




MEMORANDUM

Date: August 2, 2018

To: The Honorable Chairman and Members
Pima County Board of Supervisors

From: C.H. Huckelberry
County Administrator 

Re: **Super Users and High Users of the Pima County Adult Detention Complex**

Attached please find two datasets; Attachment 1 is a listing of 19 repeat offenders of the Pima County Adult Detention Complex (PCADC). These individuals are identified as "Super Users" who have been arrested 20 or more times in the last two years; Attachment 2 is a list of "High Users" which are defined as being detained in the PCADC between 10 and 19 times during the same two-year period. A total of 119 individuals fall into this category. Individual names have been substituted with a consecutive number and dates of birth have been removed from the analysis.

The "Super Users" data series in Attachment 1 shows the charges for which those individuals were arrested and placed in the PCADC. The largest number being 175 individuals arrested for Criminal Trespassing; followed by 71 for Shoplifting; 44 for Failure to Appear in Court and 40 for Disorderly Conduct. Serious criminal charges of this group are few. As you can see, one for armed robbery and one for Burglary 2nd Degree.

In addition, the "High Users" spreadsheet (Attachment 2) also shows the charges for which those individuals were arrested, the highest number being 311 individuals arrested for Criminal Trespassing, followed by 250 for Failure to Appear, 175 for Drug Charges, 111 for Shoplifting, and 106 for Disorderly Conduct. Again, very serious crimes occur very infrequently with this high user group, hence, it is desirable to evaluate strategies to reduce the frequency with which these individuals are re-admitted to the PCADC and to try to match these individuals with appropriate social services.

Finally, because these individuals have a relatively low frequency of committing serious criminal offenses, they should be considered for release on electronic monitoring, which would also greatly assist in keeping these individuals matched with social services. Hence, I have asked our Criminal Justice Reform Unit to develop a whitepaper on electronic monitoring to determine how it is used throughout the Country and within the State. Electronic monitoring activities vary widely, even in Arizona from county to county. Maricopa County has approximately 830 individuals active on electronic monitoring, Pima County has nine as of July 31, 2018.

The Honorable Chairman and Members, Pima County Board of Supervisors
Re: **Super and High Users of the Pima County Adult Detention Complex**
August 2, 2018
Page 2

Clearly, we can and should make substantial increased use of electronic monitoring. In the case of "High Users" of the jail, electronic monitoring would not only be helpful in keeping track of these individuals who have charges pending, but also if utilized by social service agencies, could prompt those agencies to follow these individuals more closely and to provide necessary services when the individuals choose not to pursue social service agency assistance.

CHH/anc

Attachments

- c: The Honorable Kyle Bryson, Presiding Judge, Pima County Superior Court
- The Honorable Barbara LaWall, Pima County Attorney
- The Honorable Mark Napier, Pima County Sheriff
- Wendy Petersen, Assistant County Administrator for Justice and Law
- Terrance Cheung, Director, Justice Reform Initiatives
- Spencer Graves, Program Manager, Criminal Justice Reform Unit
- Ron Overholt, Administrator, Pima County Superior Court
- Domingo Corona, Director, Superior Court Administration & Supervised Release
- David Sanders, Chief Probation Officer, Superior Court Adult Probation
- Chief Byron Gwaltney, Pima County Sheriff's Department

ATTACHMENT 1

Pima County Sheriff's Department

Repeat Offenders with 20+ charges

7/1/2016 - 6/30/2018

Note: The data below displays individuals booked into the Pima County Adult Detention Complex 20+ times during the listed timeframe and the most serious charge they were booked on.

Inmate Name	DOB	Aggravated Assault Charges	Applying Graffiti to Property	Armed Robbery	Assault Charges	Burglary 2nd Degree	Burglary 3rd Degree	Contempt of Court	Criminal Trespassing	Criminal Damage Charges	Drug Charges	Disobey LWFL Order of Police Off	Disorderly Conduct Charges	Failure to Appear	Indecent Exposure	Interfere w/Judicial Proceeding	Liquor Charges	Loitering Charges	Marijuana Possession/Use	Not Underlying Off-FTC	Obst Hwy/Pub Lic Thoroughfare	Obstr Govt Opertns-Pub Servant	Obstruction-Refuse True Name	Other Misdemeanors	Probation Violation	Public Nuisance	Robbery	Shoplifting Charges	Solicit for Prostitution	Solicit in Street w/o Safety Vest	Theft Charges	Threat-Intim w/Injury-Dmg Prop	Tuberculosis Violations	Urinate/Deficate in Public	Violation Conditions of Release	TOTAL			
1					1		1		3		2		5	5					1		1			1			1										20		
2					2				12						2	1			1						1			1										20	
3					1				4				5	2		6	1		1		1																	20	
4		1			2				3		1		2	2			1					1			1			7										21	
5									9		3		1	2				1		2							3							1				22	
6					4		1		9	1			1	1												5												22	
7		2			2				7		1		6			1														1		1				1		22	
8									12	1				1		1	1					2		2			2					1				1		23	
9									18					1		1	1																		1	1		23	
10		1			2				10				1	3		1						1		1			2						1				23		
11					3		1		5		5		1	2											1		5											23	
12		1			2			1	8				4	5			2				1	1																25	
13					1				14	3			2	6																								27	
14					3	1			4	1			2	3	1												1	11										27	
15		1			2				6			1	2	1																								27	
16				1	1				9	1			5	2			2			2					1		1		1		1				2			29	
17									23		1		1														11												36
18									6			2	1	6			4	1				7				1	2		7									37	
19			2		1		1		13	7	1		1	2								1				6				2								37	
Total		6	2	1	27	1	4	1	175	14	14	3	40	44	3	11	12	2	1	6	6	14	1	2	1	5	1	1	71	1	8	3	4	1	3	1	484		

ATTACHMENT 2

