496065
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

WC 1

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-156429

ACO name & Badge: A. Kirby #2057

On 09/22/14 at 1731 hrs I Officer Kirby 2057 responded to (in reference to a dog that jumps over the fence and has attempted to bite. Upon arrival I met with Mr. the owner of the shepherd mix in question. Mr. Gray showed me 3 dogs in his back yard, Bagel, brown brindle Shepherd mix, Smokey, a black and white Husky, and Polly, a black and white Pit Bull mix. Upon seeing the back yard through the sliding glass door I observed the dog Bagel on a cable tie out that was tied to the back porch post and wrapped around 2 other posts. I advised Mr. that tie outs are against the law, and that he would have to find other means of confinement. Mr. did state that, "the shepherd mix gets out about 2 times a week." and that when he's home he lets Bagel run around off leash in the back yard, but when he's gone he ties her out. Mr. admitted that none of the dogs are licensed or vaccinated for rabies. I issued citations for Neglect - Tie out for Bagel, and no license / no vaccinations on all 3 dogs. Mr. was explained his citations, court date, time, and location. He stated he understood and signed the citations.

Officer's Signature: **Date:** 09/23/14

W2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT					ACO NAME / BADGE # Bowdon #2013	COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-152111	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS /					BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☒		
ZIP 85706	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER /		CODE IF OTHER :4-97		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS					CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT 200	HEIGHT 5'5"	EYES Br	HAIR COLOR Bk	ORIGIN 1	DOB 08/22/70	SSN
LOCATION OF INCIDENT			DATE AND TIME REPORTED 07/21/14 / 20:01		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 10/01/14 / 12:33		

FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☒ Leash Law

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME PACC Officer Bowdon #2013	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. (520) 243-5900			
VICTIM'S ADDRESS			ZIP	CITY	STATE	
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell Rd			ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	
DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:	
☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:		TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
VET CLINIC			PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
CLINIC'S ADDRESS			QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	CITING ACO Bowdon #2013		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS No	
CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-81, 4-76, 4-97, & 4-3(2)(B)					REVIEWED BY 10-2-14 2002	
CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73336					BOND YES ☐ NO ☒	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Chihuahua Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Mimi	Cream	F	A		cited	cited	N	A497080
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

Wa

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-152111

ACO name & Badge: Bowdon #2013

On 10/02/14 at approximately 12:33 L. Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) Officer Bowdon #2013, arrived at _____ in the city of Tucson in response to a report of an aggressive dog habitually violating Leash Law.

Upon arrival I met with the neighbor / complainant who stated that she was unable to get her mail without the dog nipping at her ankles. The complainant also stated that she had tried speaking to the dog owner about the situation, but the dog owner was unresponsive when she knocked on his door and laughed at her on the occasions when she was able to catch him outside of his residence.

When I approached the dog owner residence I observed the driveway gate to be open. I walked up the driveway toward the residence and observed a small white dog unconfined in the back yard of the house. The dog, upon noticing me, began barking aggressively and charging toward me. I moved toward the dog and she retreated. I then went to the residence door and knocked repeatedly. I observed a large bag of dog food near the door and two small pups sleeping in the corner of the porch. I could not locate any water for the adult / mama dog.

I knocked a final time on the residence door and loudly announced that I would be impounding the dogs. As I began to impound the pups the dog owner finally came outside. The man, , acknowledged ownership of the mama dog, Mimi, and her two pups. I explained the complaint and leash law requirements to Mr. . I asked him where the water was for Mimi and he did not have any available to show.

Mr. accepted citations for No License, No Rabies Vaccination, Leash Law, and Neglect / Water. I provided him with a brochure about animal laws. Mr. then provided water to Mimi and closed the property gate upon my request.

Officer's Signature: Bowdon #2013 **Date:** 10/2/14

WC 3

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT		ACO NAME / BADGE # D. Downing #1923 A. Kirby #2057	COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-156877
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP 85741 / Corona DeTucson / STATE AZ / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS N/A		CI ☐ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	

SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN
	165	5'05"	BRO	BLK	1		

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

LOCATION OF INCIDENT | DATE AND TIME REPORTED 09/29/14 / 14:42 hrs | DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 09/29/14 / 16:24 hrs

FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
PCSO Deputy Jones #7556			

VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐ | RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐ | DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER | OTHER AGENCY CASE # Unknown ☒ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER: | FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION | BITE SEVERITY: | PART OF BODY BITTEN: | TREATED BY | PHONE NUMBER | DATE QUARANTINED | RELEASE DATE: | PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

VET CLINIC | PHONE NUMBER | OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐ | FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS | QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐ | ☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐ | CITING ACO A. Kirby #2057 | PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐ | PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER | OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.110 (B)(2) | REVIEWED BY 2002 KONST 10/3

CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73385, 73386 | BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
AM. Pit Bull Terrier	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Pretty Girl	Black	F	A				N	A496742
AM. Pit Bull Terrier	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Blue	White	M	A				N	A496744
AM. Pit Bull Terrier	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Buddy	Red / White	M	A				N	A496741
AM. Pit Bull Terrier	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒		Red / White	F	P				N	A496745
AM. Pit Bull Terrier	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒		White / Black	M	P				N	A496747
AM. Pit Bull Terrier	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒		Black / Tan	M	P				N	A496748
AM. Pit Bull Terrier	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒		Black / Tan	M	P				N	A496749

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

WC 3

ACTIVITY: A14-156877
ACO: D. Downing #1923
A. Kirby #2057

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
AM. Pit Bull Terrier	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒		White / Black	M	P			N	A496750
AM. Pit Bull Terrier	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒		White / Black	M	P			N	A496752
AM. Pit Bull Terrier	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒		White / Black	M	P			N	A496754
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								

	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								

	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								

WC3

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-156877

ACO name & Badge: A. Kirby #2057

On 09/24/14 at approximately 1624 hrs Pima Animal Care Center Officer Downing #1923 arrived at Rd., and met with Pima County Sheriff Department, Deputy Jones #7556, in response to some animals that were getting off the property through inadequate fencing, no food, no water. PCSO Deputy Jones was there to check the welfare of and he was not on the property. Upon arrival the puppies were getting out of the fencing, there was no potable water. Officer Downing impounded 3 Adult dogs and 7 puppies for no potable water, no food and abandonment. Officer Downing posted notice of the impoundment. PACC Officer Kirby #2057 was at this address on 9/20/14 to check on the welfare of the animals and posted notice then, with no response from the owner.

On 09/30/14 Mr. came into PACC to redeem two of the male dogs and sign over the puppies and the adult female. Officer Kirby #2057 issued citations for the no water violations found by Officer Downing #1923 on 09/24/14.

Officer's Signature: **Date:** 09/30/14

WC 4

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 724-5900
Fax: (520) 724-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT							ACO NAME / BADGE # Rademaker #2019	COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-158825
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS							BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP 85712	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER				CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS student							CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER				DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX	WEIGHT 163	HEIGHT 5'3"	EYES Bro	HAIR COLOR Br	ORIGIN 5	DOB	SSN ------	

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

LOCATION OF INCIDENT | DATE AND TIME REPORTED 10/27/14 / 0847 | DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 10/27 /14 / 0920

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
J. Rademaker #2019			724-5900

VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 North Silverbell Road	ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒ | RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒ | DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER | OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER: | FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION | BITE SEVERITY: | PART OF BODY BITTEN: | TREATED BY | PHONE NUMBER | DATE QUARANTINED | RELEASE DATE: | PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

VET CLINIC | PHONE NUMBER | OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐ | FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS | QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐ | ☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐ | CITING ACO Windauer #1984 | PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒ | PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER | OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3(2)(E)(2) | REVIEWED BY 10/30 KONST 2002

CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73351 A | BOND YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Husky/Chow	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Jack	blk w/wht	M	6.5y				N	A499693
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

WC4

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-158825

ACO Name & Badge: Windauer #1984

On October 27, 2014 at approximately 0920 hours, Animal Care Officer Rademaker #2019 responded to Street #1 in reference to a priority neglect call-dog on tie out. Officer Rademaker went into the unenclosed back yard and observed a large black and white husky/chow dog in the enclosed part of the back yard. He saw the dog was tied on a 6' chain attached to a 5' nylon leash at the back door of the residence. The Officer took pictures of the area. Officer Rademaker got no response after knocking on the residence's doors and windows. The dog was impounded and a doorknocker was posted on the front window. Officer Rademaker requested a citation for Neglect-Tie Out be written to the owner if dog is redeemed.

On October 30, 2014 at approximately 1600 hours I, Officer Windauer met with at the Pima Animal Care Center. Ms. wished to redeem her dog-Jack. She accepted a citation for the violation of Neglect-Tie Out. I was told by Ms. that her teenage daughter had put the dog on the tie out when the daughter had had to go to the store. Ms. Puga had not been present at the time.

Officer's Signature: [illegible]

Date: 10/30/14

WC 5

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT							ACO NAME / BADGE # **Bowdon #2013**	COMPLAINT NUMBER **A14-152716**
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS							BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP **85714**	CITY **Tucson**	STATE **AZ**	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER				CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS							CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER				DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX /	WEIGHT **132**	HEIGHT **5'11"**	EYES **Haz**	HAIR COLOR **Brown**	ORIGIN **1**	DOB	SSN	

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☐

LOCATION OF INCIDENT | DATE AND TIME REPORTED **07/30/14 / 15:34** | DATE AND TIME OCCURRED **10/06/14 / 11:41**

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☒ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME **PACC Officer Bowdon #2013**	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. **(520)243-5900**		
VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE	
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS **4000 N Silverbell Rd**		ZIP **85745**	CITY **Tucson**	STATE **AZ**	

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☒ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: / PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#	
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	CITING ACO **Bowdon #2013**	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS **No**

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED **4-81, 4-76, & 4-3(2)(c)**		REVIEWED BY 10-8-14 DTK 1911
CITATIONS/NUMBERS **73338 & 73339**		BOND YES ☒ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Queensland Heeler	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒		**White / Black**	**F**	**1y**		**cited**	**cited**	**N**	**A497443**
Queensland Heeler	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒		**White / Black**	**F**	**1y**		**cited**	**cited**	**N**	**A497444**
Queensland Heeler	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒		**White / Brown**	**F**	**1y**		**cited**	**cited**	**N**	**A497445**
Duck	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒		**White**						**N**	**A497446**
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

WC 5

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-152716

ACO Name & Badge:Bowdon #2013

On 07/30/14 at approximately 15:34 hours Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) received a report of poor living conditions / neglect of three dogs, a rabbit, duck, and turtle located at #18 in the City of Tucson.

On 10/06/14 at approximately 11:41 hours I, PACC Officer Bowdon #2013, arrived at #18 and observed three dogs confined to an area outside of the residence. A man was shoveling the waste from the confinement when I approached. The man, , identified himself as the owner of the animals. I introduced myself and advised him of the complaint received by PACC regarding the animals. I asked Mr. about his rabbit, turtle, and duck. Mr. : stated that he gave the turtle to a friend, he barbequed and ate his rabbit a few weeks ago, and his duck was inside. He retrieved the duck from inside of the residence and I observed that the duck appeared filthy. The duck's white feathers were yellowed in general with a brownish green discoloration of the underside. I asked to see the duck's living environment and Mr. refused to show me. Mr. became very upset and began to act in a hostile manner by raising his voice and waving his arms around. He demanded to know who reported him and began yelling at neighbors accusing them of reporting him because he had not shared the rabbit with them. I immediately requested assistance from Tucson Police Department (TPD). TPD Officer Gonzales #42127 responded in reference to TPD case #1410060228. Officer Gonzales asked Mr. Valdez to allow access to the residence to view the duck's living quarters and Mr. Valdez cooperated. Upon entry I observed that the residence had a severe pest infestation with cockroaches everywhere. I took a few photos and quickly vacated the residence. TPD Officer Gonzales contacted the City of Tucson Code Enforcement Inspector, M. Mendoza, who arrived on scene a short while later and the residence was found to be unsuitable for inhabitation.

WC 5

(A14-152716 continued)

I observed that the dogs had clean water, but the food container was infested with bugs and the dog shelter appeared to have a pile of regurgitated food within it. The two pails of dry dog food Mr. showed me had cockroaches among the kibble. The outdoor confinement where the dogs were confined had cockroaches crawling all over the fencing and ground.

Mr. agreed to surrender the duck and three dogs and accepted citations for all three dogs having No License and No Rabies Vaccination. In addition, he accepted citations for Neglect / Shelter for all four animals due to the unsanitary conditions and pest infestation.

Officer's Signature: #2013 Date: 10/7/14

WC 6

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT					ACO NAME / BADGE # Bowdon #2013	COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-157163	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS					BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP 85756	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS					CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT 250	HEIGHT 6'2"	EYES Br	HAIR COLOR Bk	ORIGIN 1	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☐	LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 10/03/14 / 05:37	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 10/09/14 / 10:42	
	FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐			
☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME PACC Officer Bowdon #2013	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. (520)243-5900
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY / STATE
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell Rd		ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson / STATE AZ
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER: / FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: / PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER / DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE: / PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM / PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐ / FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	CITING ACO Bowdon #2013	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER / OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS No
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-81, 4-76, & 4-3(2)(E)(2)			REVIEWED BY 10-13-14 DTK1911
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73342-73343			BOND YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
German Shepherd	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Yoreno	B/Tan	F	A		cited	cited	N	A497786
Chihuahua	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Mocho	Brown	M	A		cited	cited	N	A498092
Pit Bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Choco	Brown	F	A		cited	cited	N	A498093
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

WC 6

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-157163

ACO name & Badge: Bowdon #2013

On 10/03/14 at approximately 05:37 hours Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) received a report of possible abandoned dogs located at Ave in the City of Tucson.

On 10/09/14 at approximately 10:42 hours I, PACC Officer Bowdon #2013, arrived at Ave and observed that there were two dogs on tie-out cables within the fenced property that appeared to be a non-residential or commercially zoned yard. Two other small breed dogs were within the yard, but not on tie-outs.

I was able to locate the dog owner, /, and he arrived at the property a short while later. Mr. stated that he had placed the two large dogs, Yorena and Choco, on the tie-outs as a crime deterrent because he had recently rented the property for his business material storage and he was unfamiliar with the neighborhood. He stated one of the small dogs, Mocho, was also his dog, but that the fourth dog was a stray dog that gets into his yard.

I observed that all of the dogs had water and shelter accessible to them and they all appeared to be in good health. Mr. stated that he did not have proof of current rabies vaccination or license for his three dogs.

Mr accepted citations for No License and No Rabies Vaccination for all three of his dogs along with Neglect /Tie-out for the two that he had placed on tie-outs. Mr. / agreed to remove the two dogs from the tie-outs and stated he will not leave them unattended on tie-outs in the future.

The fourth dog, the stray, was impounded due to no owner being located.

Officer's Signature: # 2013 **Date:** 10/13/14

WC 7

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT						ACO NAME / BADGE # 1942 Eckelbarger	COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-157854
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS						BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP 85756	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER 572-7765			CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS						CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER			DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX	WEIGHT 125	HEIGHT 5-9	EYES Bro	HAIR COLOR Br	ORIGIN 1	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒	LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 10-13-14 / 1500	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 10-13-14 / 1630

FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☒ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME 1942 Eckelbarger	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 243-5992	
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd		ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
	☐ NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
PHONE NUMBER						
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	CITING ACO 1942 Eckelbarger	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3 (Z)(B), 4-3 (Z)(C), 4-3 (Z)(E)(2), 4-3 (I), 4-81				REVIEWED BY 10-14-14 DTK1911	
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 72781 (A-E)				BOND YES ☐ NO ☒	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Shitzu	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Charlie	Black/White	M	3yr				DOA	A435365
Dalmation	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Goofy	White	M	13yr		Cited		OK	A435364
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

WC 7

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-157854

ACO name & Badge:1942 Eckelbarger

On 10-13-14 at 1615 hours, I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) responded to where I met with dog owner, , (DOB 12-29-93), and advised of the complaint we received. Ms. stated that she just got home from school and found her dog "Charlie". She stated that she thought he was dead. I asked her to show me the dog and she walked me to the backyard where I observed a small black and white Shit-zu "Charlie" on a leash tie-out to the fence. Charlie was deceased and his body was stiff. He had a leash clipped to his collar and the other end looped on the top of the fence. The leash was only approximately 6 feet long. The dog was in full sun with no access to shade, shelter, or water. There was a water bucket in the yard, but due to the dog being on a tie-out, he did not have access to it. Ms. stated that last night she had the dog in her house. She fed and watered him, but he defecated inside the house. She stated that because he defecated inside the house, she tied him up to the fence as punishment at approximately 2200 hours on 10-12-14 before going to bed. She stated that she then went to school the next morning (10-13-14) and forgot about "Charlie" tied up to the fence.

I took photographs of the yard and the dead dog. Ms. had a second dog "Goofy" in the yard. He appeared in ok condition and had all adequate welfare requirements. Goofy had an expired license. I then cited Ms. for cruelty, neglect-no water, neglect-no shelter, and neglect-tieout on Charlie and for no license on Goofy all under City jurisdiction. Ms. signed and received her copies of the citations. I then impounded the dead dog Charlie.

Officer's Signature: 1942 **Date: 10-13-14**

WC 8

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT						ACO NAME / BADGE # Windauer #1984	COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-157743
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS						BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER			CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS -------						CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER			DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX	WEIGHT 220	HEIGHT 5'5"	EYES Bro	HAIR COLOR Br	ORIGIN 1	DOB	SSN --------

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒	LOCATION OF INCIDENT				DATE AND TIME REPORTED 10-11-14 / 1603		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 10-11-14 / 1642		
	FOOD ☐	WATER ☐	SHELTER ☐	INJURED/ILL ☐	VENTILATION ☐	ABANDONED ☐	TIEOUT ☒	BEATEN ☐	WASTE ☐	OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME D. Windauer	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 243-5900
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY / STATE
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 North Silverbell Road		ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson / STATE AZ

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	CITING ACO Windauer #1984	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3(2)(E)(2)				REVIEWED BY 2002 KORST 10/14	
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 72597 A				BOND YES ☐ NO ☒	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Labrador Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Sheno	blk w/wht	M	1.5y	L14-	222341		N	A419236
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

WC 8

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-157743

ACO Name & Badge: D. Windauer #1984

At approximately 1625 hours on October 11, 2014 I, Officer Windauer #1984 arrived at Avenue reference a dog on a tie out that had jumped its fence. The complainant, a resident in another apartment of the same complex came out to greet me and showed me where the dog was.

I saw a large black with white lab mix dog standing on its rear legs at the north east corner of the fence at Avenue. I was told they had tried to help the dog but it had growled at them. As I approached the dog taking pictures, I saw that the top rail had pulled loose giving the dog opportunity to sit down.

I returned to my truck for my snare pole and held the dog in place while I cut the knotted cable. At this time I saw the dog had only a small length of cable attached to its collar, maybe 18"-24" long and that the cable had been knotted where it had broken. The short knotted cable had then apparently gotten hooked in fence as dog came over the fence.

I loaded the dog into the truck and checked our computer for records at this address. I found the dog-Sheno was currently licensed and had been adopted from the Pima Animal Care Center. I asked our Dispatch to contact dog's owner to see if they were coming home.

A short time later I met with , Sheno's owner. I explained why I was there and what I had seen. I advised that tying a dog out was illegal in the City of Tucson. I was told by Ms. that an Animal Care Officer had told her it was legal to tie the dog out as long as the dog had access to water, shelter, shade and no entanglements. I saw the dog was in good condition and that the backyard did have shade, shelter and water for the dog.

I issued a citation to Ms. for Neglect-Tie Out and returned her dog to her. I was told she would be moving in a week to a place with a larger, higher fenced back yard with more bushes.

Officer's Signature: **Date:** 10/14/14

WC 9

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: 520-243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT					ACO NAME / BADGE # C. Meek 2015 D. Attebury 1929	COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-157882	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS					BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP 85706	CITY TUC	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS					CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT 200	HEIGHT 5'07	EYES BRO	HAIR COLOR BR	ORIGIN 5	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

LOCATION OF INCIDENT | DATE AND TIME REPORTED 10/13/14 / 2035 | DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 10/13/14 / 2130

FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME Officer D. Attebery			RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 520-243-5900	
VICTIM'S ADDRESS			ZIP	CITY	STATE
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd			ZIP 85745	CITY TUC	STATE AZ
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☒ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐	CITING ACO C. Meek 2015	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3(2)(B)				REVIEWED BY ZOOZ KONST 10/21/14	
CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73407				BOND YES ☐ NO ☐	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit Bull-X	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Maddie	Brn/Brndl		4Y		194761		ok	A364615
Pit Bull-X	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Sam	Wht/Blk		1Y		235890		ok	A498184
Pit Bull-X	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Fionna	Blk/Wht		3Y		194762		ok	A424030
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1 TPD Officer Sandoval #5300	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2 TPD Officer Hicks #52781	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

WC 9

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-157882

ACO name & Badge: C. Meek 2015, D. Attebery 1926

10/13/2014 at 2130 hours Officer D. Attebery badge number 1929 stated she arrived at to meet with T.P.D. in reference to two Pit Bulls loose and behaving aggressive. Officer Attebery stated she met with Tucson Police Department Officers Sandoval badge number 5300 and Officer Hicks badge number 52781. Officer Attebery stated the officers advised her, when they arrived at the address they observed two white and black Pit Bulls running loose and staying around house located at The Tucson Police Officers advised Officer Attebery they were there as a result of a neighbor calling because a person went outside to a vehicle and the dogs charged trying to bite. Officer Attebery was advised the caller managed to get inside vehicle and was not bitten by the dogs.

Officer Attebery stated she attempted to make contact with the resident by knocking at the door but there was no answer. Officer Attebery was advised by the Officers that neighborhood residents advised them that the two dogs running loose did live at the 1011 address. Officer Attebery also indicated that in an enclosed back yard there was a brindle and white Pit Bull.

Officer Attebery stated she looked at the fence to see how the dogs were escaping from the yard and observed where the dogs moved bricks aside and escaped under the fence. Officer Attebery stated inside the yard were approximately twenty piles of solid animal waste. Officer Attebery stated she observed a large dog house as well as the dog shelters and a piece of plywood lying on a plastic kennel. Officer Attebery did find what appeared to be a water container in the form of a five gallon bucket. Officer Attebery stated that she observed about a 1/8 inch of dark green water. Officer Attebery stated she also observed a flat bottomed metal dish with some sort of dark colored liquid. Officer Attebery stated that all three dogs appeared to be well fed. Officer Attebery impounded all three dogs due to inadequate fencing and not wearing any license tags. Officer Attebery stated she posted a notice of animal waste violation and notice of impound on the side door.

On 10/14/14 at approximately 1300 hours I Officer Meek badge number 2015 made contact with a Mr. at Pima Animal Care Center in reference to his impounded dogs from the previous evening.
I advised Mr. of the initial complaint and why an enforcement Officer

WC 9

responded. I advised Mr. that when the Officer made their way into his yard it was found that the three dogs did not have potable water available. I advised Mr. that the responding Officer requested that citations to be issued him in regards to the water.

Mr. provided me with his Arizona Driver's License and I then issued him a total of three citations. I advised Mr. that with the citations he would need to appear in court and I provided him with the date. Mr. stated he understood his need to appear and signed his copy of the citations. I advised Mr. that in addition to the water it was indicated that there was a large accumulation of waste in the yard. I advised Mr. the within the city limits the waste would need to be cleaned every 24 hours. Mr. stated he understood and would clean the waste when he got home.

10/19/2014

NC 10

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: [illegible]
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT						ACO NAME / BADGE # Klein 1926	COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-158735
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS						BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP 85711	CITY Tucson	STATE Az	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER			CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS Self Employed						CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER			DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX	WEIGHT 175	HEIGHT 5'11	EYES Br	HAIR COLOR Bn	ORIGIN 5	DOB	SSN Not Given

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

LOCATION OF INCIDENT | DATE AND TIME REPORTED 10-25-14 / 1256 | DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 10-25-14 / 1350

FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☒ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME E.Klein Badge 1926	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 520-724-5900		
VICTIM'S ADDRESS			ZIP	CITY	STATE
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell Rd			ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE Az

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒ | RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒ | DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER | OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER: | FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION | BITE SEVERITY: | PART OF BODY BITTEN: | TREATED BY | PHONE NUMBER | DATE QUARANTINED | RELEASE DATE: | PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

VET CLINIC | PHONE NUMBER | OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐ | FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS | QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐ | ☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒ | CITING ACO Klein 1926 | PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒ | PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER | OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3(Z)(E)(Z),4-3(Z)(B),4-3(Z)(C),4-7b,4-81 | REVIEWED BY 10-26-14 DTK 1911

CITATIONS/NUMBERS 72488 A,B,C,D,E | BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Sharpei/Hound	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Ducky	Brn	M	3Y		Cited	Cited	Ok	A499492
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

WC 10

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-158735

ACO name & Badge: Klein 1926

On October 25, 2014 at 1256 hours the Pima County Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch department received a call from a complainant stating a Sharpei mix has been tied to a porch at . all day without food, water or shelter. The complainant stated the dog was tangled and in distress.

On October 25,2014 at 1350 hours I, Investigator Klein arrived at St and observed a large brown Sharpei mix ,later identified as Ducky tangled around a metal post on the front porch. Ducky was in direct sun with no water available and panting heavily. It was 92.8 degrees at the time of my arrival. As I approached Ducky I observed that he had on a collar. A chain leash was attached to his collar and tied around the metal post. Ducky had wrapped the chain around the post and could not move around. There was a metal bowl on the ground next to the post. It was empty. I took photographs of the area. A juvenile male came out of the residence and said Ducky did have water. I asked that he get an adult to speak with me.

Mr. then came outside and stated he is the young mans father. I asked if he is the dog owner. He stated that he is. I told him to untangle the chain tie out. As he did I poured fresh water into the metal bowl. Once untangled Ducky drank the water immediately. He was taken inside of the house by the juvenile. I explained to Mr. that tie outs are prohibited, shade and shelter must be provided ,potable water must also be available at all times. I asked why Ducky was tied to the post in direct sun light without any water or shade on such a hot day. Mr. stated they had a water pipe break in the back yard and it was currently being worked on. I asked to see the back yard.

Mr. took me around the side of the house to the back yard. I observed both gates to be broken. The back yard is confined by a 4 foot tall brick wall. There were weeds that were taller than knee height. The dog house was blocked by weeds and shrubs making it unusable. I observed a metal bucket half full with filthy water and the sides were covered in algae. Mr. stated he is aware that the yard needs to be cleaned up, the gates need repair and the bucket needs to be cleaned. I took photographs of this area.

WC 10

Mr. | provided his Arizona drivers license. I issued citation 72488 to Mr. I for the violations I observed today of neglect tie out, neglect no water, neglect no shelter, no rabies vaccination and no license. I provided Mr. Magness with his signed copy and explained the court appearance.

I provided Mr. with his signed copy of the premise inspection that showed he must provide adequate confinement, adequate shelter, clean water and he is not to have Ducky on any type of tie out. I explained each requirement and informed Mr. | that a PACC officer will return after November 5, 2014 to ensure all requirements are being met. Mr. stated he will have all repairs completed.

I provided Mr. | with a hand out informing him of the low cost spay and neuter programs that also provide low cost vaccinations. I advised him to have Ducky vaccinated and licensed prior to his court appearance on November 10, 2014.

Officer's Signature: EKlein Date: 10-25-14

E. Klein #1926

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD • TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

MEMORANDUM

TO: Kim Janes, Chief of External Operations

FROM: Neil Konst, Animal Care Field Supervisor

DATE: October 31, 2014

RE: Dangerous Dog Cases for October 2014

City of Tucson:

1. A14-157774---Nicole Selmer, dog named Bailey was declared dangerous by Investigator Klein; who is monitoring compliance.
2. A14-155981---Marc Bleaman, dog named Buddy was declared not dangerous by Investigator Klein.
3. A14-144189---Margarita De Campas, dogs named Baby and Gordy were declared vicious by Judge Cranshaw. Investigator Eckelbarger is monitoring compliance.
4. A14-143299---Sarah Gorman, dog named Tank was declared dangerous by City Court. Owner took Bella to a veterinarian clinic and had her put to sleep.

Pima County:

5. A14-157134---Rebecca Bracety, dog named Bella was declared not dangerous by Investigator Klein.
6. A14-158093---Michael Smith, dog named Dulce was declared dangerous by Investigator Carver. Dulce was relinquished by owner and put to sleep.

DD #1

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT: Nicole Alexandra Selmer		ACO NAME / BADGE #: Rademaker #2019	COMPLAINT NUMBER: A14-157774
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☐ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☒	
ZIP	CITY: Tucson / STATE: Az / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER	CODE IF OTHER: Attempt to bite	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS: Not Given		CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE: None	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR COLOR / ORIGIN		DOB / SSN	

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☒ NO ☐

LOCATION OF INCIDENT: DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 10-12-14 / 1116 DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 10-12-14 / 1100

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☒ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE) Ladislao Paramo

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME: Ladislao	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY: Tucson	STATE: Az
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # 1410120209 ☐ SO ☒ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☒ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: / PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐	CITING ACO: Klein 1926	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER: A14-157657	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS: A14-157499
CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 4-97, 4-7(2)(B)				REVIEWED BY 10-22-14 DTK 1911
CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 70755 A,B				BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pitbull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Bailey	Black	F	1Y		None	None	ok	A498051
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1 TPD Officer Laughlin Badge 48379	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE # 520-791-4925
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☒	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-157774

ACO name & Badge:Klein Badge 1926

On October 12,2014 at approximately 1116 hours the Pima County Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch department received a call from the Tucson Police Department (TPD) requesting assistance regarding a vicious dog located at

On October 12, 2014 at 1142 hours PACC Officer Rademaker Badge #2019 responded to and met with TPD Officer Laughlin Badge 48379 who reported that the black dog who resides at was at large and had attacked two people.

Officer Rademaker interviewed Ladislao who was accompanied by his father Ladislao told Officer rademaker that at approximately 1100 hours he was riding his skate board on the sidewalk on the north side of going eastbound when a black dog from started chasing him. He avoided being bitten by using his skate board to keep the dog at bay. of saw the attack and gave Ladislao refuge in her house. After much yelling a woman from etrieved the dog. The victim reported that he was very scared and believed the dog would have bitten him. He and his father both requested citations for leash law and the attempt to bite violations be issued to the dog owner.

Officer Rademaker met with at who stated she has complained to the dog owner at about the dog being habitually at large.

Officer Rademaker interviewed a woman who identified herself as who was later identified by TPD Officer Laughlin as . told Officer Rademaker that on October 11. 2014 at approximately 0715 hours she was walking westbound in front of when the black dog from came up behind her aggressively. took refuge in the fenced yard of until the dog left. She reported that she believed the dog would have bitten her and requested citations for the leash law and attempt to bite violations be issued to the dog owner.

Officer Rademaker found there were two previous complaints about the black dog at in the PACC data base under A14-157499 on October 8, 2014 and A14-157657 on October 10,2014.

He then went to and met with who said she is the mother of the dog owner Nicole Selmer. stated she resides elsewhere and stated the owner was currently at work. Officer Rademaker then saw the one year old Black Pitbull known as Bailey. stated there was a problem keeping the dog confined.

Officer Rademaker explained that PACC had no record of Bailey being vaccinated or licensed. stated her daughter would have that information. Officer Rademaker then explained that he would be impounding Bailey and a dangerous dog assessment would need to be done. assisted in placing Bailey in Officer Rademakers' vehicle. He provided with an impound notice and told her to have her daughter contact PACC about redeeming Bailey.

On October 18, 2014 at approximately 1530 hours I met with when she came to the Pima County Animal Care Center. Ms Selmer provided her United States Military Dependent identification card and stated she is the owner of Bailey who is currently at the Animal Care Center under animal identification number A498051. Ms Selmer stated she wants to redeem Bailey.

I explained the incidents as they were reported by PACC Officer Rademaker. I also explained that a dangerous dog assessment has been requested. I provided Ms Selmer with a dangerous dog pamphlet and explained that a PACC investigator will need to complete a premise inspection to confirm adequate and secure confinement before Bailey will be released.

I asked Ms. Selmer if she knows how Bailey had gotten out. She stated the kids in the neighborhood antagonize her so she digs out to play with them. Ms Selmer stated the holes have been fixed. She also stated that Bailey will be staying at with her mother until she moves into the Apartments at the end of the month. I asked if the Apartments allow Pitbulls and she said she doesn't know. I asked Ms Selmer how long she has owned Bailey and she said she got Bailey from a homeless person about a month ago.

I then issued citation 70755 A and B for the Leash Law and Attempt to Bite incident that was reported as occurring on October 12,2014 at 1100 hours. Ms Selmer received her signed copy and understands the appearance date and time. Ms Selmer stated there is no way that Bailey could have been involved because the cops called her around 10:00.

I then issued citation 70754 A and B for the Leash Law and Attempt to Bite incident that was reported as occurring on October 11,2014 at 0715 hours. I provided Ms. Selmer with her signed copy of this citation and explained the

Ms Selmer stated Bailey could not have been involved with this incident either because no one had let her out at that time of the morning. I explained her court appearance again.

Ms Selmer asked when she can redeem Bailey. I again explained that an officer will have to approve the confinement at and before Bailey is released. I also told her that a supervisor may require that Village be contacted to see if they do accept Pitbulls. They may also require that the dangerous dog assessment is completed before Bailey will be released. Ms Selmer asked when that will be and I told her I do not know.

Officer's Signature: E. Klein **Date:** 10.18.14

E. KLEIN #1926

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) ~~743-7550~~ FAX (520) ~~743-9581~~
www.pimaanimalcare.org 724-5900

CASE NO: A14-158551

OWNER:

ANIMAL NAME: BAILEY A498051

ADDRESS:

SEX: F BREED: Pitbull

COLOR: BLACK DATE: 10-28-14

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2	+2 +2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	+1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2	+2 +2
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3	
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2	
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4	
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5	

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+ 5	+5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	+5
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC #	- 1	
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+ 1	+1
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	+1

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	+2
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	+2

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+ 2	
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	-2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	

Confinement / Fencing:

THE PROPERTY IS CONFINED BY A 5 FOOT TALL FENCE THAT IS CHAINLINK IN THE BACK AND WOOD IN THE FRONT. THE WOOD FENCE IS BROKEN AND HOLES HAVE BEEN DUG AT THE BOTTOM.

General Comments:

BAILEY HAS BEEN OBSERVED AT LARGE AND BEING AGGRESSIVE ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS BY SEVERAL DIFFERENT NEIGHBORS. BAILEY IS DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL.

EKL

E. KLEIN OFFICER # 1926

TOTAL SCORE: +23

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

X DANGEROUS

____ NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threating manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC **IN PERSON**.

PACC-DD1

DD#2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: 520-243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT: Marc David Bleaman		ACO NAME / BADGE #: Downing#1923	COMPLAINT NUMBER: A14-155981
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☒ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP	CITY: Tucson	STATE: Az.	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER
		CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER
		DRIVERS LICENSE	

SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN
			F		5		NG

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☐

LOCATION OF INCIDENT:

DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 9-16-14 / 08:52

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 9-15-14 / 1830

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	DOB	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
Dallan	A		NG

VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE
		Tuc.	Az.

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE
NG			

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED: YES ☒ NO ☐

RESTITUTION REQUESTED: YES ☒ NO ☐

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE #: ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:

FOLLOW UP REQUEST: ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

☒ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION

BITE SEVERITY: 1

PART OF BODY BITTEN: Rt. Forearm

TREATED BY

PHONE NUMBER

DATE QUARANTINED: 9-15-14

RELEASE DATE: 9-24-14

PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☒

VET CLINIC

PHONE NUMBER

OWNER KNOWS OF BITE: YES ☒ NO ☐

FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

QUARANTINE: 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐

☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS: YES ☒ NO ☐

CITING ACO: Downing#1923

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS: YES ☐ NO ☐

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 6.04.120 (B)(2) x 2, 6.04.030

REVIEWED BY 9-22-14 DTK 1911

CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 72820 A,B,C

BOND: YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Australian Shepherd	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Buddy	Tri	M	1yr	L14-224614	Rabvac 3	OK	A495742
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-155981

ACO name & Badge: Officer Downing #1923

On September 16, 2014 I, spoke with Dallan at his residence of . He stated that on September 15,2014 at about 1830 hours, he took his dog for a walk on leash. When he got to the location of and , a tri colored dog ran out of the garage at The tri colored dog attacked his dog Wilson, and grabbed it on the neck. He started kicking the dog to have it let go. He tried to seperate the dogs and was bitten by the tri colored dog on the right forearm area. There were about 6 marks. I took a photo of the injury to his forearm. Dallan did not seek medical attention for his injury, but did take his dog for medical treatment. The bill was a total of 1657.00 so far. I took a photo of Wilson for the file. He is requesting restiution for the vet bill and citations.

On September 20,2014, I met with the dog owner Marc Bleaman at I explained the nature of my visit. He acknowedged the incident as the victim had stated. I issued citations on the behalf of the victim for his dog not being leashed and for his dog Wilson being attacked and injuries to Dallans forearm. Marc brought the tri colored Australian dog named Buddy so I could photograph the dog.

Officer's Signature: #1923 **Date:** 9-21-14

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) ~~743-7550~~ FAX (520) ~~743-9581~~
www.pimaanimalcare.org

CASE NO: A14-157672

OWNER: E

ANIMAL NAME: BUDDY A495742

ADDRESS:

SEX: M BREED: AUSSIE MIX

COLOR: TRI DATE: 10-17-14

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	+6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	+2
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2	+2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2	
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3	+3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2	
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4	+4
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5	

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+ 5	+5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	-3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	-1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 224614	- 1	-1
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+ 1	
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	-3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	-3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+ 2	
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	-2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	

Confinement / Fencing:

THE PROPERTY IS CONFINED BY WROUGHT IRON FENCING AND BRICK WALLS THAT ARE 5 FEET TALL. THE OUTSIDE OF THE CONFINEMENT IS LINED WITH CACTUS. THE EASTSIDE WALL DOES NOT HAVE CACTUS AND THAT SECTION HAS BEEN

General Comments:

BLOCKED SO THE DOGS NO LONGER HAVE ACCESS. WHILE CONDUCTING NEIGHBOR INTERVIEWS I LEARNED THAT BUDDY HAS NOT SHOWN AGGRESSION PRIOR TO THIS INCIDENT. BUDDY IS NOT DEEMED DANGEROUS AT THIS TIME.

EX

OFFICER # 19216

TOTAL SCORE: +9

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

_____ DANGEROUS

X NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threating manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC **IN PERSON**.

PACC-DD1

DD # 3

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5930
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT: Margartia Robeldo De Campas		ACO NAME / BADGE #: T.Foster 2042	COMPLAINT NUMBER: A14-144189
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☒ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP	CITY: Tuc / STATE: AZ / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER	CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER	DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN: none given

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒	LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 03/25/14 / 13:22	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 03/24/14 / 17:00

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME: Anachristina Ramirez	DOB	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.: unk
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY: Tuc	STATE: AZ
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS: unk	ZIP	CITY	STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED: YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED: YES ☒ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE #: ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST: ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☒ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: 3-MED / PART OF BODY BITTEN: Legs	TREATED BY: UMC ER	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED: 03/29/14 / RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☒ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM						
PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE: YES ☒ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE: 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS: YES ☒ NO ☐	CITING ACO: 2042	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS: YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 4-97; 4-76; 4-81; 4-7				REVIEWED BY 3-31-14 DTK 1911	
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 72570 A, B, C, D, E; 72572 A, B, C				BOND: YES ☐ NO ☐	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
G SHEPHERD	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Baby	Bk/Tan/Wh	M	AD	none	none	n	A475209
Q HEELER	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Gordy	Bk/Tan	M	AD	none	none	n	A475210
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								

WITNESS 1 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-144189

ACO name & Badge: T. Foster 2042

03/29/14 07:41 I, Officer Foster 2042 responded to in reference to Bite case numbers B14-019118 and B14-019118 to meet with the victim, I knocked on the front door and was met by an older gentleman who spoke only Spanish. The man called inside the house and an older woman came out. I explained why I was there and asked if available. The woman stated would be right out. Moments later I met with in her living room. stated that on 3/24/14 at approximately 1700 hours she was jogging west bound on when she observed two black and tan dogs running in the street. She stated that she slowed to a walk, so as not to offer a running target. As she was walking past the smaller of the two dogs, Gordy (A475210) ran around behind her and bit her on her left calf. She went on to state that right after Gordy bit her, the larger dog Baby (a474210) ran over and bit her on the right calf. stated that she somehow managed to get them off her legs but they were still growling, barking, and circling her. She stated that a car was driving by and began honking at the dog, which chased them back into their yard.

Once the dogs returned to the yard stated that an older man can out, locked the gate and apologized stating "that it would never happen again." I asked if she had any other contact with the owners and she replied no. I photographed injuries and then asked her if she has ever seen the dogs loose before the date of the bite. stated that yes, she has observed them running loose on several occasions. I then asked if she was requesting citations. stated that she is requesting citations be issued on her behalf. I then provided her with a copy of her activity number and phone numbers to contact dispatch if needed. I then thanked for her time and relocated to the dog owner's address.

03/29/14 08:00 I arrived at to meet with the dog owner. The yard was fenced and the gates secured. I was able to observe the two biter dogs in the yard and yelled to get the attention of any one inside the residence. After a minute or so a woman exited the house and approached the

gate. The woman spoke Spanish only so I called dispatch and requested Dispatcher Mario Lugo #2048 translate for me. Mario agreed and translated for the woman who identified herself as Margarita De Campas. I asked Mario to inform Mrs. De Campas that her two dogs reportedly bit a woman jogger and I would need to see proof of rabies vaccinations or I would have no choice but to impound both dogs for the remainder of their quarantine. Mario related that to Mrs. De Campas. Mrs. De Campas responded that she did not have current rabies vaccinations on either dog and had planned to go on 4/12/14 to receive free vaccinations at a local shot clinic. While Mario and Mrs. De Campas were speaking the call was disconnected, at the same time an unnamed man rode up to a neighboring house on a bicycle. Mrs. De Campas asked the young man to come and translate for us. He agreed and was able to tell her we would be holding both dogs until 4/2/14 for the bite quarantine, but that after the quarantine was up she could redeem both dogs. Mrs. De Campas began to cry and said that she and her husband are on a fixed income and would not receive their next check until 4/3/14. I replied that PACC would hold the dogs an extra day to accommodate her financial situation. Mrs. De Campas gave me permission to impound both of the biter dogs and transport them to PACC for quarantine. Mrs. De Campas also stated that she did not trust the two dogs. She also stated they belong to her husband, who is at the hospital She went on to ask how I was going to catch them. I replied that I was going to request a second officer come and assist me. I then contacted dispatch and requested assistance.

Officer Tovar #2021 responded to my location and assisted me with the capture of both biter dogs. Both dogs were impounded without issue. I then issued quarantine paperwork for both dogs. Mrs. De Campas (2021 translating) accepted and signed both "Quarantine At Pima Animal Care" forms and received a copy of both forms. I then issued third party citations for biting animal on both dogs, Leash law violations on both dogs, No license on both dogs, and No Rabies Vaccination on both dogs. Mrs. De Campas acknowledged, signed and accepted her citations. Mrs. De Campas received her court date time and location and her drivers license was returned to her.

Officer's Signature: J. Foster 2042 Date: 03/30/14

COMPLAINT # A14-158483
OFFICER # 1942 Eckelberger
DATE: 10-23-14.

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 243-5900, option 3 FAX (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

_____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

_____ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

X An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

The dog "Gordy" was declared vicious by Tucson City Court Judge Chayet on 10-9-14.

OWNER: _____
ADDRESS: _____
PHONE: N/P.

ANIMAL NAME: Gordy.
ANIMAL ID#: A475210
SEX: M. COLOR: Black BREED: G. Heeler

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE .

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.

COMPLAINT # A14-158483.
OFFICER # 1442 Eckelbarger
DATE: 10-23-14.

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 243-5900, option 3 FAX (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

_____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

_____ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

✓ An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

The dog "Baby" was declared vicious by Tucson City Court Judge Chayet on 10-9-14.

OWNER: [redacted]
ADDRESS: [redacted]
PHONE: N/P.

ANIMAL NAME: Baby
ANIMAL ID#: A475284.
SEX: M COLOR: Blk/Tan BREED: G. Shep.

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE .

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.

DD# 4

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT: Sarah Antoinette Gorman					ACO NAME / BADGE #: M. Fish #1995	COMPLAINT NUMBER: A14-143299	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS					BITE ☒ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY: Tucson	STATE: AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER:		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS					CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN: 5	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☒ NO ☐	LOCATION OF INCIDENT				DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 3-12-14 / 12:46		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 3-12-14 / 12:40		
	FOOD ☐	WATER ☐	SHELTER ☐	INJURED/ILL ☐	VENTILATION ☐	ABANDONED ☐	TIEOUT ☐	BEATEN ☐	WASTE ☐	OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐
☒ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME				D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.		BUSINESS PHONE NO.	
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS					ZIP		CITY: Tucson	STATE: AZ
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS: N/A					ZIP: N/A		CITY: N/A	STATE: N/A
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED: YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED: YES ☒ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER AGENCY CASE #: 1403120222 ☐ SO ☒ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:			FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:	
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☒ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: 2-Punct	PART OF BODY BITTEN: Lt Arm		TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED: 3-12-14	RELEASE DATE: 3-21-14	PACC ☒ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM									
PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC				PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☒ NO ☐			FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS				QUARANTINE 10 ☒ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐			☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐	CITING ACO: 1995			PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 4-7(Z)(B) / 4-97							REVIEWED BY: 3-27-14 DTK/911	
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 72678							BOND YES ☐ NO ☒	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Lab Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Tank	Blk/Wht	M	1.8	Slvr	L14-222391	NOBIVAC	Nrm	A466461
Lab Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Ringo	Blk/Wht	M	15y	N/A	U14-072377	N/A	Nrm	A473528
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1: TPD Officer Rosbeck #49924	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS: 270 S. Stone Ave	RESIDENCE PHONE #: N/A	BUSINESS PHONE #: 520-791-5351
WITNESS 2: T. Haynes #2032	M ☐ F ☒	DOB	ADDRESS: 4000 N. Silverbell Rd.	RESIDENCE PHONE #: N/A	BUSINESS PHONE #: 520-243-5900
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-143299

ACO name & Badge:M.Fish #1995

On March 12th, 2014 at approximately 13:00 hours Officer T. Haynes #2032 from Pima Animal Care Center responded to a request for assistance from the Tucson Police Department at when she arrived on scene she observed two large dogs unattended and unconfined in the carport. The dogs, a large, black/white, shaggy furred Lab mix (Ringo) and a medium, black/white, Lab/Mastiff mix (Tank) came out of the carport and approached Officer Haynes barking. Officer Haynes spoke sternly to Tank and he backed off immediately. The dogs went back into the carport and Officer Haynes went to the side yard to see if she could determine how the dogs got out of the yard. Officer Haynes looked over the wall and observed the back gate wide open. She returned to the front and was met by Ringo and Tank. Officer Haynes was able to pet them both and walked to the front door with both dogs at her side. Officer Haynes made contact with a juvenile male resident, and had him put the dogs inside the house. Officer Haynes then explained why she was there. She asked for mother's name and contact information and he provided her with it. Officer Haynes spoke with the dogs' owner, Sarah Gorman, over the phone and advised her of what was going on. Mrs. Gorman stated that Tank did have a current rabies vaccination but her son did not have access to the information. I advised that I would be in contact with her as soon as I had more information.

While on scene Officer Haynes met with Tucson Police Department Officer Rosebeck (Badge #49924) who was working Tucson Police Department case #1403120222. Officer Rosebeck relayed the following from the victim; at approximately 1240 hours she was walking south bound on Mann when the two dogs came out of the carport at and ran up to her. She stated that she put her arms out to shoo the dogs away and received a bite on the left arm, the side that Tank was on. She said that she walked away from the dogs and wasn't aware of the small puncture in her arm until she arrived at . At that time the victim did not request citations; she was just concerned for other people that may be in the area. Officer Rosebeck took photos of the victim's bite. After speaking with Officer Rosebeck Officer Haynes spoke with Mrs. Gorman again and advised her that she would be impounding Tank for the mandatory ten day Rabies quarantine. Officer Haynes also left a notice with the dog owner's son with the case number and

the phone number for Pima Animal Care Center.

On March 13th, 2013 Officer Foster #2042 from Pima Animal Care Center met with the bite victim, stated that she was walking Southbound on Ave. when two dogs, Tank and Ringo, ran out of the yard of and the dog identified as Tank (A466461) bit her. Officer Foster asked if she wished to have citations issued for biting animal and leash law violations and stated that she would like citations issued even though she previously declined to have citations issued. stated that the school is so close to where the dogs live and the Tucson Police Department officer she met with told her that the address and dogs were well known to the police department so she fears the dogs will bite a child.

On March 22nd, 2014 at approximately 16:00 hours the dog owner, Sarah Gorman, came in to Pima Animal Care Center to redeem her dog from quarantine. I, Officer Marcus Fish #1995, met with Mrs. Gorman and issued her citations for one count of Biting Animal and two counts of Leash Law on behalf of the victim.

Officer's Signature: Marcus Fish Date: 3/25/14

COMPLAINT # 14-157468
OFFICER # CARVER 1901
DATE: 10-8-14

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 243-5900, option 3 FAX (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

_____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

_____ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

* An animal declared by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:
THE DOG TANK, BELONGING to SARAH GORMAN HAS BEEN DECLARED DANGEROUS IN TUCSON City Court

OWNER: [illegible]
ADDRESS: [illegible]
PHONE: 480 489 0314

ANIMAL NAME: TANK
ANIMAL ID#: A466461
SEX: M COLOR: BLK/WHT BREED: LAB

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE .

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON

0 DD #5 D

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-3900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT: REBECCA D BRACETY			ACO NAME / BADGE #: J RADEMAKER 2019	COMPLAINT NUMBER: A14-157134
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS			BITE ☒ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP	CITY: TUCSON	STATE: AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER	CODE IF OTHER :
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS: NONE				CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER	DRIVERS LICENSE

SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒	LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 10/02/14 / 1356	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 10/02/14 / 1300

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.	
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY: TUCSON	STATE: AZ	
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE	

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED: YES ☒ NO ☐	RESTITUTION REQUESTED: YES ☒ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # 141002202 ☒ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☒ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM		PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	
PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC: VETERINARY CARE		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☒ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#		
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐	CITING ACO: J RADEMAKER 2019	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 6.04.030;6.04.120(B)(2);6.04.070; 11-1010(A)ARS 6.04.120(B)(3)				REVIEWED BY 2002 KONST 10/9	
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 73071A-D; 73073A				BOND YES ☐ NO ☐	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
GERMAN SHEPHERD	VICTIM ☒ OWNER ☐	REPTAR	B	M	1Y		CITED	CURRENT	N	A4997430
PITBULL	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	BELLA	G/W	F	3Y		CITED	CITED	N	A431032
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1 CLAYTON EGNER	M ☒ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS 4880 N SABINO CANYON #14262 85750	RESIDENCE PHONE # 480-528-4753	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2 JACINDA DEMETROS	M ☐ F ☒	DOB	ADDRESS 4880 N SABINO CANYON #14262 85750	RESIDENCE PHONE # 480-528-4753	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-157134

ACO name & Badge: J RADEMAKER 2019

On October 2, 2014 at 1:56PM called Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch to report that a white with grayish brown, Pit / Staffordshire mix dog, that lives with it's owner at in unit was off leash and attacked his dog. said his dog was bitten repeatedly and the fight caused damage to items on the caller's patio. The attacking dog is back inside it's home and no information was exchanged with the dog owner. was advised to take time and date stamped photos of his dog's injuries and of the property damage for the ACO. Caller stated his wife would do so now. Caller was advised that an ACO would be in contact in the near future and would further explain citations and/or restitution.

also contacted Pima County Sheriff's Office. Deputy K. Joyce responded at 4:27PM to case #1410022202 and met with Mr Gahm and the dog's owner at Rebecca D Bracety.

On October 6, 2014 at 12:29PM I, Officer Rademaker 2019, went to and met with complainants Their statement was that on 10/02/14 at approximately 1:00PM was walking one of their three dogs, Shepherd X Reptar, on leash with a gentle leader in the apartment complex. They had just reached the patio at the rear of the apartment when a mostly white female pitbull dog attacked Reptar from behind. There was quite a melee on the patio with numerous items being broken. was inside and exited the apartment and after a struggle was able to get Reptar inside. A neighbor confined the attacking dog and its owner came and retrieved it. The know the owner to be Rebecca Bracety who resides at and they believe the dog is named Bella. They took Reptar to the Veterinary Care for treatment. His injuries were minor and the bill was $79.30. They estimate the damage to their patio at $380.00.

The want PACC to issue citations to Bella's owner for leash law and biting animal violations. They want the court to order restitution for their expenses and want PACC to conduct a dangerous dog evaluation on the dog Bella. They also say that the dog Bella is habitually at large in the complex.

The 3 dogs are all current on rabies vaccinations but none are licensed. I determined that they all should have been licensed and issued .. citations for those violations. I explained court and compliance and he said he understood. I gave him a law brochure.

On October 7, 2014 at 11:42AM I went to and met with owner Rebecca D Bracety and interacted with her gray and white female pitbull dog Bella. Bella was friendly toward me. Ms Bracety was familiar with the 10/02/14 incident where her dog attacked the complainants. She said Bella was at large because her mother left the door open and Bella snuck out. I explained the citations requested and she said she understood. I inquired about license and registration for Bella and she said they were in the name of her former boyfriend P308088.

I searched PACC records for and determined that Bella's license and vaccination had both expired 04/22/14.

I issued Ms Bracety citations for leash law and biting animal violations as requested by and also citations for no license and no rabies vaccinations. I explained court and compliance to her and she said she understood.

had mentioned that the neighbor from the 2nd floor apartment in the building facing his patio had seen the incident. I went to building 14 and met with of apartment He stated that he had seen both dogs in the area of patio but had not seen them interact. He said that his wife, same phone, had seen the incident and had seen both dog together.

On October 2 at 1:54PM I met owner Ms Bracety and issued her a citation for 6.04.120(B)(3) destructive animal and explained that that was for the property damage. Court is the same as the other citations and she said she understood.

Officer's Signature: 2019 Date: 10/9/14

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 743-7550 FAX (520) 743-9581
www.pimaanimalcare.org

CASE NO: A14-1571642

OWNER:

ANIMAL NAME: BELLA A431032

ADDRESS: [illegible] #

SEX: F BREED: PITBULL

COLOR: GRAY/WHITE DATE: 10-16-14

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2	+2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2	
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3	+3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1	+3

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2	
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	+3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4	
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5	

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+ 5	+5

FRONT DOOR

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	-3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	-1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # _____	- 1	
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+ 1	+1
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	+1

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	-3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	-3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+ 2	
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	-2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	

Confinement / Fencing:

THE APARTMENT DOES NOT HAVE A YARD OR BALCONY. A SLIDING BAR HAS BEEN ADDED TO THE INSIDE (TOP) OF THE FRONT DOOR TO PREVENT IT FROM BEING OPENED.

General Comments:

I SPOKE WITH THE RENTAL OFFICE EMPLOYEE AND CONDUCTED NEIGHBOR INTERVIEWS AND FOUND NO PREVIOUS INCIDENTS INVOLVING BELLA. AT THIS TIME BELLA IS NOT DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL.

EK OFFICER # 1926

TOTAL SCORE: +6

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

_____ DANGEROUS

X NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threating manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC **IN PERSON**.

PACC-DD1

AA #6D

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT: Michael Smith		ACO NAME / BADGE #: 1942 Eckelbarger	COMPLAINT NUMBER: A14-158093
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☒ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR COLOR / ORIGIN		DOB	SSN
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☒ NO ☐	LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 10-16-14 / 0800	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 10-16-14 / 0715
	FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐		
☒ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO. / BUSINESS PHONE NO.
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY: Tucson / STATE: AZ
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY / STATE
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED: YES ☒ NO ☐ / RESTITUTION REQUESTED: YES ☒ NO ☐ / DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE #: ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST: ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☒ VIOLATION / ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: 3 / PART OF BODY BITTEN: Hand	TREATED BY: hospital / PHONE NUMBER / DATE QUARANTINED: 10-17-14 / RELEASE DATE: 10-25-14	PACC ☒ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM / PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC	PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE: YES ☒ NO ☐	FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE: 10 ☒ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS: YES ☒ NO ☐ / CITING ACO: 1942 Eckelbarger	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS: YES ☒ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER: A14-141309	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 6.04.120 (B)(2), 6.04.030		REVIEWED BY: 10-18-14 DTK 1911	
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 72783 (A-E)		BOND: YES ☐ NO ☒	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit-bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Dulce	Black/White	F	2yr		L13-216149	Current	Ok	A458139
Pit/Lab mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Kruger	Black/White	M	2yr		L13-216808	Current	Ok	A456962
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
G. Ret	VICTIM ☒ OWNER ☐	Grommet	Yellow	M	8yr		L14-059034	Current	I	A143343
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1: Linda O'Haver	M ☐ F ☒	DOB: 10-6-56	ADDRESS: 6731 N. Placita Ariel	RESIDENCE PHONE #: 297-3582	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-158093

ACO name & Badge:1942 Eckelbarger

On 10-17-14 at 0848 hours, I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) responded to the victim's address at where I met with and who stated on 10-16-14 at approximately 0715 hours they were walking their Golden Retriever "Grommet" on leash in the when a black and white Pit-bull "Dulce" came charging straight at "Grommet". stated he kicked the dog as it charged, and then the dog grabbed Grommet and attacked causing wounds to the front right and rear left legs of Grommet. was knocked to the ground during the attack and received wounds to the nose, right elbow, right knee, and left finger. finger was dislocated/broken during the incident.

then tried to separate "Dulce" away from them and was bitten on the finger causing a puncture. also sustained scratches to the left and right elbows and the knee. The dog owner, Michael Smith, then came outside and the 2nd dog (black and white lab/pit mix "Kruger") was seen out loose as well. Michael Smith then got control of Dulce and secured her on his property.

went to the hospital for treatment and they took Grommet to the veterinarian for treatment of his injuries. They had approximately 600 dollars in veterinary bills at this time and at least 300 dollars in co-pays for medical treatment. The requested citations, restitution, and a dangerous dog evaluation as a result of the incident. I took photographs of their injuries and Grommets wounds. We already had the attacking dog "Dulce" and 2nd dog "Kruger" in our computer as a having a current license and rabies vaccination. The identified Dulce and Kruger by photograph as the attacking dog and the dog at large.

I then responded to the attacking dog owner's house at where I met with Michael Smith ( ). Mr. Smith was aware of the incident and stated that they left the patio sliding door open on the day of the incident and that was how Dulce got out and jumped the wall to get at large. Mr. Smith stated "Kruger" got at large after they went outside to retrieve Dulce. I then cited Mr. Smith for three biting animal charges (biting animal-Mr.

..., biting animal-injuries as a result to , and biting animal-dog on dog attack) and leash law on "Dulce" and for leash law on "Kruger" under county jurisdiction.

Mr. Smith signed and received his copies of the citations. I then impounded Dulce for quarantine and dangerous dog evaluation.

Officer's Signature: 1942 Date: 10-17-14

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 743-7550 FAX (520) 743-9581
www.pimaanimalcare.org

CASE NO: 14158469
OWNER: Michael Smith
ANIMAL NAME: DULCE

ADDRESS: PERUGIA WY
SEX: S BREED: Pit
COLOR: BLK/Wht DATE: 10/26/14

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	+6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	+4
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2		
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	+1	2/14
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2		
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3		
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1		

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	+1	3/14
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2		
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	+3	
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4		
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5		

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+ 5	+5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	-1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	+1
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # L13-216149	- 1	-1
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+ 1	
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	+1
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	+2

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+ 2	
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	-2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	

Confinement / Fencing:

3 4 4 Footwall

General Comments:

THE DOG DULCE HAS BEEN INVOLVED IN 2 AT LARGE INCIDENTS IN 8 MONTHS, BOTH RESULTED IN INJURIES. THE DOG IS DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS.

OFFICER # CARVER #401

TOTAL SCORE: +20

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

X DANGEROUS
____ NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threating manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC **IN PERSON**.

PACC-DD1

Pima Animal Care Center
Animals on Hold Report

Animals listed are currently listed as being on hold without an outcome date. They are grouped by the type of hold.

kennel no

HOLD TYPE ENFORCEMEN

Number on Hold **27**

A12-102940

K14-175847 A247678 DOG SATIVA ROTTWEILER/

11/6/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN AGGRESSIVE Activity:A12-102940 **D053** R

Kennel Comment: chip 494D4C3F3D
DO NOT RELEASE!
Bond hold.1926 SAFE LOCK

11/06/2014 ENFORCE EKLEIN 11/6/14 20:29
11-6-14, Do not release Sativa. Owner must meet with enforcement.1926

11/10/2014 ENFORCE JCHAVEZ 11/10/14 10:14
If Mr Westfall comes to redeem Sativa
(1)serve the premise inspection ordering a wellness exam be done on Patches by a licensed veterinarian to ensure she was not injured on November 3rd,2014. PACC will not be taking possession of her unless it is ordered by a judge because pacc has not received reports of patches displaying any aggression.
(2)Serve the Bond on Sativa.And explain to Mr Westfall that he MUST post all of the bond amount to PACC within 10 days. Not 10 business days but 10 straight days as pacc is open 7 days a week.
(3) issue the following citations regarding Sativa:70757.A,B,C,D,E DD at large,Preventing inspection of a DD,Failure To comply ,No Insurance ,No license and 70758 A,B,C no rabies vaccination,DD attack (attempt on the animals) ,DD attack (Attempt on a human)
(4) issue the following citations regarding Patches : 70759 A,B,C Leash Law, no License and No Rabies vaccination.

All of the documents are in a folder in my investigator box.
Once Mr Westfall has been served and the citations have been issued a copy of everything needs to be sent to Paula Perrera and Barbara Burstein. They are aware that Sativa is currently at PACC. 1926

11-10-14 The dog owner Mr. Westfall called the center to inquire about his dog being released. I advised him of the above pending actions and advised him he needed to come into PACC and meet with an investigator or supervisor either today before 7pm or on wednesday 11-12-14 before 7pm. 1914

A14-159408

K14-175690 A455512 DOG TITO PIT BULL/

11/5/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-159408 **D091** R

Kennel Comment: NO BITE/UNABLE TO SCAN
3C3C3C3C3C3C3C3C3C3C3C3C3C3C
AGRESSIVE USE CAUTION!!!!

11/05/2014 ENFORCE akirby 11/5/14 10:56
11/05/14 0955 hrs DO NOT RELEASE to owner until a confinement check has been done, as this dog is aggressive. If owner redeems 3c for leash law. Registered dog owner has moved to an unknown address and no longer has a phone but has been made aware of the impoundment by notice left with friend/coworker. 2057

A14-159425

K14-175730 A500827* DOG CINCO PIT BULL/MIX

11/5/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-159425 **D071** R

Kennel Comment: DD HOLD..2oo2 P354826
A14-159425; A14-158903

A14-159601

K14-176012 A501176 DOG PIT BULL/

kennel_no

11/9/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-159601 **D097**

Kennel Comment: No Bite/No Chip
3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c

R

11/09/2014 ENFORCE akirby 11/9/14 20:32

11/09/14 1629 hrs If owner tries to redeem cite for No Water and Abandonment for 8 chickens, 1 parakeet, 1 cockateal, 1 Pit Bull and abandonment only for 1 turtle. 2057

K14-176013 A501175 OTHER TURTLE/

11/9/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-159601 **INTAKE06**

Kennel Comment: No Bite/No Chip
3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c

R

K14-176014 A501164 BIRD PARAKEET/

11/9/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-159601 **INTAKE01**

Kennel Comment: No Bite/No Chip
3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c

R

K14-176015 A501165 BIRD COCKATIEL/

11/9/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-159601 **INTAKE01**

Kennel Comment: No Bite/No Chip
3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c

R

K14-176016 A501166 BIRD CHICKEN/

11/9/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-159601 **OS-EWER**

Kennel Comment: No Bite/No Chip
3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c

R

K14-176017 A501167 BIRD CHICKEN/

11/9/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-159601 **OS-EWER**

Kennel Comment: No Bite/No Chip
3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c

R

K14-176018 A501169 BIRD CHICKEN/

11/9/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-159601 **OS-EWER**

Kennel Comment: No Bite/No Chip
3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c

R

K14-176019 A501170 BIRD CHICKEN/

11/9/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-159601 **OS-EWER**

Kennel Comment: No Bite/No Chip
3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c

R

K14-176020 A501171 BIRD CHICKEN/

11/9/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-159601 **OS-EWER**

Kennel Comment: No Bite/No Chip
3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c

R

K14-176021 A501172 BIRD CHICKEN/

11/9/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-159601 **OS-EWER**

Kennel Comment: No Bite/No Chip
3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c

R

K14-176022 A501173 BIRD CHICKEN/

11/9/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-159601 **OS-EWER**

Kennel Comment: No Bite/No Chip
3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c

R

K14-176023 A501174 BIRD CHICKEN/

11/9/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-159601 **OS-EWER**

Kennel Comment: No Bite/No Chip
3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c

R

A14-159727

kennel_no

K14-176052 A425782 DOG WYKD PIT BULL/
11/10/14 CONFISCATE POLICE NORMAL Activity:A14-159727 **D058** R
Kennel Comment: CAUTION HOLD FOR DD EVALUATION
POSSIBLE BITER

11/12/2014 ENFORCE JCHAVEZ 11/12/14 9:44
11-12-14

The dog will be released from enforcement on the release date 11-18-14 if the owner does not redeem the dog. 1914

A14-159856

K14-176331 A501551 CAT JACK DOMESTIC SH/
11/13/14 CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-159856 **V277** R
Kennel Comment:

11/13/2014 ENFORCE EKLEIN 11/13/14 15:41
11-13-14, owner served with bond.1926

K14-176332 A501552 CAT POO DOMESTIC SH/
11/13/14 CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-159856 **V277** R
Kennel Comment:

11/13/2014 ENFORCE EKLEIN 11/13/14 15:39
11-13-14,owner served with bond.1926

K14-176334 A501554 CAT PUMPKIN DOMESTIC SH/
11/13/14 CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-159856 **V277** R
Kennel Comment:

11/13/2014 ENFORCE EKLEIN 11/13/14 15:37
11-13-14 owner was served with Bond.1926

K14-176335 A501556 CAT PUSS DOMESTIC SH/
11/13/14 CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-159856 **V277** R
Kennel Comment:

11/13/2014 ENFORCE EKLEIN 11/13/14 15:40
11-13-14, owner served with bond.1926

K14-176336 A501557 CAT HBK DOMESTIC SH/
11/13/14 CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-159856 **V277** R
Kennel Comment: bond hold

11/13/2014 ENFORCE EKLEIN 11/13/14 15:36
11-13-14 owner served with bond. 1926

K14-176337 A501558 CAT CUBBY DOMESTIC SH/
11/13/14 CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-159856 **V277** R
Kennel Comment:

11/13/2014 ENFORCE EKLEIN 11/13/14 15:42
11-13-14, owner served with bond.1926

K14-176338 A501560 CAT MOUSE DOMESTIC SH/
11/13/14 CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-159856 **V277** R
Kennel Comment:

11/13/2014 ENFORCE EKLEIN 11/13/14 15:38
11-13-14. owner served with bond.1926

A14-159881

kennel no

K14-176243 A501450 DOG KODA QUEENSLAND HEEL/MIX
11/12/14 QUARANTINEBITE NORMAL Activity:A14-159881 **DR012**
Kennel Comment: confinement ck
R

A14-159915

K14-176290 A481439 DOG BOO BOXER/MIX
11/13/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-159915 **D052**
Kennel Comment: CAUTION NO SCAN P321480
C3C3C3C3C3CC3C3CC3C3C3C3
R

A14-159999

K14-176348 A501569 DOG PIT BULL/
11/13/14 CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-159999 **U011**
Kennel Comment: nhb.no chip.3c3c3c3c3c3c33c3c3c3c3c see memo
R

A14-160018

K14-176369 A501596 DOG PIT BULL/MIX
11/13/14 STRAY FIELD INJ SEVERE Activity:A14-160018 **D064**
Kennel Comment: no chip
need premise inspection before release
R

HOLD TYPE VET

Number on Hold 2

A14-157712

K14-173648 A497946 CAT DOMESTIC MH/
10/11/14 STRAY FIELD INJ SEVERE Activity:A14-157712 **MISSING**
Kennel Comment: On-To-Do R

A14-159972

K14-176248 A501458 OTHER SKUNK/
11/13/14 WILDLIFE NIGHT DEAD Activity:A14-159972 **T000**
Kennel Comment: 11/13/2014--SEE ACTIVITY MEMO. 1929 R

Donation Activity

Period: 10/1/14 To: 10/31/14

Donation Code	Amount
DONATION	$0.00
DONATION ADOP	$431.00
DONATION GEN	$16,814.28
DONATION OUTR	$48.00
DONATION S/N	$11,117.50
DONATION SAMS	$3,131.50
DONATION SHEL 0974	$7,544.00
Grand Total	$39,086.28

Donation Activity

Period: 7/1/14 To: 10/31/14

Donation Code	Amount
DONATION	$15.00
DONATION ADOP	$2,046.86
DONATION GEN	$79,259.06
DONATION OUTR	$3,358.00
DONATION S/N	$52,959.26
DONATION SAMS	$10,060.50
DONATION SHEL 0974	$20,305.00
Grand Total	$168,003.68

Michael Schlueter

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Tuesday, October 07, 2014 9:22 AM
To: : Jose Ocano
Cc: Kristin Barney; Francisco Garcia; Michael Schlueter
Subject: RE: PACC issue...

Good morning , thank you for forwarding this to us. Staff is collaborating with our Facilities management staff to mitigate the standing water issues at PACC. We will share this letter with them and Health Department staff for further review and any other appropriate action to address the concerns.

Respectfully,

Kim

From:
Sent: Tuesday, October 07, 2014 8:51 AM
To: Kim Janes; Jose Ocano
Subject: Fwd: PACC issue...

Mr. Janes and Mr. Ocano,

I am forwarding this request and information from a volunteer at PACC who has discussed his concerns with me as to the health hazards as well as the unsightly mess this run off water and animal waste is causing "down hill" from the tent.

is a very hard working PACC volunteer and his concerns should be taken seriously. As you can see from his letter he has even gone on his own to try and remedy the situation at least for the short term.

I agree with him, that this is not only a health hazard for the dog walkers, the public who come to adopt, but also for the PACC employees.

I am not sure if solution is feasible, but something needs to be done as soon as possible. As he said there are birds "swimming" in this mess, and I am sure they are spreading what ever bacteria is floating in this contaminated water. I am also concerned that mosquitos may use this area to multiply.

I am not saying either of you are responsible, but I know you will know who to contact to deal with this problem.

Thank vou for listening and taking care of our animals.

PACC Advisory Committee

Begin forwarded message:

From:
Subject: PACC issue...
Date: October 5, 2014 at 5:25:36 PM MST
To:
Reply-To:

Hello .
I was hoping that as the Dep't. of Health Representative at Pima Animal Care Center, you might be able to get a little more "traction" than me on this issue .

There appears to be a drainage issue from "The Tent" at PACC. When workers clean the kennels, I'm assuming that they "scoop" all the fecal matter for proper disposal, but when they rinse the kennels the water has no place to go, and of course flows down the hill, crossing the public access path, and collecting in a large, unsightly and possibly polluted (with animal waste...fecal matter and urine) puddle.

I took these photos this afternoon while at the shelter. When I was there on Saturday, the surface of the water was covered with a green substance that looked like algae and had many (what appeared to be) hatching insects. There were numerous birds using the puddle as a combination bathing/feeding area. I took the liberty of dumping 2 gallons of bleach into it late in the PM. The green is gone. No evidence of swarming insects, but still an "eyesore!"

Would it be possible for Pima County to do something about this situation? Couldn't they create a trench...line it with 4" to 6" rip-rap that would direct the drainage away from the pedestrian "right of way," and excavate a collection area where the puddle now exists? Possibly dumping a few tons of rock into the hole to allow for drainage.

A little attention to this area might serve to encourage more potential adopters to venture up the hill to the tent.

Thanks in advance for whatever action you may choose take.

Volunteer Worker at PACC
Tucson, AZ

View album

Google+ makes sharing on the web more like sharing in real life. Learn more

Be thoughtful about who you share this email with; anyone can reshare it.

You received this message because

Unsubscribe from these emails.

You can't reply to this email. View the post to add a comment.

Google Inc., 1600 Amphitheatre Pkwy, Mountain View, CA 94043 USA

Google+

Michael Schlueter

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Wednesday, October 15, 2014 4:39 PM
To: Michael Schlueter
Subject: FW: Website Feedback Form 2014-10-15 12:45 PM Submission Notification

Oct complaint

Kim

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

From: Rica Richardson **On Behalf Of** Licensing PACC
Sent: Wednesday, October 15, 2014 1:28 PM
To:
Subject: RE: Website Feedback Form 2014-10-15 12:45 PM Submission Notification

Fay Family,

We are sorry that you encountered this problem. If you try closing your browser before entering your information to re-submit the payment, it should fix your problem. If you are having trouble with our website, you are always welcome to call in at 520-243-5969 Monday through Saturday 0800 to 1700 hours to pay over the phone with a credit card as long as your rabies vaccine is current in our records.
As far as the microchip, there is not a place to input that information online. You are always welcome to e-mail us, or call in with the microchip number so we can put it on file.
Please don't hesitate to either call in or respond to this e-mail.

Thank you.

From: <notification@pima.gov>
Reply-To:
Date: Wed, 15 Oct 2014 12:45:33 -0700
To: <communicationsoffice@pima.gov>
Subject: Website Feedback Form 2014-10-15 12:45 PM Submission Notification

Website Feedback Form 2014-10-15 12:45 PM was submitted by Guest on 10/15/2014 12:45:33 PM (GMT-07:00) US/Arizona

Name	Value
First Name	
Last Name	
Email	
Content graphics	Other Issue

Performance usability Other Issue

Comments When trying to pay a pet license renewal,L13-189644, I looked for a microchip number entry block, eventually arriving at the payment info screen. I did not enter the microchip number there, but the programming did not anything. I tried to back up to the comment area to provide allow it. When I try to pay anyway, it does not allow that either and only shows a receipt screen with xxx..., or 000.... Even trying to start over just gets to the receipt screen. Please reset, rewrite, or debug this system. (P.S. And the County wants us to trust them with How Much for a new animal pound?)

Response requested Yes

Referred_Page https://webcms.pima.gov/website_feedback/

Thank you, Pima County, Arizona

Michael Schlueter

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2014 10:43 AM
To: Michael Schlueter
Subject: FW:

Kim

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

From: Justin Gallick
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2014 10:41 AM
To: Michelle Moore
Cc: Kristin Barney; Kim Janes
Subject: RE:

I just called and left her a message that given the circumstances we would honor a refund should the pet not become available for adoption.

Justin

From: Michelle Moore
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2014 9:27 AM
To: Justin Gallick
Cc: Kristin Barnev: Kim Janes
Subject:

Hi Justin,

I received a complaint call from yesterday at 15:55. She was having difficulty contacting someone to help her. She apparently brought in a stray and was trying to find out if it was available for adoption. She was told about the reservation process and told the dog had not yet been evaluated. If she was to reserve the dog she could pay $50.00 which was not refundable. This made her angry as she only wants this dog and not a substitute. She would like to talk to someone that is able to help her. If you have not already spoken to her will you please give her a call.
Thanks!

Michelle Moore
Pima Animal Care Center, 4000 N. Silverbell Rd., Tucson, AZ 85745
PLEASE NOTE NEW PHONE NUMBERS

Complaints and Commendations for the Month of October 2014

10-5-14 complaint came from a volunteer through an Advisory Committee member
Complaint
Standing water drainage from tent kennel cleaning.
Course/Action
Situation being dealt with by Pima County Facilities Management

10-15-14 complaint e-mailed to the County Communication's Office
Complaint
Citizen was having trouble interfacing with on-line licensing.
Course/Action
Citizen given tip to complete task and given contact numbers for further assistance as needed.

10-29-14 complaint came through PACC admin
Complaint
Individual brought in a stray and was interested in adopting it, but did not like the $50 fee to reserve the dog.
Course/Action
The person who brought the dog in waited and adopted it.